



Letter

Search for pair-production of vector-like quarks in lepton+jets final states containing at least one b -tagged jet using the Run 2 data from the ATLAS experiment

The ATLAS Collaboration *



ARTICLE INFO

Editor: M. Doser

ABSTRACT

A search is presented for the pair-production of heavy vector-like quarks in the lepton+jets final state using 140 fb^{-1} of proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ collected with the ATLAS detector. The search is optimised for vector-like top-quarks (T) that decay into a W boson and a b -quark, with one W boson decaying leptonically and the other hadronically. Other vector-like quark flavours and decay modes are also considered. Events are selected with one high transverse-momentum electron or muon, large missing transverse momentum, a large-radius jet identified as a W boson, and multiple small-radius jets, at least one of which is b -tagged. Vector-like T -quarks with 100% branching ratio to Wb are excluded at 95% CL for masses below 1700 GeV. These limits are also applied to vector-like Y -quarks, which decay exclusively into a W boson and a b -quark. Isospin singlets with $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb : Ht : Zt) = 1/2 : 1/4 : 1/4$ are excluded for masses below 1360 GeV.

1. Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is extremely successful in describing elementary particles and their interactions, yet its many shortcomings reveal that it is incomplete. In particular, naturalness [1] suggests that a more complete theory will provide an explanation for how radiative divergences to the Higgs boson mass from t -quark loops are cancelled out. Extensions of the SM, such as extra dimensions [2], composite Higgs bosons [3,4] and Little Higgs boson [5] models, predict the existence of vector-like quarks (VLQs) that could mitigate these large radiative corrections to the Higgs boson mass. The VLQs are spin-1/2, colour-triplets that have the same weak isospin for left- and right-handed chiralities. Thus, VLQs would not acquire mass via the Higgs boson [6], allowing them to evade the limits that exclude additional SM-like quarks [7]. To cancel out the Higgs boson mass divergence from top-quark loops, the VLQs must couple preferentially to third-generation quarks. VLQs could appear as different types of multiplets: SU(2) singlets, doublets, or triplets of T , B , X or Y ; where T and B have the same electric charge as the SM t - and b -quarks, while X and Y have electric charges $5/3$ and $-4/3$, respectively. In the simplest models, the mass difference between VLQs in a given SU(2) multiplet must be small to satisfy constraints from precision electroweak measurements [6], excluding cascade decays such as $T \rightarrow WB \rightarrow WWt$. The VLQs can decay via a flavour-changing neutral current or a charged current, allowing the T and B to each have three possible decays:

$T \rightarrow Wb/Zt/Ht$ and $B \rightarrow Wt/Zb/Hb$. The X and Y have no SM partners, so these can only decay via $X \rightarrow Wt$ and $Y \rightarrow Wb$. Decays into final states with first and second generation quarks, though not forbidden, are not favoured as they would not address the hierarchy problem. Examples of Feynman diagrams for T and B pair production and decay are shown in Fig. 1.

The branching ratio of the VLQ decay to SM particles is not fixed by the theory, therefore, all possible VLQ decays and branching ratios need to be probed in searches for VLQs. A combination of searches for pair-production of VLQs by ATLAS using 36 fb^{-1} of Run 2 data collected at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) from 2015 to 2016 at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ excludes VLQs with masses below 1310 GeV for any branching ratio to the assumed decays [8]. ATLAS searches for pair-produced VLQs using the full proton–proton (pp) collision data sample of 140 fb^{-1} collected during Run 2 of the LHC between 2015 and 2018 at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ include a search in final states with one lepton, jets and large missing transverse momentum [9], mostly sensitive to the decay $T \rightarrow Zt$ or $B \rightarrow Wt$, and in final states with at least one leptonically decaying Z boson and a third-generation quark [10], which is mainly sensitive to $T \rightarrow Zt$ and $B \rightarrow Zb$. CMS published searches for pair production [11,12] of vector-like quarks using 137 fb^{-1} of data collected during the LHC Run 2.

ATLAS has previously performed a search for pair-produced VLQs in the one lepton+jets final state using 36 fb^{-1} of Run 2 data which was optimised to the $T \rightarrow Wb$ decay [13]. That search excluded T –

* E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.




Fig. 1. Representative tree-level Feynman diagrams for (a) $T\bar{T}$ and (b) $B\bar{B}$ production for which at least one of the VLQs decays into a W boson.

quark masses below 1350 GeV in the scenario $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ and T -quark masses below 1170 GeV in the T isospin singlet scenario with a branching ratio of $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb : Ht : Zt) = 1/2 : 1/4 : 1/4$. The recent search for pair-produced VLQs by CMS in leptonic final states using the full CMS Run 2 data sample excludes the scenario $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ for masses below 1540 GeV [12].

Singly produced VLQs have also been searched for by ATLAS [14, 15] and CMS [16–18] using the full LHC Run 2 data sample, but the interpretation of the search results depends on an additional constant for the coupling to electroweak bosons [19].

This paper presents a search for the pair production of VLQs decaying into third-generation quarks using the pp collision data collected at the LHC from 2015 to 2018 at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV with the ATLAS experiment, increasing the data sample relative to the previous search in this decay channel from 36 fb^{-1} to 140 fb^{-1} . The analysis is optimised for the $T\bar{T} \rightarrow WbW\bar{b}$ channel with one W boson decaying leptonically and the other hadronically, resulting in a final state with exactly one lepton, missing transverse momentum and jets, but the search is also sensitive to the other VLQs and decay modes. Targeting events with a leptonically decaying W boson ($W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ with $\ell = e, \mu$) suppresses SM processes with purely hadronic final states, while the hadronically decaying W boson provides a large branching ratio. Vector-like T candidates are reconstructed such that the mass difference between the leptonically and hadronically decaying T candidates is minimised. The reconstructed mass of the leptonically decaying T is then used as the discriminating variable to test for the presence of a VLQ signal. The dominant background processes are $t\bar{t}$, $W+$ jets, and single-top-quark production. Control regions enhanced in $t\bar{t}$ or $W+$ jets events are used to correct the mismodelling observed in Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations of those processes. Finally, a profile likelihood fit is performed on the observed distributions of the reconstructed VLQ mass to test for the presence of VLQ signals for various signal models, varying the VLQ mass and the decay branching ratios. The data-driven corrections to $t\bar{t}$ and $W+$ jets in dedicated control regions are new with respect to previous analyses. The statistical analysis also includes additional control regions to better constrain the dominant backgrounds characterised by substantial modelling uncertainties, while maintaining a high signal acceptance and achieving a narrow peak in the reconstructed VLQ mass distribution. Further improvements are brought by the improved object identification, especially from W -boson tagging.

2. ATLAS detector

The ATLAS experiment [20] at the LHC is a multipurpose particle detector with a forward-backward symmetric cylindrical geometry and a near 4π coverage in solid angle.¹ It consists of an inner tracking detector (ID) surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing a

2 T axial magnetic field, electromagnetic and hadron calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer. The inner tracking detector covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of silicon pixel, silicon microstrip, and transition radiation tracking detectors. Lead/liquid-argon (LAr) sampling calorimeters provide electromagnetic (EM) energy measurements with high granularity. A steel/scintillator-tile hadron calorimeter covers the central pseudorapidity range ($|\eta| < 1.7$). The endcap and forward regions are instrumented with LAr calorimeters for both the EM and hadronic energy measurements up to $|\eta| = 4.9$. The muon spectrometer (MS) surrounds the calorimeters and is based on three large superconducting air-core toroidal magnets with eight coils each. The field integral of the toroids ranges between 2.0 and 6.0 T m across most of the detector. The muon spectrometer includes a system of precision tracking chambers and fast detectors for triggering. A two-level trigger system is used to select events. The first-level trigger is implemented in hardware and uses a subset of the detector information to accept events at a rate below 100 kHz. This is followed by a software-based trigger that reduces the accepted event rate to 1 kHz on average depending on the data-taking conditions. An extensive software suite [21] is used in data simulation, in the reconstruction and analysis of real and simulated data, in detector operations, and in the trigger and data acquisition systems of the experiment.

3. Data and simulated event samples

The data sample analysed is from pp collisions with a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$. The data were collected between 2015 and 2018 with the ATLAS detector and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 140 fb^{-1} [22,23]. A set of single-electron [24] and single-muon triggers [25] were used, with transverse momentum (p_T) lowest thresholds in the range of 20–26 GeV depending on the lepton flavour and data-taking period. In addition, data were recorded using a trigger targeting events with large missing transverse energy (E_T^{miss}) [26] with thresholds of 70, 90 or 110 GeV, depending on the data taking period. All detector subsystems are required to be operational during data taking and to satisfy data quality requirements [27].

Signal events and SM background with at least one prompt lepton are modelled by MC simulation. Contributions from processes surviving selection requirements due to non-prompt leptons or hadronic jets misidentified as leptons (dominated by QCD multijet events) are estimated by a data-driven method, with MC samples serving as cross checks. All samples were produced using the ATLAS simulation infrastructure [28] and GEANT4 [29]. The estimate of some systematic

beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upwards. Polar coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$. Angular distance is measured in units of $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$.

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the

uncertainties used a faster detector simulation employing a parameterisation of the calorimeter response [30]. Unless specified otherwise, the parton shower, hadronisation, and underlying events are modelled using PYTHIA8.230 [31], with parameters set according to the A14 set of tunable parameters (the A14 “tune”) [32] and using the NNPDF2.3LO set of parton distribution functions (PDFs) [33]. In the simulation, the t -quark and SM Higgs boson masses were set to 172.5 GeV and 125 GeV, respectively. The effect of additional pp interactions per bunch crossing (pile-up) is accounted for by overlaying the hard-scattering process with minimum-bias events simulated with PYTHIA 8.186 [34] using the A3 tune [35] and NNPDF2.3LO PDFs. The distribution of the mean number of interactions per bunch crossing in simulation is reweighted such that its distribution matches that measured in the data.

Signal events for the production and decay of $T\bar{T}$ and $B\bar{B}$ were simulated at leading-order using PROTOS v.2.2 [36] with VLQ masses from 1000 GeV to 1800 GeV in steps of 100 GeV, and with a mass of 2000 GeV. The samples were produced for the singlet model, with alternative branching ratio scenarios obtained by reweighting the events based on the decay mode. Samples for the doublet model with a mass of 1.2 TeV were also produced to confirm that kinematic differences due to isospin have negligible impact on the results. The signal cross-sections were calculated with TOP++ 2.0 [37] at next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO) in QCD including the resummation of next-to-next-to-leading-logarithmic (NNLL) soft-gluon terms.

The dominant background arises from the production of t -quark pairs ($t\bar{t}$). Events with a single t -quark (henceforth referred to as “single top”) or a W boson produced in association with jets ($W+\text{jets}$) also make significant contributions. Finally, events containing a Z boson and jets ($Z+\text{jets}$), three or four t -quarks, multi-boson events, and t -quark pairs produced in association with a vector boson or a Higgs boson ($t\bar{t}V$ with $V = W, Z$ and $t\bar{t}H$, respectively) have small contributions.

The production of $t\bar{t}$ events is modelled using the POWHEG BOX v2 [38–41] generator at NLO accuracy in QCD with the NNPDF3.0NLO [42] PDFs and the h_{damp} parameter² set to $1.5m_t$ [43], where m_t denotes the t -quark mass. The dependence on the parton shower and hadronisation models is evaluated by comparing the nominal $t\bar{t}$ sample with an alternative sample also produced with POWHEG BOX v2 generator using the NNPDF3.0NLO PDFs, but instead interfaced to HERWIG7.04 [44,45] using the MMHT2014LO PDFs [46] and the H7UE tune [45]. An uncertainty in the matching of the NLO matrix elements (ME) to the parton shower is assessed by comparing the nominal sample to one simulated with MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.6.0 [47] using the NNPDF3.0NLO PDFs. The effect from possibly under-estimating the initial-state radiation (ISR) is evaluated by comparing the nominal sample to one produced with h_{damp} increased to $3m_t$, the renormalisation and factorisation scales divided by two, and using the “Var3cUp” weight of the A14 tune. The effect from possibly over-estimating the ISR is evaluated by comparing the nominal sample to one obtained by doubling the renormalisation and factorisation scales and choosing the “Var3cDown” weight of the A14 tune [48]. The size of the uncertainty in the modelling of final state radiation (FSR) is evaluated by doubling or halving the renormalisation scale for emissions from the parton shower.

The associated production of a t -quark with a W boson (tW) accounts for the vast majority of single top events. These events were modelled with the POWHEG BOX v2 generator at NLO in QCD using the five-flavour scheme and the NNPDF3.0NLO PDFs. The nominal sample uses the diagram removal (DR) scheme [49] to remove the contribution from Feynman diagrams already included in the $t\bar{t}$ production. An alternative

sample simulated using the diagram subtraction scheme (DS) [43,49] is used to evaluate an uncertainty due to the choice of $t\bar{t}/tW$ overlap removal scheme. The uncertainty due to the parton shower and hadronisation models is evaluated by comparing the nominal sample of events with events simulated by the POWHEG BOX v2 generator interfaced to HERWIG7.04 using the H7UE tune and the MMHT2014LO PDFs. To assess the uncertainty in the matching of the ME to the parton shower, the nominal tW sample is compared with a sample simulated with the MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.6.2 generator at NLO in QCD using the five-flavour scheme and the NNPDF2.3LO PDFs [42].

The small contributions from single top t -channel and s -channel production were modelled using the POWHEG BOX v2 [39–41,50,51] generator at NLO in QCD using the four-flavour scheme (t -channel) or the five-flavour scheme (s -channel) and the NNPDF3.0NLO PDFs. The uncertainty due to the parton shower and hadronisation models is evaluated by comparing the nominal sample of events with events simulated by the POWHEG BOX v2 generator at NLO accuracy in QCD using the five-flavour scheme and interfaced to HERWIG7.04 using the H7UE tune and the MMHT2014LO PDFs. To assess the uncertainty in the matching of NLO accuracy ME to the parton shower, the nominal sample is compared with a sample simulated with the MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.6.2 generator at NLO accuracy in QCD using the five-flavour scheme and NNPDF2.3NLO or NNPDF3.0NLO PDFs for the t - and s -channel, respectively.

The production of $V+\text{jets}$ ($V = W, Z$) was simulated with the SHERPA2.2.1 [52] generator at NLO accuracy in QCD for up to two partons, and at LO accuracy for up to four additional partons. The full samples are then normalised to the NNLO predictions [53]. Samples of diboson events (VV) were simulated with the SHERPA2.2.1 or 2.2.2 [52] generator at NLO accuracy in QCD for up to one additional parton and at LO accuracy for up to three additional parton emissions, including off-shell effects and Higgs-boson contributions, where appropriate. The ME calculations for SHERPA samples were matched and merged with the parton shower based on Catani–Seymour dipole factorisation [54,55] using the MEPS@NLO prescription. The virtual QCD corrections were provided by the OPENLOOPS library [56–58]. The NNPDF3.0NNLO set of PDFs was used, along with the dedicated tune developed by the SHERPA authors.

The production of $t\bar{t}V$ and tWZ events was modelled using the MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.3.3 generator at NLO with the NNPDF3.0NLO PDF and PYTHIA8.210 (PYTHIA8.211) for $t\bar{t}V$ (tWZ) with the A14 tune and the NNPDF2.3LO PDFs for the parton shower and hadronisation. The diagram removal scheme described in Ref. [49] was employed to treat the overlap between tWZ and $t\bar{t}Z$, and was applied to the tWZ sample. The production of $t\bar{t}H$ events is modelled using the POWHEG BOX v2 generator at NLO with the NNPDF3.0NLO PDF set. The production of tZ , three and four t -quarks events, and $t\bar{t}WW$ events was simulated with MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.2.2 interfaced to PYTHIA8.186 using the A14 tune. The small contributions from processes from $t\bar{t}H$, tWZ , tZ , $t\bar{t}WW$ and multi-top production are summarised as “rare top” processes. Multijet MC samples were simulated using the SHERPA 2.1.1 generator. The matrix element calculation was included for the $2 \rightarrow 2$ process at leading-order, and the default SHERPA parton shower based on Catani–Seymour dipole factorisation was used for the showering with p_T ordering, using the CT10 PDF set [59].

Decays of b - and c -hadrons were simulated by EVTGEN 1.6.0 [60] in all simulations except SHERPA, for which the default SHERPA configuration recommended by the SHERPA authors was used.

4. Object reconstruction

Events are required to contain at least one vertex with two or more associated tracks that must have $p_T > 500$ MeV. Among all vertices, the vertex with the highest p_T^2 sum of the associated tracks is taken as the primary vertex (PV) [61].

² The h_{damp} parameter is a resummation damping factor and one of the parameters that controls the matching of POWHEG matrix elements (ME) to the parton shower and thus effectively regulates the high- p_T radiation against which the $t\bar{t}$ system recoils.

Electrons are reconstructed from clusters in the EM calorimeter matched with ID tracks and are calibrated [62]. Electron candidates must be in the central region of the detector ($|\eta| < 2.47$) with $p_T > 27 \text{ GeV}$ and match a track with $|z_0 \sin \theta| < 0.5 \text{ mm}$ and $|d_0/\sigma_{d_0}| < 5$; where d_0 is the impact parameter between the track and the beam line, σ_{d_0} is the measured uncertainty in d_0 , and z_0 is the minimum distance in z between the track and the beam spot. Any candidates in the transition region between the barrel and endcap calorimeters ($1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$) are removed. “Baseline electrons” must satisfy the *medium likelihood* identification criteria [62], with no selection on the isolation. “Tight electrons” must satisfy the *tight likelihood* identification criteria, plus the following isolation requirements in both the calorimeter and the ID [62]. The first isolation requirement is $E_{\text{T},\text{cone}}^{\text{isol}}/p_T^e < 0.2$; where p_T^e is the electron candidate p_T and $E_{\text{T},\text{cone}}^{\text{isol}}$ is the energy deposited in the calorimeter within a cone of size $R = 0.2$ around the candidate direction; any leakage energy and energy from pile-up are subtracted. The second isolation requirement is $p_{\text{T},\text{var}}^{\text{isol}}/p_T^e < 0.15$; where $p_{\text{T},\text{var}}^{\text{isol}}$ is the sum of the track p_T without the electron candidate in a cone of radius $R = \min(10 \text{ GeV}/p_T^e, 0.2)$. Scale factors are used to correct for differences between data and simulation for reconstruction, identification, isolation and trigger selection efficiencies [62].

Muons are reconstructed [63] from combined tracks in the MS and the ID, with “baseline muons” required to satisfy the *loose* identification criteria and no selection on the isolation, while “tight muons” must satisfy the *tight* identification criteria [63] and satisfy the track-based isolation requirements defined by the *TightTrackOnly* working point. This working point uses the scalar sum of the p_T of all tracks that are within a cone of size $R = \min(0.3, 10 \text{ GeV}/p_T^\mu)$ around the muon candidate, where p_T^μ is the candidate muon p_T . The track matched to the muon candidate under consideration is excluded from the sum. The muon is selected if this sum is less than 6% of p_T^μ . Finally, all muon candidates are required to satisfy $|z_0 \sin \theta| < 0.5 \text{ mm}$ and $|d_0/\sigma_{d_0}| < 3$. Muons are calibrated [64] and are required to have $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}$ and to be reconstructed within $|\eta| = 2.5$. Scale factors are used to correct for differences in muon reconstruction, identification, vertex matching, isolation and trigger efficiencies between simulation and data [63].

Small-radius (small- R) jet candidates are built from particle-flow objects [65], using the anti- k_t algorithm [66,67] with a radius parameter of $R = 0.4$. The particle-flow algorithm combines information about tracks in the ID and energy deposits in the calorimeters to form the input for the jet reconstruction. The jet energy is calibrated to the particle level scale by using a sequence of corrections, including simulation-based corrections and in situ calibrations [68]. Jets are required to have $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$. To reject jets originating from pile-up interactions, jet candidates with $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $p_T < 60 \text{ GeV}$ are required to satisfy the “tight” jet vertex tagger (JVT) criterion [69]. An algorithm based on deep and recurrent neural networks, called DL1r, is used to identify small- R jets containing a b -hadron decay [70]. Jets are considered to be b -tagged if they satisfy the criteria for the operating point with an efficiency of 77% and mistag rates for charm and light jets of 17.7% and 0.52%, respectively, as determined in simulated $t\bar{t}$ events. The b -quark tagging efficiencies in simulation, and the charm and light jet mistag rates, are corrected to match the efficiencies in data [71–73].

An overlap removal procedure is applied to prevent double counting of ambiguous reconstructed objects, using the baseline lepton definitions. First, electron–muon overlap is handled by removing muons sharing a track in the ID with an electron if the muon is calorimeter-tagged [63], and otherwise removing the electron. Next, overlap between jets and leptons is removed by rejecting any jets within $\Delta R = 0.2$ of an electron, followed by the rejection of any electrons within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of the remaining jets. Similarly, jets are discarded if they have fewer than three matched tracks and are within $\Delta R = 0.2$ of a muon candidate. Otherwise, the muon is rejected if it lies within $\Delta R = \min(0.4, 0.04 + 10 \text{ GeV}/p_T^\mu)$ of a jet.

The missing transverse momentum, with magnitude $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$, is defined as the negative vectorial sum of the transverse momenta of all calibrated objects in an event, plus a track-based soft-term which takes into account energy depositions matched to the primary vertex but not matched with any calibrated object [74].

Finally, large-radius (large- R) jets are constructed from the noise-suppressed topological calorimeter-cell clusters calibrated by using local hadronic cell reweighting [75] and the anti- k_t algorithm with $R = 1.0$. To reduce the impact of soft radiation, a grooming algorithm called “trimming” [76] is applied. Constituent small- R jets, reclustered with the k_t algorithm with a radius parameter $R = 0.2$, with p_T less than 5% of the large- R jet p_T are removed. The large- R jets are required to have $p_T > 200 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.0$ and a mass larger than 50 GeV. The jet energy scale (JES) and resolution (JER) and the mass scale (JMS) and resolution (JMR) of large-radius jets are calibrated [77,78]. A W boson tagging algorithm identifies high- p_T hadronically decaying W bosons, whose decay products are collimated to a single large-radius jet [79], with an efficiency of 80%. The W -tagging algorithm applies criteria on the mass of the large-radius jet, the number of inner-detector tracks associated with the jets and the energy correlation function ratio D_2 [79,80]. Scale factors correct the W boson tagging efficiency in simulation to match the efficiency measured in data [81].

5. Event selection, reconstruction and categorisation

This search targets final states with exactly one electron or muon, missing transverse momentum, and jets. Events are selected by a single lepton or $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ trigger, as described in Section 3. If the event is selected by a single lepton trigger, the selected lepton must have $p_T > 27 \text{ GeV}$ and be matched to the object that triggered the event. If the event is selected by the $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ trigger, it must have $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}} > 200 \text{ GeV}$. The $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ trigger selection is added to compensate for the efficiency loss of the single muon triggers at high muon p_T . These lepton and the $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ requirements ensure full trigger efficiency over all data taking periods.

Events are required to have exactly one tight muon with $p_T > 27 \text{ GeV}$ or one tight electron with $p_T > 60 \text{ GeV}$. Increasing the p_T threshold for electrons to $p_T > 60 \text{ GeV}$ facilitates the estimation of the background from non-prompt electrons. The fake lepton background estimation relies on the fact that, compared to real leptons, fake leptons that satisfy the baseline lepton selection have a much lower efficiency for satisfying the tight lepton criteria. The single electron trigger selection, which is part of both the baseline and tight electron selection already imposes very strict selections on the electrons with $p_T < 60 \text{ GeV}$, making it difficult to define a selection tighter than the baseline electron criteria. Studies showed that increasing the electron p_T threshold to 60 GeV does not impact the analysis sensitivity. Events with additional leptons with $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$ satisfying the baseline criteria are vetoed. In addition, all events must have $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}} > 60 \text{ GeV}$ and contain at least three small- R jets, at least one of which must be b -tagged. The criteria described above are referred to as the preselection.

The focus is on T -quarks with a mass above 1.35 TeV, which corresponds to the lower mass limit set by the previous analysis [13]. The large mass of the T will lead to decay products with large p_T , which in turn will have collimated decay products. In particular, the hadronically decaying W boson will produce a large- R jet, so events are required to contain at least one W -tagged large- R jet. If more than one large- R jet is W -tagged, the one with a mass closest to the W -boson mass ($m_W = 80.38 \text{ GeV}$) is selected as the hadronically decaying W boson (W_{had}) for the reconstruction of the hadronically decaying T -quark candidate. The leptonically decaying W boson (W_{lep}) is reconstructed from the system of the selected lepton and reconstructed neutrino. The \vec{p}_T of the reconstructed neutrino is determined from the missing transverse momentum, and the p_z of the reconstructed neutrino is calculated by assuming that the lepton-neutrino system has the invariant mass of the W boson. This leads to a quadratic equation. When two real solutions are obtained, the one with the smaller absolute value for the

reconstructed neutrino p_z is used. When the solutions are complex, a real solution is obtained by adjusting the x and y components of the neutrino momentum to minimise a χ^2 parameter that includes the uncertainties in the neutrino and lepton momenta and W boson mass. Finally, the angular distance between the lepton and the reconstructed neutrino is required to be $\Delta R(\ell, \nu) < 0.7$.

Reconstruction of the two T candidates is done by pairing each of the W candidates, W_{lep} and W_{had} , with a small- R jet. The small- R jets are selected for pairing with the candidate W bosons by minimising the difference between the hadronic and leptonic reconstructed T masses, $\Delta m_{\text{VLQ}} \equiv |m_T^{\text{lep}} - m_T^{\text{had}}|$. If the event contains one b -tagged jet, only combinations that include the b -tagged jet are considered. If the event contains two or more b -tagged jets, only combinations with the two b -tagged jets leading in p_T are considered. Signal events are expected to have VLQs with low p_T and high mass, causing the jet paired with the W_{had} , referred to as b_{had} , to be well separated from the W_{had} . By contrast, $t\bar{t}$ events will have high- p_T t -quarks that lead to a small opening angle between the resulting W and b . Therefore, a requirement of $\Delta R(W_{\text{had}}, b_{\text{had}}) > 1.0$ is imposed to reduce the $t\bar{t}$ background. Well reconstructed signal events should also have small values of Δm_{VLQ} , with both the reconstructed T -quark masses m_T^{lep} and m_T^{had} close to the actual mass of the T -quark. A similar statement can be made for $t\bar{t}$ events, but the reconstructed variables m_T^{lep} and m_T^{had} are close to the t -quark mass m_t . Production of $T\bar{T}$ events with other decays, such as $T \rightarrow Ht/Zt$, will tend to have m_T^{lep} and m_T^{had} near the T -quark mass, but with large values of Δm_{VLQ} as the VLQ reconstruction is less optimised for t -quarks from the T -quark decay. Detector effects, final state radiation, and mis-reconstruction can also broaden the reconstructed mass peaks for signal and $t\bar{t}$ events. In contrast, a smooth distribution in Δm_{VLQ} is expected for background processes, such as $W+\text{jets}$ and single top production. The variable Δm_{VLQ} is therefore used to separate regions enriched in single top background with similar kinematic properties as the single top events in the signal region.

The scalar sum S_T of the p_T of the selected small- R jets, the lepton p_T and the E_T^{miss} , is a powerful discrimination quantity, due to the large expected mass of the T . The signal regions require $S_T > 1900 \text{ GeV}$, while regions to constrain the background nuisance parameters and normalisations require $1400 < S_T < 1900 \text{ GeV}$. The control and signal regions are further divided by Δm_{VLQ} , as illustrated in Fig. 2. Events in these regions are used in the final likelihood fit as described in Section 8. The signal region is defined by $S_T > 1900 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Delta m_{\text{VLQ}} < 500 \text{ GeV}$, which is further divided into the regions SR1 with $\Delta m_{\text{VLQ}} < 200 \text{ GeV}$ and SR2 with $200 < \Delta m_{\text{VLQ}} < 500 \text{ GeV}$. The region SR1 is designed to capture the best-reconstructed VLQ events that would have a narrow peak in the m_T^{lep} and m_T^{had} distributions. The region SR2 will capture less-well-reconstructed VLQ events, resulting in broader mass distributions. Despite this, the fraction of VLQs expected to enter this region is non-negligible. A control region with $S_T > 1900 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Delta m_{\text{VLQ}} > 500 \text{ GeV}$, denoted $S_T^{\text{High}} \Delta m_{\text{CR}}$, has low signal contamination for signal masses that are not excluded yet and is dominated by single top production. This control region provides an opportunity to constrain the modelling of the single top background using events with kinematic properties similar to the signal region. The region with $1400 < S_T < 1900 \text{ GeV}$ is divided into two control regions in Δm_{VLQ} : $t\bar{t}\text{CR}$ with $\Delta m_{\text{VLQ}} < 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $S_T^{\text{Low}} \Delta m_{\text{CR}}$ with $\Delta m_{\text{VLQ}} > 500 \text{ GeV}$. The $t\bar{t}\text{CR}$ is rich in $t\bar{t}$ events with a purity of nearly 70%, allowing the constraint of the normalisation and modelling of t -quark pair production. The $S_T^{\text{Low}} \Delta m_{\text{CR}}$ provides a second region for constraining the single top background, but with kinematics properties similar to the $t\bar{t}\text{CR}$. A summary of all regions is provided in Table 1. The regions not included in the fit, $W+\text{jetsCR}$ and $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$ ($t\bar{t}$ reweighting region), serve to derive data-driven corrections to the background modelling and are discussed in the following section.




Fig. 2. Illustration of the two-dimensional plane in S_T and Δm_{VLQ} on which the signal and control regions, included in the final combined likelihood fit, are defined.

6. Background estimate

Contributions from the dominant background processes, $t\bar{t}$ and $W+\text{jets}$ production, are estimated by using MC simulation with data-driven corrections derived in dedicated control regions dominated by the respective process. The SM backgrounds containing a prompt-lepton (single top, $Z+\text{jets}$, diboson, etc.) are also estimated by using MC simulations. Finally, the small contribution from multijet events is estimated by using a data-driven approach.

A correction for $W+\text{jets}$ events is derived in a dedicated control region referred to as the $W+\text{jetsCR}$. This region is constructed to be orthogonal to the signal region, in particular with an inverted jet mass requirement in the hadronic W boson tagging algorithm. Possible biases in the modelling of $W+\text{jets}$ events due to the inversion of this requirement are investigated and taken into account with a dedicated uncertainty, as detailed below. The $W+\text{jetsCR}$ also requires $900 < S_T < 1900 \text{ GeV}$ to reduce possible contamination from VLQ signal events. All other selection requirements are the same as in the signal region, as shown in Table 1.

Requiring at least one b -tagged jet, similar to the signal region requirement, causes the $W+\text{jetsCR}$ to have a significant contribution from $t\bar{t}$ events. This requirement helps to validate the correction to simulated $W+\text{jets}$ events as the modelling of $W+\text{jets}$ depends on the heavy-flavour requirement [82]. The estimate of the $W+\text{jets}$ correction is based on the charge asymmetry of $W+\text{jets}$ events in the $W+\text{jetsCR}$, present due to the asymmetry in u - and d -quark content in the proton [83]. Charge asymmetry is defined as $A = N_+ - N_-$, where N_+ and N_- are the numbers of events with a positively or negatively charged lepton, respectively. The correction factor to the $W+\text{jets}$ normalisation is calculated by comparing A in data and MC simulation in the $W+\text{jetsCR}$. This approach decouples the charge-asymmetric process, $W+\text{jets}$, from possible mis-modelling of the $t\bar{t}$ events, which is a charge-symmetric process. This method is also useful for separating charge-asymmetric events from the VLQ signal, as it is also charge-symmetric. The contributions to A from charge-symmetric backgrounds, such as $t\bar{t}$ and multijet, cancel out. The small contributions from other charge-asymmetric backgrounds, such as single top, diboson, and t -associated production, is accounted for using MC samples. The dependence of the $W+\text{jets}$ normalisation on S_T and the W -tag requirements was investigated in the control region sidebands (relaxed or tightened requirements on S_T or the W -tag selection in the $W+\text{jetsCR}$) to ensure applicability of this correction in the signal region. The $W+\text{jets}$ correction is derived as a function of S_T up to $S_T = 4 \text{ TeV}$ as a check and no dependence of the $W+\text{jets}$ correction on the event S_T is observed. An additional uncertainty is added to cover the difference between the normalisation correction found in the $W+\text{jetsCR}$ and the control region sidebands with varied W -tag requirements. The dependence of the $W+\text{jets}$ normalisation on other relevant event and kinematic variables is checked and no significant dependence is found.

Table 1
Overview of the signal and control regions used in the analysis.

Selection	SR1 / SR2	$t\bar{t}$ CR	$S_T^{\text{Low} \Delta m\text{CR}} / S_T^{\text{High} \Delta m\text{CR}}$	$W+\text{jetsCR}$	$t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$
Preselection	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
$N_{\text{Large-}R\text{ Jet}}$	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1
S_T [GeV]	>1900	1400–1900	1400–1900 / >1900	900–1900	>800
$N_{W\text{-tag}}$	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1 partially inverted	≥ 1
$N_{b\text{-tag}}$	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 2
$\Delta R(W_{\text{had}}, b_{\text{had}})$	> 1.0	> 1.0	> 1.0	–	< 1.0
$\Delta R(\ell, v)$	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 1.0	< 1.2
Δm_{VLQ} [GeV]	$< 200 / 200\text{--}500$	< 500	> 500	–	–
$m_T^{\text{lep}}, m_T^{\text{had}}$ [GeV]	–	–	–	–	< 700
Included in fit	yes / yes	yes	yes / yes	no	no
Goal	Optimise signal sensitivity	Constrain $t\bar{t}$ normalisation	Constrain single top uncertainties	Derive $W+\text{jets}$ normalisation factor	Derive $t\bar{t}$ S_T shape reweighting




Fig. 3. Distributions of S_T for data (dots) and predictions (histograms with various colours) in the $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$ (a) before and (b) after applying the reweighting (see text). The prediction is normalised to the data to illustrate the discrepancies in the shape of the distribution and the effect of the S_T shape reweighting. The error bars include the statistical uncertainty and the shaded band represents the total systematic uncertainty. The last bin includes the overflow.

cies are observed. Thus, a single normalisation factor is applied to the $W+\text{jets}$ MC prediction. The $W+\text{jets}$ normalisation correction amounts to $f_{W+\text{jets}} = 0.915 \pm 0.09$ (stat.) ± 0.54 (syst.), the largest systematic uncertainties are from the modelling of the single top background and from inverting the requirements of the W -tag selection.

The $t\bar{t}$ background estimate from MC simulation is known to overestimate the number of events at high t -quark p_T [84], directly impacting the modelling of S_T , which is essential for discriminating signal from background. Therefore, a data-driven reweighting is applied to the $t\bar{t}$ MC events to correct for the difference between the MC and the data in the S_T distribution. The correction is derived in the $t\bar{t}$ reweighting region $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$, defined in Table 1, which has a $t\bar{t}$ purity of 89%, with the next most significant contribution from single top background at 6%. The main selection criteria differentiating the $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$ from the signal region are $\Delta R(W\text{-tag}, b_{\text{had}}) < 1.0$ and $S_T > 800$ GeV. These criteria are motivated by the low angular distance between the W boson and b -quark from the decay of a high- p_T t -quark. To ensure that the contamination by signal event in $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$ is low, the invariant masses of the reconstructed VLQs, m_T^{lep} and m_T^{had} , are required to be smaller than 700 GeV. Fig. 3(a) shows the S_T distributions in the $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$ before deriving the reweighting.

The reweighting is constructed to correct the shape of the S_T distribution, but not the normalisation, as it is varying freely in the final likelihood fit and ultimately constrained by the data in the $t\bar{t}\text{CR}$. The contributions to the $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$ from processes other than $t\bar{t}$ are subtracted from the data and the ratio of the resulting S_T distribution and the S_T distribution from the $t\bar{t}$ prediction is calculated. The correction factor is then derived in a fit to that ratio with a function of the form:

$$f(S_T) = p_0 \cdot \exp(-p_1 \cdot (S_T - p_2)^2) + p_3, \quad (1)$$

where p_i (with $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are the free parameters of the fit. Other functional forms are also studied, but the one in Eq. (1) is found to describe the data/MC ratio most accurately. The fit is also found to be consistent for different fit intervals and bin choices. The S_T and the jet multiplicity, N_{jets} , are correlated, so the correction to S_T could also depend on N_{jets} . Therefore, separate fits are performed for events with $3 \leq N_{\text{jets}} \leq 6$ and $N_{\text{jets}} \geq 7$. Fig. 3(b) shows the S_T distribution in the $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$ after the application of the reweighting correction.

The statistical uncertainty of the fit is propagated as an uncertainty on the reweighting correction. The impact of the uncertainty in the single top modelling is taken into account by varying the single top contribution by 100% in the $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$ region. Uncertainties in other processes have negligible impact on the extracted reweighting. The offset parameter p_3 in Eq. (1) causes the function to approach an asymptote at high values of S_T to 0.64 for $3 \leq N_{\text{jets}} \leq 6$ and 0.62 for $N_{\text{jets}} \geq 7$, where the statistical uncertainty is too large to determine the exact dependence of the correction on S_T with confidence. In addition, an uncertainty of 58% (38%), covering the large statistical uncertainty in the high- S_T tail, is added if $S_T \geq 2.5$ TeV for events with $3 \leq N_{\text{jets}} \leq 6$ ($N_{\text{jets}} \geq 7$). Finally, the correction in S_T is tested in a dedicated $t\bar{t}$ -dominated validation region, where the $t\bar{t}$ MC estimate is found to have good agreement with data after application of the S_T -dependent correction.

Events from multijet production satisfy the lepton requirement of the signal region by mis-identifying a hadronic jet as a lepton or a non-prompt lepton from a heavy-flavour jet, collectively referred to as non-prompt leptons. The contribution from multijet production is small, accounting for about 5% of the events in the signal region. Back-

ground from these processes is estimated by using a data-driven “Matrix Method” (MM) [85]. This method uses the classification of the leptons in “loose” and “tight” categories to predict the number of non-prompt leptons. Tight leptons correspond to signal leptons, as described in Section 5. Loose leptons satisfy the baseline criteria, as defined in Section 5, but fail to meet the tight lepton requirements. A “loose region” enriched in non-prompt leptons is created by changing the lepton requirement from tight to loose for the signal region selection. The number of events with prompt (non-prompt) leptons in the signal region is related to the number of events with prompt (non-prompt) leptons in the loose region by the efficiencies for prompt (non-prompt) leptons that satisfy the baseline lepton requirements to also satisfy the tight requirements, which is referred to as the “real efficiency” (“fake efficiency”). Therefore, once the real and fake efficiencies are determined, the number of events with non-prompt leptons (the multijet events) in the signal region can be calculated, as described in Ref. [86].

The tight lepton criteria reduce the contribution of the multijet background in the signal and control regions to very low levels, such that the shapes of differential distributions estimated by the MM are dominated by statistical fluctuations. An accurate prediction for the multijet background is particularly important for the m_T^{lep} distribution, as it is used in the final likelihood fit. As the background events do not contain real VLQ decays, the distribution of reconstructed masses is largely determined by the kinematic constraints from the event selection. As a result, the m_T^{lep} distribution for $Z + \text{jets}$ and multijet events are very similar, but is much more stable for $Z + \text{jets}$. Therefore, in the final fit, the shape of the m_T^{lep} template for the multijet background is taken from $Z + \text{jets}$ events, while the total predicted yield is determined from the MM calculation.

For reconstructed m_T^{lep} below 200 GeV, the MM estimate for multijet events is extremely sensitive to the amount of $t\bar{t}$ that is subtracted from the data. Therefore, an iterative method is employed to determine the total predicted multijet yield for the scaling of the $Z + \text{jets}$ template. First, the MM prediction is evaluated using the nominal normalization for the subtracted $t\bar{t}$. Second, the m_T^{lep} template (taken from $Z + \text{jets}$ events) is scaled to have the same yield as the MM prediction for events with $m_T^{\text{lep}} > 200$ GeV. Third, the normalisation of the $t\bar{t}$ events is adjusted to get the MM prediction to agree with the m_T^{lep} template for $m_T^{\text{lep}} > 200$ GeV. The second and third steps are then repeated until the predicted multijet yield changes by less than 1% from the previous iteration. An uncertainty of 100% is assigned to the multijet yield to account for the impact of systematic uncertainties in the modelling of prompt lepton processes and the fake-lepton efficiency. An additional uncorrelated uncertainty of 100% is applied to the multijet prediction for $m_T^{\text{lep}} < 200$ GeV to account for the uncertainty of the MM prediction in that region due to the normalization of the $t\bar{t}$ background. To model the multijet shape in other variables and analysis regions, the MC sample for multijet production, scaled to the MM estimate, is used.

7. Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties are broadly grouped into the following two classes: experimental uncertainties, which are related to the modelling of the detector response and reconstruction of physics objects, and to the data-driven background estimate or the data-driven corrections to the simulated backgrounds; and theoretical uncertainties, which are related to the modelling of the physics processes by simulation. Unless stated otherwise, uncertainties from a common source are correlated across processes and regions in the final statistical analysis and the impact of the uncertainties is allowed to be constrained by the likelihood fit to the data.

The largest systematic uncertainties are related to the modelling of $t\bar{t}$ and single top backgrounds. The uncertainties in the theory for the $t\bar{t}$ prediction include the choice of MC generator (in this case, MC events were generated to NLO accuracy); ISR, FSR, and parton shower model;

and shower matching scheme of simulated $t\bar{t}$ events. Each of these uncertainties is evaluated by comparing the nominal $t\bar{t}$ prediction to the alternative MC samples, as described in Section 3. Modelling uncertainties in the single top prediction include the choice of the parton shower and the matching of the NLO matrix element to the parton shower, which are evaluated using alternative samples as described in Section 3. Similarly, an uncertainty due to the choice of the $t\bar{t}/Wt$ overlap removal scheme is evaluated by comparing the nominal single top MC produced with DR scheme to the alternative sample produced with the DS scheme [87]. The DR scheme is found to be more compatible with the data at lower energies, while at higher energies, the DS scheme is observed to provide a better description of the data. To avoid extrapolation of the DS versus DR uncertainty from the regions defined with low S_T to those at high S_T , this uncertainty is split into a high- S_T component, applied to regions with $S_T > 1.9$ TeV, and a low- S_T component, applied to regions with $1.4 < S_T < 1.9$ TeV. For both the single top and the $t\bar{t}$ production, uncertainties in the PDF are obtained using the PDF4LHC15 combined PDF set [88]. The effect of QCD scale uncertainties is estimated by independently doubling or halving the renormalisation and factorisation scales for single top and $t\bar{t}$ production, respectively. The QCD scale variation with the largest impact on the fit discriminant (m_T^{lep}) is used.

To simplify the statistical analysis, all the smaller backgrounds from $t\bar{t}V$, $Z + \text{jets}$, rare top processes and diboson production are grouped into a single category named “other”, and a single overall normalisation uncertainty of 50% is applied. This uncertainty of 50% is adopted from the uncertainty in $Z + \text{jets}$ events which has the largest relative uncertainty among the processes contained in the “other” category. The uncertainty in the $Z + \text{jets}$ contribution was estimated in the previous analysis [13] by investigating the mis-modelling of the jet multiplicity of $Z + \text{jets}$ events.

Experimental uncertainties include an uncertainty of 0.83% on the integrated luminosity measurement on the combined 2015–2018 data [22], obtained using the LUCID-2 detector [23] for the primary luminosity measurements. Uncertainties in leptons arise from potential mis-modelling of the electron and muon energy scales and resolutions [62,64] and from uncertainties in the correction factors to the electron and muon trigger, reconstruction, identification and isolation efficiencies [62,63].

Small- R jets have uncertainties in the JES, the JER [68] and on the JMS [77]. An uncertainty is assigned to the JVT selection efficiency [69] and to the reweighting factors that correct the pile-up profile in MC simulations to match that in data. Similarly, JES, JER [77] and JMS uncertainties, and in addition JMR [78] systematic uncertainties are assigned to large-radius jets. An uncertainty related to the scale and resolution of the track soft term in the E_T^{miss} calculation [74] is applied.

Uncertainties in the b -tagging algorithm selection efficiency include uncertainties in the b -jet selection efficiency, c -jet and light jet mistag rate correction factors and additional components from the extrapolation of the calibrations to high p_T and from c -jets to τ leptons [71–73]. An uncertainty is assigned to the efficiency correction of the W boson tagging algorithm [79,81].

Uncertainties in the data-driven corrections for simulated backgrounds or in the data-driven multijet event estimate are briefly listed below and detailed in Section 6. The uncertainty in the data-driven correction for simulated $W + \text{jets}$ events is 60%. This is among the dominant systematic uncertainties, though still much smaller than the statistical uncertainty of the data set. The uncertainty in the $t\bar{t} S_T$ shape reweighting consists of a statistical component and a systematic component from the uncertainty in the single top contribution in the $t\bar{t}\text{RWR}$. The two components affecting the multijet background estimate include a global 100% uncertainty in the MM estimate and an additional 100% uncertainty for reconstructed VLQ invariant masses m_T^{lep} below 200 GeV.




Fig. 4. Distribution for m_T^{lep} for data (dots) and predictions (histograms with various colours) in the five fit regions ((a) $S_T^{\text{Low}}\Delta m\text{CR}$, (b) $S_T^{\text{High}}\Delta m\text{CR}$, (c) $t\bar{t}\text{CR}$, (d) SR1, and (e) SR2, respectively) after the simultaneous fit to data under the background-only hypothesis (Post-Fit). The binning in m_T^{lep} was optimised to maximise the sensitivity to the signal in the signal regions while it is ensured that each bin of the fit regions contains a reasonable number of data events to guarantee good fit behaviour. The lower panel of each plot shows the ratio of data and predicted background yields. The arrow indicates that the ratio point is outside the ordinate range. The overflow events are included in the last bin. The error bars include the statistical uncertainty and the shaded band represents the systematic uncertainty after the likelihood fit (see text). The dashed green histograms in (d) SR1 and (e) SR2 show the shape for the signal with $m_{\text{VLQ}} = 1400 \text{ GeV}$ and $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow W b) = 1$ scaled to the total background prediction. The expected signal event yields in each of the fit regions are displayed in Table 3.

8. Statistical analysis and results

The presence of the VLQ signal is tested by using a fit to the reconstructed mass distributions of the leptonically decaying T candidate (m_T^{lep}) from the signal regions SR1 and SR2 and control regions $t\bar{t}\text{CR}$, $S_T^{\text{Low}}\Delta m\text{CR}$, and $S_T^{\text{High}}\Delta m\text{CR}$, denoted fit regions. The mass of the leptonically decaying T -quark is chosen because it is found to have a better resolution and sensitivity than the mass of the hadronically decaying T -quark. The fit maximises a binned likelihood function, $\mathcal{L}(\mu, \theta)$, constructed as a product of Poisson probabilities for all bins considered in the search and depends on the parameter of interest μ and a vector of nuisance parameters (NP) θ . The parameter of interest is the signal strength $\mu = \sigma^{\text{test}}/\sigma^{\text{theory}}$, where σ^{test} is the value for the VLQ cross-section being tested and σ^{theory} is the theoretical prediction. Each NP θ_i encodes a systematic uncertainty with a Gaussian function prior, except the normalisations of the $t\bar{t}$ and single top backgrounds, which are unconstrained, and the statistical uncertainties due to the finite size of the

Table 2

Event yields in the five fit regions after the fit to the data under the background-only hypothesis. The uncertainties include statistical and systematic uncertainties. The uncertainties in the individual background components can be larger than the uncertainty in the sum of the backgrounds due to correlations.

	SR1	SR2	$S_T^{\text{High}}\Delta m\text{CR}$	$t\bar{t}\text{CR}$	$S_T^{\text{Low}}\Delta m\text{CR}$
$t\bar{t}$	257 ± 33	74 ± 14	46 ± 9	1513 ± 150	127 ± 18
$W+\text{jets}$	61 ± 25	17 ± 7	12 ± 5	213 ± 90	24 ± 10
Single Top	53 ± 19	40 ± 14	23 ± 10	340 ± 120	60 ± 20
Other	48 ± 21	15 ± 7	7.5 ± 3.4	160 ± 70	14 ± 6
Multijet	3 ± 11	0.9 ± 3.1	0.7 ± 2.4	10 ± 40	1 ± 5
Total	422 ± 21	146 ± 11	89 ± 8	2240 ± 60	226 ± 13
Data	430	142	83	2235	232

MC samples, which are included as one additional NP per bin that accounts for the statistical uncertainty of the total estimated yield in the

Table 3

Expected $T\bar{T}$ event yields in the five fit regions for various VLQ scenarios. The uncertainties include statistical and systematic uncertainties.

Yields for $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$:					
m_{VLQ}/GeV	SR1	SR2	$S_T^{\text{High}} \Delta m\text{CR}$	$t\bar{t}\text{CR}$	$S_T^{\text{Low}} \Delta m\text{CR}$
1200	83 ± 4	26.9 ± 1.3	9.5 ± 0.6	18.7 ± 0.6	1.65 ± 0.11
1400	27.6 ± 1.7	10.6 ± 0.7	4.6 ± 0.4	2.41 ± 0.10	0.24 ± 0.04
1600	8.6 ± 0.6	3.59 ± 0.24	1.87 ± 0.14	0.273 ± 0.017	0.066 ± 0.009
1800	2.69 ± 0.23	1.47 ± 0.11	0.81 ± 0.06	0.057 ± 0.006	0.0076 ± 0.0015

Yields for SU(2) singlet T :					
m_{VLQ}/GeV	SR1	SR2	$S_T^{\text{High}} \Delta m\text{CR}$	$t\bar{t}\text{CR}$	$S_T^{\text{Low}} \Delta m\text{CR}$
1200	42.9 ± 2.1	20.4 ± 1.2	9.8 ± 0.8	12.5 ± 0.5	1.49 ± 0.08
1400	14.8 ± 0.9	7.7 ± 0.5	4.2 ± 0.4	1.71 ± 0.08	0.267 ± 0.024
1600	4.66 ± 0.32	2.58 ± 0.18	1.82 ± 0.16	0.246 ± 0.013	0.057 ± 0.004
1800	1.53 ± 0.13	0.94 ± 0.07	0.75 ± 0.06	0.0526 ± 0.0023	0.0101 ± 0.0007




Fig. 5. Expected (dashed black line) and observed (solid black line) upper limits at the 95% CL on the $T\bar{T}$ cross-section as a function of T -quark mass for (a) the $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ scenario and (b) in the SU(2) singlet T scenario. The green and yellow bands correspond to ± 1 and ± 2 standard deviations around the expected limit, respectively. The thin red line shows the theoretical prediction.

given bin. If an uncertainty would impact the normalisation or shape of all bins for a given process by less than 1%, the NP is removed from the fit for that process. The test statistic q_μ is defined as the profile likelihood ratio, $q_\mu = -2\ln(\mathcal{L}(\mu, \hat{\theta}_\mu)/\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta}))$, where $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\theta}$ are the values of the parameters that maximise the likelihood function (with the constraint $0 \leq \hat{\mu} \leq \mu$), and $\hat{\theta}_\mu$ are the values of the nuisance parameters that maximise the likelihood function for a given value of μ . The compatibility with the background-only hypothesis for the observed data is tested by setting $\mu = 0$ in the profile likelihood ratio. Upper limits on the cross-section times branching ratio for a given signal are derived by using q_μ in the modified frequentist CL_s method [89,90], approximated using the asymptotic formulae [91]. For a given signal, the cross-section times branching ratio is excluded at $\geq 95\%$ confidence level (CL) when $\text{CL}_s < 0.05$.

Fig. 4 shows the m_T^{lep} distribution in each of the five fit regions after the simultaneous fit to the background-only hypothesis to data. The m_T^{lep} distributions of the SM backgrounds show a steep decline towards high m_T^{lep} , whereas the signal m_T^{lep} distribution is expected to peak close to the simulated m_{VLQ} , at high m_T^{lep} in SR1 and SR2, effectively distinguishing it from the SM backgrounds. The corresponding event yields are listed in Table 2. The uncertainty in the total prediction does not equal the sum in quadrature of the individual component due to correlations between the fit parameters. The expected number of $T\bar{T}$ signal events in each of the five fit regions are given in Table 3 for various VLQ scenarios. The compatibility with the background-only hypothesis for the data is estimated by integrating the distribution of the test statistic above the observed value of q_0 . This value is computed for each signal scenario considered, defined by the assumed mass of the T -quark and the three

decay branching ratios. These results assume the T -quark has a narrow width.

No significant excess above the background expectation is found. Upper limits at the 95% CL on the $T\bar{T}$ production cross-section are set for two benchmark scenarios as a function of T -quark mass m_{VLQ} and compared with the theoretical prediction from TOP++ 2.0 (see Fig. 5). The resulting lower limit on m_{VLQ} is determined using the central value of the theoretical cross-section prediction.

For $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ and T -quark masses from 1000 GeV to 2000 GeV, $T\bar{T}$ production cross-sections greater than 10.1 fb to 0.27 fb are excluded at 95% CL. Comparing to the theory cross-section, this results in an observed (expected) lower limit on the T -quark mass of $m_{VLQ} > 1700 \text{ GeV}$ (1570 GeV) for this scenario. For branching ratios corresponding to the SU(2) singlet T scenario, the observed (expected) 95% CL lower mass limit is $m_{VLQ} > 1360 \text{ GeV}$ (1360 GeV). The sensitivity of the analysis is limited by the statistical uncertainty in the data sample size. Including all systematic uncertainties for the $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ scenario degrades the expected cross-section limit by less than 3.7% for any of the tested masses, and reduces the expected mass limit by only 10 GeV.

In the signal region, the prediction is found to slightly overestimate the data in the signal-sensitive high mass tail of the m_T^{lep} distribution. Therefore, the observed limits on the signal cross-section, and thus also on the signal mass m_{VLQ} , are generally more stringent than the expected limits. Large bin-to-bin variations between 1000 to 1600 GeV in the m_T^{lep} distribution are observed in SR1, with an excess relative to the prediction in one bin and deficits in three bins. However, no signal model is compatible with the narrow excess in SR1, as the width of the m_T^{lep} distribution for any of the signal models would be much wider than




Fig. 6. (a) Expected and (b) observed 95% CL lower limits on the mass of the T -quark in the branching-ratio plane of $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb)$ versus $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Ht)$. Contour lines are provided to guide the eye. The white region is due to the lower mass limit falling below 1000 GeV, the lowest signal mass considered in this search. The yellow marker indicates the branching ratio for the SU(2) singlet B scenario.




Fig. 7. (a) Expected and (b) observed 95% CL lower limits on the mass of the B -quark in the branching-ratio plane of $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Wt)$ versus $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Hb)$. Contour lines are provided to guide the eye. The white region is due to the lower mass limit falling below 1000 GeV, the lowest signal mass considered in this search. The yellow marker indicates the branching ratio for the SU(2) singlet B scenario.

the observed one-bin excess. Additionally, no such excess or large bin-to-bin variations of the data are observed in SR2. The narrow excess in SR1 does lead to weaker observed cross-section limits in an m_{VLQ} interval between around 1250 GeV to 1500 GeV relative to the rest of the m_{VLQ} spectrum.

In the previous search by ATLAS performed on a fraction of this data set with an integrated luminosity of 36 fb^{-1} [13], observed (expected) 95% CL mass limits of 1350 GeV (1310 GeV) for the scenario $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ and 1170 GeV (1080 GeV) for a singlet T were found. The present search thus extends the observed mass limits by 350 GeV and 190 GeV for the $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ and singlet T case, respectively. The increase of the mass limits can almost entirely be attributed to the increase in the size of the data sample from 36 fb^{-1} to 140 fb^{-1} , but about 15% (20%) of the improvement on the mass limits for the $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ (singlet T) scenario is expected to come from changes in the analysis strategy, especially from improvements to the W boson tagging and the slicing of the signal region into two regions, SR1 and SR2 according to Δm_{VLQ} .

To check that the results do not depend on the weak-isospin of the T -quark in the simulated signal events, a sample of $T\bar{T}$ events with a mass of 1.2 TeV was generated for an SU(2) doublet T -quark and compared with the nominal sample of the same mass generated with an SU(2) singlet T -quark. Both the expected number of events and expected excluded cross-section are consistent between the two samples. Thus the limits obtained are also applicable to VLQ models with

non-zero weak-isospin. As there is no explicit use of charge identification, the $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ limits are applicable to the pair-production of vector-like Y -quarks of charge $-4/3$, which decay exclusively into Wb .

In addition to the benchmark scenarios, other combinations of T branching ratios are tested by reweighting the relative contributions of the three T -quark decay modes. Fig. 6 shows the expected and observed lower limits on the T -quark mass as a function of $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb)$ and $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Ht)$. For each point in the figure, the branching ratios for $T \rightarrow Zt$ decay are determined by the requirement $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) + \mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Ht) + \mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Zt) = 1$. Although the analysis is designed to search for $T\bar{T}$, it also has sensitivity to $B\bar{B}$ production. Fig. 7 shows the expected and observed lower limits on the B -quark mass as a function of $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Wt)$ and $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Hb)$, with $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Zb) = 1 - \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Wt) - \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Hb)$. For the $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Wt) = 1$ case, a lower limit on the B -quark mass of 1345 GeV (1196 GeV) is observed (expected). Similarly to the $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ case, the $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow Wt) = 1$ limits are applicable to the pair-production of vector-like X -quarks.

9. Conclusion

Pair-produced vector-like T -quarks are searched for in events with exactly one electron or muon, missing transverse momentum and jets using the full Run 2 ATLAS data set. Final states compatible with the decay of a pair of heavy vector-like quarks are selected and a combined fit to the mass of the reconstructed vector-like quark in three control

regions and two signal regions is made. No significant excess over the background expectation is observed and 95% CL upper limits are set on the vector-like quark cross-section and lower bounds are set on the vector-like quark mass. The search is optimised for $T\bar{T} \rightarrow WbWb$, thus the most stringent limits are set for $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$. For this scenario, masses below 1700 GeV (1570 GeV) are observed (expected) to be excluded at 95% CL, which is the current strongest limit for this production and decay mode. The limits for $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ also apply to a vector-like Y -quark with charge $-4/3$, which decays exclusively into Wb . The observed (expected) lower mass limit for the weak isospin singlet T model is 1360 GeV (1360 GeV) and limits for other T -quark branching ratios are presented in the plane of $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb)$ vs. $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Ht)$. The analysis was also used to set limits on the mass of B -quarks as a function of branching ratios. This search improves the previous result that used 36 fb^{-1} of ATLAS data by 350 GeV for the scenario $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow Wb) = 1$ and by 190 GeV in the T singlet case.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

The data for this manuscript are not available. The values in the plots and tables associated to this article are stored in HEPDATA (<https://hepdata.cedar.ac.uk>)

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC and its injectors, as well as the support staff at CERN and at our institutions worldwide without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF/SFU (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [92].

We gratefully acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; ANID, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; Minciencias, Colombia; MEYS CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS and CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF and MPG, Germany; GSRI, Greece; RGC and Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MEiN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DSi/NRF, South Africa; MICINN, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taipei; TENMAK, Türkiye; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America.

Individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, Canarie, CRC and DRAC, Canada; CERN-CZ, PRIMUS 21/SCI/017 and UNCE SCI/013, Czech Republic; COST, ERC, ERDF, Horizon 2020, ICSC-NextGenerationEU and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d'Avenir Labex, Investissements d'Avenir Idex and ANR, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF, Greece; BSF-NSF and MINERVA, Israel; Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014-2021, Norway; NCN and NAWA, Poland; La Caixa Banking Foundation, CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya and PROMETEO and GenT Programmes Generalitat Valenciana, Spain;

Göran Gustafssons Stiftelser, Sweden; The Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

In addition, individual members wish to acknowledge support from CERN: European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN PJAS); Chile: Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (FONDECYT 1190886, FONDECYT 1210400, FONDECYT 1230812, FONDECYT 1230987); China: National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC - 12175119, NSFC 12275265, NSFC-12075060); Czech Republic: PRIMUS Research Programme (PRIMUS/21/SCI/017); European Union: European Research Council (ERC - 948254), Horizon 2020 Framework Programme (MUCCA - CHIST-ERA-19-XAI-00), European Union, Future Artificial Intelligence Research (FAIR-NextGenerationEU PE000000013), Italian Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing (ICSC, NextGenerationEU), Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (EU H2020 MSC IF GRANT NO 101033496); France: Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-20-CE31-0013, ANR-21-CE31-0013, ANR-21-CE31-0022), Investissements d'Avenir Idex (ANR-11-LABX-0012), Investissements d'Avenir Labex (ANR-11-LABX-0012); Germany: Baden-Württemberg Stiftung (BW Stiftung-Postdoc Eliteprogramme), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG - 469666862, DFG - CR 312/5-1); Italy: Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (FELLINI G.A. n. 754496, ICSC, NextGenerationEU); Japan: Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 22KK0227, JSPS KAKENHI JP21H05085, JSPS KAKENHI JP22H01227, JSPS KAKENHI JP22H04944); Netherlands: Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO Veni 2020 - VI.Veni.202.179); Norway: Research Council of Norway (RCN-314472); Poland: Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange (PPN/PPO/2020/1/00002/U/00001), Polish National Science Centre (NCN 2021/42/E/ST2/00350, NCN OPUS nr 2022/47/B/ST2/03059, NCN UMO-2019/34/E/ST2/00393, UMO-2020/37/B/ST2/01043, UMO-2021/40/C/ST2/00187); Slovenia: Slovenian Research Agency (ARIS grant J1-3010); Spain: BBVA Foundation (LEO22-1-603), Generalitat Valenciana (Artemisa, FEDER, IDIFEDER/2018/048), La Caixa Banking Foundation (LCF/BQ/PI20/11760025), Ministry of Science and Innovation (MCIN & NextGenEU - PCI2022-135018-2, MICIN & FEDER - PID2021-125273NB, RYC2019-028510-I, RYC2020-030254-I, RYC2021-031273-I, RYC2022-038164-I), PROMETEO and GenT Programmes Generalitat Valenciana (CIDE-GENT/2019/023, CIDE-GENT/2019/027); Sweden: Swedish Research Council (VR 2018-00482, VR 2022-03845, VR 2022-04683, VR grant 2021-03651), Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW 2017.0100, KAW 2018.0157, KAW 2018.0458, KAW 2019.0447); Switzerland: Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF - PCEFP2_194658); United Kingdom: Leverhulme Trust (Leverhulme Trust RPG-2020-004); United States of America: Neubauer Family Foundation.

References

- [1] L. Susskind, Dynamics of spontaneous symmetry breaking in the Weinberg-Salam theory, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 2619.
- [2] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370, arXiv:hep-ph/9905221.
- [3] D.B. Kaplan, H. Georgi, S. Dimopoulos, Composite Higgs scalars, Phys. Lett. B 136 (1984) 187.
- [4] N. Vignaroli, Discovering the composite Higgs through the decay of a heavy fermion, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2012) 158, arXiv:1204.0468 [hep-ph].
- [5] M. Schmaltz, D. Tucker-Smith, Little Higgs theories, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 55 (2005) 229, arXiv:hep-ph/0502182.
- [6] J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra, R. Benbrik, S. Heinemeyer, M. Pérez-Victoria, Handbook of vectorlike quarks: mixing and single production, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 094010, arXiv:1306.0572 [hep-ph].
- [7] O. Eberhardt, et al., Impact of a Higgs boson at a mass of 126 GeV on the standard model with three and four fermion generations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 241802, arXiv:1209.1101 [hep-ph].
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, Combination of the searches for pair-produced vector-like partners of the third-generation quarks at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 211801, arXiv:1808.02343 [hep-ex].
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for pair-produced vector-like top and bottom partners in events with large missing transverse momentum in pp collisions with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 719, arXiv:2212.05263 [hep-ex].

- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for pair-production of vector-like quarks in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with at least one leptonically decaying Z boson and a third-generation quark with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B 843 (2023) 138019, arXiv: 2210.15413 [hep-ex].
- [11] CMS Collaboration, A search for bottom-type, vector-like quark pair production in a fully hadronic final state in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 112004, arXiv:2008.09835 [hep-ex].
- [12] CMS Collaboration, Search for pair production of vector-like quarks in leptonic final states in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2023) 020, arXiv:2209.07327 [hep-ex].
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for pair production of heavy vector-like quarks decaying to high- p_T W bosons and b quarks in the lepton-plus-jets final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2017) 141, arXiv:1707.03347 [hep-ex].
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for single production of vector-like T quarks decaying into Ht or Zt in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2023) 153, arXiv:2305.03401 [hep-ex].
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for single production of a vectorlike T quark decaying into a Higgs boson and top quark with fully hadronic final states using the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 092012, arXiv:2201.07045 [hep-ex].
- [16] CMS Collaboration, Search for single production of a vector-like T quark decaying to a top quark and a Z boson in the final state with jets and missing transverse momentum at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2022) 093, arXiv:2201.02227 [hep-ex].
- [17] CMS Collaboration, Search for a heavy resonance decaying into a top quark and a W boson in the lepton+jets final state at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 048, arXiv:2111.10216 [hep-ex].
- [18] CMS Collaboration, Search for a vector-like quark T' → tH via the diphoton decay mode of the Higgs boson in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2023) 057, arXiv:2302.12802 [hep-ex].
- [19] A. Roy, N. Nikiforou, N. Castro, T. Andeen, Novel interpretation strategy for searches of singly produced vectorlike quarks at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 115027, arXiv:2003.00640 [hep-ph].
- [20] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08003.
- [21] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS collaboration software and firmware, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2021-001, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2767187>, 2021.
- [22] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 982, arXiv:2212.09379 [hep-ex].
- [23] G. Avoni, et al., The new LUCID-2 detector for luminosity measurement and monitoring in ATLAS, J. Instrum. 13 (2018) P07017.
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of electron and photon triggers in ATLAS during LHC Run 2, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 47, arXiv:1909.00761 [hep-ex].
- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of the ATLAS muon triggers in Run 2, J. Instrum. 15 (2020) P09015, arXiv:2004.13447 [physics.ins-det].
- [26] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of the missing transverse momentum triggers for the ATLAS detector during Run-2 data taking, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2020) 080, arXiv:2005.09554 [hep-ex].
- [27] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS data quality operations and performance for 2015–2018 data-taking, J. Instrum. 15 (2020) P04003, arXiv:1911.04632 [physics.ins-det].
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS simulation infrastructure, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [physics.ins-det].
- [29] S. Agostinelli, et al., Geant4 – a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 506 (2003) 250.
- [30] ATLAS Collaboration, The simulation principle and performance of the ATLAS fast calorimeter simulation FastCaloSim, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2010-013, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1300517>, 2010.
- [31] T. Sjöstrand, et al., An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159, arXiv:1410.3012 [hep-ph].
- [32] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes to 7 TeV data, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-021, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1966419>, 2014.
- [33] NNPDF Collaboration, R.D. Ball, et al., Parton distributions with LHC data, Nucl. Phys. B 867 (2013) 244, arXiv:1207.1303 [hep-ph].
- [34] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- [35] ATLAS Collaboration, The Pythia 8 A3 tune description of ATLAS minimum bias and inelastic measurements incorporating the Donnachie–Landshoff diffractive model, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-017, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2206965>, 2016.
- [36] J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra, Identifying top partners at LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2009) 030, arXiv:0907.3155 [hep-ph].
- [37] M. Czakon, A. Mitov, Top++: a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014) 2930, arXiv:1112.5675 [hep-ph].
- [38] S. Frixione, G. Ridolfi, P. Nason, A positive-weight next-to-leading-order Monte Carlo for heavy flavour hadroproduction, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2007) 126, arXiv:0707.3088 [hep-ph].
- [39] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [40] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2007) 070, arXiv:0709.2092 [hep-ph].
- [41] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 043, arXiv:1002.2581 [hep-ph].
- [42] NNPDF Collaboration, R.D. Ball, et al., Parton distributions for the LHC run II, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 040, arXiv:1410.8849 [hep-ph].
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, Studies on top-quark Monte Carlo modelling for Top2016, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-020, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2216168>, 2016.
- [44] M. Bähr, et al., Herwig++ physics and manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 58 (2008) 639, arXiv:0803.0883 [hep-ph].
- [45] J. Bellm, et al., Herwig 7.0/Herwig++ 3.0 release note, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 196, arXiv:1512.01178 [hep-ph].
- [46] L.A. Harland-Lang, A.D. Martin, P. Motylinski, R.S. Thorne, Parton distributions in the LHC era: MMHT 2014 PDFs, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 204, arXiv:1412.3989 [hep-ph].
- [47] J. Alwall, et al., The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079, arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph].
- [48] ATLAS Collaboration, Study of top-quark pair modelling and uncertainties using ATLAS measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2020-023, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2730443>, 2020.
- [49] S. Frixione, E. Laenen, P. Motylinski, C. White, B.R. Webber, Single-top hadroproduction in association with a W boson, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2008) 029, arXiv:0805.3067 [hep-ph].
- [50] R. Frederix, E. Re, P. Torrielli, Single-top t-channel hadroproduction in the four-flavour scheme with POWHEG and aMC@NLO, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2012) 130, arXiv:1207.5391 [hep-ph].
- [51] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2009) 111, arXiv:0907.4076 [hep-ph], Erratum: J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2010) 011.
- [52] E. Bothmann, et al., Event generation with Sherpa 2.2, SciPost Phys. 7 (2019) 034, arXiv:1905.09127 [hep-ph].
- [53] C. Anastasiou, L. Dixon, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello, High-precision QCD at hadron colliders: electroweak gauge boson rapidity distributions at next-to-next-to leading order, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 094008, arXiv:hep-ph/0312266.
- [54] T. Gleisberg, S. Höche, Comix, a new matrix element generator, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2008) 039, arXiv:0808.3674 [hep-ph].
- [55] S. Schumann, F. Krauss, A parton shower algorithm based on Catani–Seymour dipole factorisation, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2008) 038, arXiv:0709.1027 [hep-ph].
- [56] F. Buccioni, et al., OpenLoops 2, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 866, arXiv:1907.13071 [hep-ph].
- [57] F. Cascioli, P. Maierhöfer, S. Pozzorini, Scattering amplitudes with open loops, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 111601, arXiv:1111.5206 [hep-ph].
- [58] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, L. Hofer, Collier: a fortran-based complex one-loop library in extended regularizations, Comput. Phys. Commun. 212 (2017) 220, arXiv:1604.06792 [hep-ph].
- [59] J. Gao, et al., CT10 next-to-next-to-leading order global analysis of QCD, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 033009, arXiv:1302.6246 [hep-ph].
- [60] D.J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 462 (2001) 152.
- [61] ATLAS Collaboration, Vertex reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-026, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2037717>, 2015.
- [62] ATLAS Collaboration, Electron and photon performance measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2015–2017 LHC proton–proton collision data, J. Instrum. 14 (2019) P12006, arXiv:1908.00005 [hep-ex].
- [63] ATLAS Collaboration, Muon reconstruction and identification efficiency in ATLAS using the full Run 2 pp collision data set at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 578, arXiv:2012.00578 [hep-ex].
- [64] ATLAS Collaboration, Studies of the muon momentum calibration and performance of the ATLAS detector with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 686, arXiv:2212.07338 [hep-ex].
- [65] ATLAS Collaboration, Jet reconstruction and performance using particle flow with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 466, arXiv:1703.10485 [hep-ex].
- [66] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti-k_T jet clustering algorithm, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2008) 063, arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ph].
- [67] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1896, arXiv:1111.6097 [hep-ph].
- [68] ATLAS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution measured in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 689, arXiv:2007.02645 [hep-ex].
- [69] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of pile-up mitigation techniques for jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 581, arXiv:1510.03823 [hep-ex].
- [70] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS flavour-tagging algorithms for the LHC Run 2 pp collision dataset, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 681, arXiv:2211.16345 [physics.data-an].
- [71] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS b-jet identification performance and efficiency measurement with t̄t events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 970, arXiv:1907.05120 [hep-ex].

- [72] ATLAS Collaboration, Calibration of the light-flavour jet mistagging efficiency of the b -tagging algorithms with $Z+jets$ events using 139 fb^{-1} of ATLAS proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 728, arXiv:2301.06319 [hep-ex].
- [73] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the c -jet mistagging efficiency in $t\bar{t}$ events using pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ collected with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 95, arXiv:2109.10627 [hep-ex].
- [74] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction with the ATLAS detector using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 903, arXiv:1802.08168 [hep-ex].
- [75] ATLAS Collaboration, Topological cell clustering in the ATLAS calorimeters and its performance in LHC Run 1, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 490, arXiv:1603.02934 [hep-ex].
- [76] D. Krohn, J. Thaler, L.-T. Wang, Jet trimming, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2010) 084, arXiv:0912.1342 [hep-ph].
- [77] ATLAS Collaboration, In situ calibration of large-radius jet energy and mass in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 135, arXiv:1807.09477 [hep-ex].
- [78] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the ATLAS detector jet mass response using forward folding with 80 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ pp data, ATLAS-CONF-2020-022, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2724442>, 2020.
- [79] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of top-quark and W -boson tagging with ATLAS in Run 2 of the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 375, arXiv:1808.07858 [hep-ex].
- [80] A.J. Larkoski, I. Moult, D. Neill, Power counting to better jet observables, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2014) 009, arXiv:1409.6298 [hep-ph].
- [81] ATLAS Collaboration, Boosted hadronic vector boson and top quark tagging with ATLAS using Run 2 data, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2020-017, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2724149>, 2020.
- [82] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS simulation of boson plus jets processes in Run 2, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-006, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2261937>, 2017.
- [83] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 63 (2009) 189, arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph].
- [84] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of top-quark pair differential cross-sections in the ee channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ using the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 292, arXiv:1612.05220 [hep-ex].
- [85] ATLAS Collaboration, Tools for estimating fake/non-prompt lepton backgrounds with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, J. Instrum. 18 (2023) T11004, arXiv:2211.16178 [hep-ex].
- [86] ATLAS Collaboration, Estimation of non-prompt and fake lepton backgrounds in final states with top quarks produced in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, ATLAS-CONF-2014-058, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1951336>, 2014.
- [87] ATLAS Collaboration, Studies of $t\bar{t}/tW$ interference effects in $b\bar{b}\ell^+\ell^-vv'$ final states with Powheg and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO setups, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-042, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2792254>, 2021.
- [88] J. Butterworth, et al., PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, J. Phys. G 43 (2016) 023001, arXiv:1510.03865 [hep-ph].
- [89] A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique, J. Phys. G 28 (2002) 2693.
- [90] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 434 (1999) 435, arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.
- [91] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1554, arXiv:1007.1727 [physics.data-an], Erratum: Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2501.
- [92] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS computing acknowledgements, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2023-001, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2869272>, 2023.

The ATLAS Collaboration

G. Aad^{102, ID}, B. Abbott^{120, ID}, K. Abeling^{55, ID}, N.J. Abicht^{49, ID}, S.H. Abidi^{29, ID}, A. Aboulhorma^{35e, ID}, H. Abramowicz^{151, ID}, H. Abreu^{150, ID}, Y. Abulaiti^{117, ID}, B.S. Acharya^{69a,69b, ID, m}, C. Adam Bourdarios^{4, ID}, L. Adamczyk^{86a, ID}, S.V. Addepalli^{26, ID}, M.J. Addison^{101, ID}, J. Adelman^{115, ID}, A. Adiguzel^{21c, ID}, T. Adye^{134, ID}, A.A. Affolder^{136, ID}, Y. Afik^{39, ID}, M.N. Agaras^{13, ID}, J. Agarwala^{73a,73b, ID}, A. Aggarwal^{100, ID}, C. Agheorghiesei^{27c, ID}, A. Ahmad^{36, ID}, F. Ahmadov^{38, ID, z}, W.S. Ahmed^{104, ID}, S. Ahuja^{95, ID}, X. Ai^{62e, ID}, G. Aielli^{76a,76b, ID}, A. Aikot^{163, ID}, M. Ait Tamlihat^{35e, ID}, B. Aitbenchikh^{35a, ID}, I. Aizenberg^{169, ID}, M. Akbiyik^{100, ID}, T.P.A. Åkesson^{98, ID}, A.V. Akimov^{37, ID}, D. Akiyama^{168, ID}, N.N. Akolkar^{24, ID}, S. Aktas^{21a, ID}, K. Al Khoury^{41, ID}, G.L. Alberghi^{23b, ID}, J. Albert^{165, ID}, P. Albicocco^{53, ID}, G.L. Albouy^{60, ID}, S. Alderweireldt^{52, ID}, Z.L. Alegria^{121, ID}, M. Alekso^{36, ID}, I.N. Aleksandrov^{38, ID}, C. Alexa^{27b, ID}, T. Alexopoulos^{10, ID}, F. Alfonsi^{23b, ID}, M. Algren^{56, ID}, M. Alhroob^{120, ID}, B. Ali^{132, ID}, H.M.J. Ali^{91, ID}, S. Ali^{148, ID}, S.W. Alibocus^{92, ID}, M. Aliev^{33c, ID}, G. Alimonti^{71a, ID}, W. Alkakhi^{55, ID}, C. Allaire^{66, ID}, B.M.M. Allbrooke^{146, ID}, J.F. Allen^{52, ID}, C.A. Allendes Flores^{137f, ID}, P.P. Allport^{20, ID}, A. Aloisio^{72a,72b, ID}, F. Alonso^{90, ID}, C. Alpigiani^{138, ID}, M. Alvarez Estevez^{99, ID}, A. Alvarez Fernandez^{100, ID}, M. Alves Cardoso^{56, ID}, M.G. Alviggi^{72a,72b, ID}, M. Aly^{101, ID}, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{83b, ID}, A. Ambler^{104, ID}, C. Amelung^{36, ID}, M. Amerl^{101, ID}, C.G. Ames^{109, ID}, D. Amidei^{106, ID}, S.P. Amor Dos Santos^{130a, ID}, K.R. Amos^{163, ID}, V. Ananiev^{125, ID}, C. Anastopoulos^{139, ID}, T. Andeen^{11, ID}, J.K. Anders^{36, ID}, S.Y. Andrean^{47a,47b, ID}, A. Andreazza^{71a,71b, ID}, S. Angelidakis^{9, ID}, A. Angerami^{41, ID, ac}, A.V. Anisenkov^{37, ID}, A. Annovi^{74a, ID}, C. Antel^{56, ID}, M.T. Anthony^{139, ID}, E. Antipov^{145, ID}, M. Antonelli^{53, ID}, F. Anulli^{75a, ID}, M. Aoki^{84, ID}, T. Aoki^{153, ID}, J.A. Aparisi Pozo^{163, ID}, M.A. Aparo^{146, ID}, L. Aperio Bella^{48, ID}, C. Appelt^{18, ID}, A. Apyan^{26, ID}, S.J. Arbiol Val^{87, ID}, C. Arcangeletti^{53, ID}, A.T.H. Arce^{51, ID}, E. Arena^{92, ID}, J-F. Arguin^{108, ID}, S. Argyropoulos^{54, ID}, J.-H. Arling^{48, ID}, O. Arnaez^{4, ID}, H. Arnold^{114, ID}, G. Artoni^{75a,75b, ID}, H. Asada^{111, ID}, K. Asai^{118, ID}, S. Asai^{153, ID}, N.A. Asbah^{61, ID}, K. Assamagan^{29, ID}, R. Astalos^{28a, ID}, S. Atashi^{159, ID}, R.J. Atkin^{33a, ID}, M. Atkinson^{162, ID}, H. Atmani^{35f}, P.A. Atmasiddha^{128, ID}, K. Augsten^{132, ID}, S. Auricchio^{72a,72b, ID}, A.D. Auriol^{20, ID}, V.A. Aastrup^{101, ID}, G. Avolio^{36, ID}, K. Axiotis^{56, ID}, G. Azuelos^{108, ID, ag}, D. Babal^{28b, ID}, H. Bachacou^{135, ID}, K. Bachas^{152, ID, q}, A. Bachiu^{34, ID}, F. Backman^{47a,47b, ID}, A. Badea^{39, ID}, T.M. Baer^{106, ID}, P. Bagnaia^{75a,75b, ID}, M. Bahmani^{18, ID}, D. Bahner^{54, ID}, A.J. Bailey^{163, ID}, V.R. Bailey^{162, ID}, J.T. Baines^{134, ID}, L. Baines^{94, ID}, O.K. Baker^{172, ID}, E. Bakos^{15, ID}, D. Bakshi Gupta^{8, ID}, V. Balakrishnan^{120, ID},

- R. Balasubramanian 114,^{ID}, E.M. Baldin 37,^{ID}, P. Balek 86a,^{ID}, E. Ballabene 23b,23a,^{ID}, F. Balli 135,^{ID}, L.M. Baltes 63a,^{ID}, W.K. Balunas 32,^{ID}, J. Balz 100,^{ID}, E. Banas 87,^{ID}, M. Bandieramonte 129,^{ID}, A. Bandyopadhyay 24,^{ID}, S. Bansal 24,^{ID}, L. Barak 151,^{ID}, M. Barakat 48,^{ID}, E.L. Barberio 105,^{ID}, D. Barberis 57b,57a,^{ID}, M. Barbero 102,^{ID}, M.Z. Barel 114,^{ID}, K.N. Barends 33a,^{ID}, T. Barillari 110,^{ID}, M-S. Barisits 36,^{ID}, T. Barklow 143,^{ID}, P. Baron 122,^{ID}, D.A. Baron Moreno 101,^{ID}, A. Baroncelli 62a,^{ID}, G. Barone 29,^{ID}, A.J. Barr 126,^{ID}, J.D. Barr 96,^{ID}, F. Barreiro 99,^{ID}, J. Barreiro Guimaraes da Costa 14a,^{ID}, U. Barron 151,^{ID}, M.G. Barros Teixeira 130a,^{ID}, S. Barsov 37,^{ID}, F. Bartels 63a,^{ID}, R. Bartoldus 143,^{ID}, A.E. Barton 91,^{ID}, P. Bartos 28a,^{ID}, A. Basan 100,^{ID}, M. Baselga 49,^{ID}, A. Bassalat 66,^{ID}, M.J. Basso 156a,^{ID}, C.R. Basson 101,^{ID}, R.L. Bates 59,^{ID}, S. Batlamous 35e, J.R. Batley 32,^{ID}, B. Batool 141,^{ID}, M. Battaglia 136,^{ID}, D. Battulga 18,^{ID}, M. Bauce 75a,75b,^{ID}, M. Bauer 36,^{ID}, P. Bauer 24,^{ID}, L.T. Bazzano Hurrell 30,^{ID}, J.B. Beacham 51,^{ID}, T. Beau 127,^{ID}, J.Y. Beaucamp 90,^{ID}, P.H. Beauchemin 158,^{ID}, P. Bechtle 24,^{ID}, H.P. Beck 19,^{ID}, K. Becker 167,^{ID}, A.J. Beddall 82,^{ID}, V.A. Bednyakov 38,^{ID}, C.P. Bee 145,^{ID}, L.J. Beemster 15,^{ID}, T.A. Beermann 36,^{ID}, M. Begalli 83d,^{ID}, M. Begel 29,^{ID}, A. Behera 145,^{ID}, J.K. Behr 48,^{ID}, J.F. Beirer 36,^{ID}, F. Beisiegel 24,^{ID}, M. Belfkir 116b,^{ID}, G. Bella 151,^{ID}, L. Bellagamba 23b,^{ID}, A. Bellerive 34,^{ID}, P. Bellos 20,^{ID}, K. Beloborodov 37,^{ID}, D. Benchekroun 35a,^{ID}, F. Bendebba 35a,^{ID}, Y. Benhammou 151,^{ID}, S. Bentvelsen 114,^{ID}, L. Beresford 48,^{ID}, M. Beretta 53,^{ID}, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann 161,^{ID}, N. Berger 4,^{ID}, B. Bergmann 132,^{ID}, J. Beringer 17a,^{ID}, G. Bernardi 5,^{ID}, C. Bernius 143,^{ID}, F.U. Bernlochner 24,^{ID}, F. Bernon 36,102,^{ID}, A. Berrocal Guardia 13,^{ID}, T. Berry 95,^{ID}, P. Berta 133,^{ID}, A. Berthold 50,^{ID}, I.A. Bertram 91,^{ID}, S. Bethke 110,^{ID}, A. Betti 75a,75b,^{ID}, A.J. Bevan 94,^{ID}, N.K. Bhalla 54,^{ID}, M. Bhamjee 33c,^{ID}, S. Bhatta 145,^{ID}, D.S. Bhattacharya 166,^{ID}, P. Bhattarai 143,^{ID}, K.D. Bhide 54,^{ID}, V.S. Bhopatkar 121,^{ID}, R.M. Bianchi 129,^{ID}, G. Bianco 23b,23a,^{ID}, O. Biebel 109,^{ID}, R. Bielski 123,^{ID}, M. Biglietti 77a,^{ID}, M. Bindi 55,^{ID}, A. Bingul 21b,^{ID}, C. Bini 75a,75b,^{ID}, A. Biondini 92,^{ID}, C.J. Birch-sykes 101,^{ID}, G.A. Bird 32,134,^{ID}, M. Birman 169,^{ID}, M. Biros 133,^{ID}, S. Biryukov 146,^{ID}, T. Bisanz 49,^{ID}, E. Bisceglie 43b,43a,^{ID}, J.P. Biswal 134,^{ID}, D. Biswas 141,^{ID}, K. Bjørke 125,^{ID}, I. Bloch 48,^{ID}, A. Blue 59,^{ID}, U. Blumenschein 94,^{ID}, J. Blumenthal 100,^{ID}, G.J. Bobbink 114,^{ID}, V.S. Bobrovnikov 37,^{ID}, M. Boehler 54,^{ID}, B. Boehm 166,^{ID}, D. Bogavac 36,^{ID}, A.G. Bogdanchikov 37,^{ID}, C. Bohm 47a,^{ID}, V. Boisvert 95,^{ID}, P. Bokan 36,^{ID}, T. Bold 86a,^{ID}, M. Bomben 5,^{ID}, M. Bona 94,^{ID}, M. Boonekamp 135,^{ID}, C.D. Booth 95,^{ID}, A.G. Borbély 59,^{ID}, I.S. Bordulev 37,^{ID}, H.M. Borecka-Bielska 108,^{ID}, G. Borissov 91,^{ID}, D. Bortoletto 126,^{ID}, D. Boscherini 23b,^{ID}, M. Bosman 13,^{ID}, J.D. Bossio Sola 36,^{ID}, K. Bouaouda 35a,^{ID}, N. Bouchhar 163,^{ID}, J. Boudreau 129,^{ID}, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker 91,^{ID}, D. Boumediene 40,^{ID}, R. Bouquet 165,^{ID}, A. Boveia 119,^{ID}, J. Boyd 36,^{ID}, D. Boye 29,^{ID}, I.R. Boyko 38,^{ID}, J. Bracinik 20,^{ID}, N. Brahimi 62d,^{ID}, G. Brandt 171,^{ID}, O. Brandt 32,^{ID}, F. Braren 48,^{ID}, B. Brau 103,^{ID}, J.E. Brau 123,^{ID}, R. Brener 169,^{ID}, L. Brenner 114,^{ID}, R. Brenner 161,^{ID}, S. Bressler 169,^{ID}, D. Britton 59,^{ID}, D. Britzger 110,^{ID}, I. Brock 24,^{ID}, G. Brooijmans 41,^{ID}, W.K. Brooks 137c,^{ID}, E. Brost 29,^{ID}, L.M. Brown 165,^{ID}, L.E. Bruce 61,^{ID}, T.L. Bruckler 126,^{ID}, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom 87,^{ID}, B. Brüers 48,^{ID}, A. Bruni 23b,^{ID}, G. Bruni 23b,^{ID}, M. Bruschi 23b,^{ID}, N. Bruscino 75a,75b,^{ID}, T. Buanes 16,^{ID}, Q. Buat 138,^{ID}, D. Buchin 110,^{ID}, A.G. Buckley 59,^{ID}, O. Bulekov 37,^{ID}, B.A. Bullard 143,^{ID}, S. Burdin 92,^{ID}, C.D. Burgard 49,^{ID}, A.M. Burger 40,^{ID}, B. Burghgrave 8,^{ID}, O. Burlayenko 54,^{ID}, J.T.P. Burr 32,^{ID}, C.D. Burton 11,^{ID}, J.C. Burzynski 142,^{ID}, E.L. Busch 41,^{ID}, V. Büscher 100,^{ID}, P.J. Bussey 59,^{ID}, J.M. Butler 25,^{ID}, C.M. Buttar 59,^{ID}, J.M. Butterworth 96,^{ID}, W. Buttlinger 134,^{ID}, C.J. Buxo Vazquez 107,^{ID}, A.R. Buzykaev 37,^{ID}, S. Cabrera Urbán 163,^{ID}, L. Cadamuro 66,^{ID}, D. Caforio 58,^{ID}, H. Cai 129,^{ID}, Y. Cai 14a,14e,^{ID}, Y. Cai 14c,^{ID}, V.M.M. Cairo 36,^{ID}, O. Cakir 3a,^{ID}, N. Calace 36,^{ID}, P. Calafiura 17a,^{ID}, G. Calderini 127,^{ID}, P. Calfayan 68,^{ID}, G. Callea 59,^{ID}, L.P. Caloba 83b,^{ID}, D. Calvet 40,^{ID}, S. Calvet 40,^{ID}, M. Calvetti 74a,74b,^{ID}, R. Camacho Toro 127,^{ID}, S. Camarda 36,^{ID}, D. Camarero Munoz 26,^{ID}, P. Camarri 76a,76b,^{ID}, M.T. Camerlingo 72a,72b,^{ID}, D. Cameron 36,^{ID}, C. Camincher 165,^{ID}, M. Campanelli 96,^{ID}, A. Camplani 42,^{ID}, V. Canale 72a,72b,^{ID}, J. Cantero 163,^{ID}, Y. Cao 162,^{ID}, F. Capocasa 26,^{ID}, M. Capua 43b,43a,^{ID}, A. Carbone 71a,71b,^{ID}, R. Cardarelli 76a,^{ID}, J.C.J. Cardenas 8,^{ID}, F. Cardillo 163,^{ID}, G. Carducci 43b,43a,^{ID}, T. Carli 36,^{ID}, G. Carlino 72a,^{ID},

- J.I. Carlotto 13,^{ID}, B.T. Carlson 129,^{ID,r}, E.M. Carlson 165,156a,^{ID}, L. Carminati 71a,71b,^{ID}, A. Carnelli 135,^{ID}, M. Carnesale 75a,75b,^{ID}, S. Caron 113,^{ID}, E. Carquin 137f,^{ID}, S. Carrá 71a,^{ID}, G. Carratta 23b,23a,^{ID}, A.M. Carroll 123,^{ID}, J.W.S. Carter 155,^{ID}, T.M. Carter 52,^{ID}, M.P. Casado 13,^{ID,i}, M. Caspar 48,^{ID}, F.L. Castillo 4,^{ID}, L. Castillo Garcia 13,^{ID}, V. Castillo Gimenez 163,^{ID}, N.F. Castro 130a,130e,^{ID}, A. Catinaccio 36,^{ID}, J.R. Catmore 125,^{ID}, T. Cavalieri 4,^{ID}, V. Cavalieri 29,^{ID}, N. Cavalli 23b,23a,^{ID}, V. Cavassini 74a,74b,^{ID}, Y.C. Cekmecelioglu 48,^{ID}, E. Celebi 21a,^{ID}, F. Celli 126,^{ID}, M.S. Centonze 70a,70b,^{ID}, V. Cepaitis 56,^{ID}, K. Cerny 122,^{ID}, A.S. Cerqueira 83a,^{ID}, A. Cerri 146,^{ID}, L. Cerrito 76a,76b,^{ID}, F. Cerutti 17a,^{ID}, B. Cervato 141,^{ID}, A. Cervelli 23b,^{ID}, G. Cesarini 53,^{ID}, S.A. Cetin 82,^{ID}, D. Chakraborty 115,^{ID}, J. Chan 17a,^{ID}, W.Y. Chan 153,^{ID}, J.D. Chapman 32,^{ID}, E. Chapon 135,^{ID}, B. Chargeishvili 149b,^{ID}, D.G. Charlton 20,^{ID}, M. Chatterjee 19,^{ID}, C. Chauhan 133,^{ID}, Y. Che 14c,^{ID}, S. Chekanov 6,^{ID}, S.V. Chekulaev 156a,^{ID}, G.A. Chelkov 38,^{ID,a}, A. Chen 106,^{ID}, B. Chen 151,^{ID}, B. Chen 165,^{ID}, H. Chen 14c,^{ID}, H. Chen 29,^{ID}, J. Chen 62c,^{ID}, J. Chen 142,^{ID}, M. Chen 126,^{ID}, S. Chen 153,^{ID}, S.J. Chen 14c,^{ID}, X. Chen 62c,135,^{ID}, X. Chen 14b,^{ID,af}, Y. Chen 62a,^{ID}, C.L. Cheng 170,^{ID}, H.C. Cheng 64a,^{ID}, S. Cheong 143,^{ID}, A. Cheplakov 38,^{ID}, E. Cheremushkina 48,^{ID}, E. Cherepanova 114,^{ID}, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli 35e,^{ID}, E. Cheu 7,^{ID}, K. Cheung 65,^{ID}, L. Chevalier 135,^{ID}, V. Chiarella 53,^{ID}, G. Chiarelli 74a,^{ID}, N. Chiedde 102,^{ID}, G. Chiodini 70a,^{ID}, A.S. Chisholm 20,^{ID}, A. Chitan 27b,^{ID}, M. Chitishvili 163,^{ID}, M.V. Chizhov 38,^{ID}, K. Choi 11,^{ID}, Y. Chou 138,^{ID}, E.Y.S. Chow 113,^{ID}, K.L. Chu 169,^{ID}, M.C. Chu 64a,^{ID}, X. Chu 14a,14e,^{ID}, J. Chudoba 131,^{ID}, J.J. Chwastowski 87,^{ID}, D. Cieri 110,^{ID}, K.M. Ciesla 86a,^{ID}, V. Cindro 93,^{ID}, A. Ciocio 17a,^{ID}, F. Cirotto 72a,72b,^{ID}, Z.H. Citron 169,^{ID,k}, M. Citterio 71a,^{ID}, D.A. Ciubotaru 27b,^{ID}, A. Clark 56,^{ID}, P.J. Clark 52,^{ID}, C. Clarry 155,^{ID}, J.M. Clavijo Columbie 48,^{ID}, S.E. Clawson 48,^{ID}, C. Clement 47a,47b,^{ID}, J. Clercx 48,^{ID}, Y. Coadou 102,^{ID}, M. Cobal 69a,69c,^{ID}, A. Coccaro 57b,^{ID}, R.F. Coelho Barrue 130a,^{ID}, R. Coelho Lopes De Sa 103,^{ID}, S. Coelli 71a,^{ID}, B. Cole 41,^{ID}, J. Collot 60,^{ID}, P. Conde Muiño 130a,130g,^{ID}, M.P. Connell 33c,^{ID}, S.H. Connell 33c,^{ID}, I.A. Connelly 59,^{ID}, E.I. Conroy 126,^{ID}, F. Conventi 72a,^{ID,ah}, H.G. Cooke 20,^{ID}, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar 126,^{ID}, A. Cordeiro Oudot Choi 127,^{ID}, L.D. Corpe 40,^{ID}, M. Corradi 75a,75b,^{ID}, F. Corriveau 104,^{ID,x}, A. Cortes-Gonzalez 18,^{ID}, M.J. Costa 163,^{ID}, F. Costanza 4,^{ID}, D. Costanzo 139,^{ID}, B.M. Cote 119,^{ID}, G. Cowan 95,^{ID}, K. Cranmer 170,^{ID}, D. Cremonini 23b,23a,^{ID}, S. Crépé-Renaudin 60,^{ID}, F. Crescioli 127,^{ID}, M. Cristinziani 141,^{ID}, M. Cristoforetti 78a,78b,^{ID}, V. Croft 114,^{ID}, J.E. Crosby 121,^{ID}, G. Crosetti 43b,43a,^{ID}, A. Cueto 99,^{ID}, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann 159,^{ID}, H. Cui 14a,14e,^{ID}, Z. Cui 7,^{ID}, W.R. Cunningham 59,^{ID}, F. Curcio 43b,43a,^{ID}, P. Czodrowski 36,^{ID}, M.M. Czurylo 63b,^{ID}, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa 57b,57a,^{ID}, J.V. Da Fonseca Pinto 83b,^{ID}, C. Da Via 101,^{ID}, W. Dabrowski 86a,^{ID}, T. Dado 49,^{ID}, S. Dahbi 33g,^{ID}, T. Dai 106,^{ID}, D. Dal Santo 19,^{ID}, C. Dallapiccola 103,^{ID}, M. Dam 42,^{ID}, G. D'amen 29,^{ID}, V. D'Amico 109,^{ID}, J. Damp 100,^{ID}, J.R. Dandoy 34,^{ID}, M. Danninger 142,^{ID}, V. Dao 36,^{ID}, G. Darbo 57b,^{ID}, S. Darmora 6,^{ID}, S.J. Das 29,^{ID,aj}, S. D'Auria 71a,71b,^{ID}, C. David 33a,^{ID}, T. Davidek 133,^{ID}, B. Davis-Purcell 34,^{ID}, I. Dawson 94,^{ID}, H.A. Day-hall 132,^{ID}, K. De 8,^{ID}, R. De Asmundis 72a,^{ID}, N. De Biase 48,^{ID}, S. De Castro 23b,23a,^{ID}, N. De Groot 113,^{ID}, P. de Jong 114,^{ID}, H. De la Torre 115,^{ID}, A. De Maria 14c,^{ID}, A. De Salvo 75a,^{ID}, U. De Sanctis 76a,76b,^{ID}, F. De Santis 70a,70b,^{ID}, A. De Santo 146,^{ID}, J.B. De Vivie De Regie 60,^{ID}, D.V. Dedovich 38,^{ID}, J. Degens 114,^{ID}, A.M. Deiana 44,^{ID}, F. Del Corso 23b,23a,^{ID}, J. Del Peso 99,^{ID}, F. Del Rio 63a,^{ID}, L. Delagrange 127,^{ID}, F. Deliot 135,^{ID}, C.M. Delitzsch 49,^{ID}, M. Della Pietra 72a,72b,^{ID}, D. Della Volpe 56,^{ID}, A. Dell'Acqua 36,^{ID}, L. Dell'Asta 71a,71b,^{ID}, M. Delmastro 4,^{ID}, P.A. Delsart 60,^{ID}, S. Demers 172,^{ID}, M. Demichev 38,^{ID}, S.P. Denisov 37,^{ID}, L. D'Eramo 40,^{ID}, D. Derendarz 87,^{ID}, F. Derue 127,^{ID}, P. Dervan 92,^{ID}, K. Desch 24,^{ID}, C. Deutsch 24,^{ID}, F.A. Di Bello 57b,57a,^{ID}, A. Di Ciaccio 76a,76b,^{ID}, L. Di Ciaccio 4,^{ID}, A. Di Domenico 75a,75b,^{ID}, C. Di Donato 72a,72b,^{ID}, A. Di Girolamo 36,^{ID}, G. Di Gregorio 36,^{ID}, A. Di Luca 78a,78b,^{ID}, B. Di Micco 77a,77b,^{ID}, R. Di Nardo 77a,77b,^{ID}, M. Diamantopoulou 34,^{ID}, F.A. Dias 114,^{ID}, T. Dias Do Vale 142,^{ID}, M.A. Diaz 137a,137b,^{ID}, F.G. Diaz Capriles 24,^{ID}, M. Didenko 163,^{ID}, E.B. Diehl 106,^{ID}, L. Diehl 54,^{ID}, S. Díez Cornell 48,^{ID}, C. Diez Pardos 141,^{ID}, C. Dimitriadi 161,24,^{ID}, A. Dimitrieva 17a,^{ID}, J. Dingfelder 24,^{ID}, I-M. Dinu 27b,^{ID}, S.J. Dittmeier 63b,^{ID}, F. Dittus 36,^{ID}, F. Djama 102,^{ID},

- T. Djobava 149b, ID, C. Doglioni 101,98, ID, A. Dohnalova 28a, ID, J. Dolejsi 133, ID, Z. Dolezal 133, ID, K.M. Dona 39, ID, M. Donadelli 83c, ID, B. Dong 107, ID, J. Donini 40, ID, A. D'Onofrio 72a,72b, ID, M. D'Onofrio 92, ID, J. Dopke 134, ID, A. Doria 72a, ID, N. Dos Santos Fernandes 130a, ID, P. Dougan 101, ID, M.T. Dova 90, ID, A.T. Doyle 59, ID, M.A. Draguet 126, ID, E. Dreyer 169, ID, I. Drivas-koulouris 10, ID, M. Drnevich 117, ID, M. Drozdova 56, ID, D. Du 62a, ID, T.A. du Pree 114, ID, F. Dubinin 37, ID, M. Dubovsky 28a, ID, E. Duchovni 169, ID, G. Duckeck 109, ID, O.A. Ducu 27b, ID, D. Duda 52, ID, A. Dudarev 36, ID, E.R. Duden 26, ID, M. D'uffizi 101, ID, L. Duflot 66, ID, M. Dührssen 36, ID, A.E. Dumitriu 27b, ID, M. Dunford 63a, ID, S. Dungs 49, ID, K. Dunne 47a,47b, ID, A. Duperrin 102, ID, H. Duran Yildiz 3a, ID, M. Düren 58, ID, A. Durglishvili 149b, ID, B.L. Dwyer 115, ID, G.I. Dyckes 17a, ID, M. Dyndal 86a, ID, B.S. Dziedzic 87, ID, Z.O. Earnshaw 146, ID, G.H. Eberwein 126, ID, B. Eckerova 28a, ID, S. Eggebrecht 55, ID, E. Egídio Purcino De Souza 127, ID, L.F. Ehrke 56, ID, G. Eigen 16, ID, K. Einsweiler 17a, ID, T. Ekelof 161, ID, P.A. Ekman 98, ID, S. El Farkh 35b, ID, Y. El Ghazali 35b, ID, H. El Jarrari 36, ID, A. El Moussaouy 108, ID, V. Ellajosyula 161, ID, M. Ellert 161, ID, F. Ellinghaus 171, ID, N. Ellis 36, ID, J. Elmsheuser 29, ID, M. Elsing 36, ID, D. Emelyanov 134, ID, Y. Enari 153, ID, I. Ene 17a, ID, S. Epari 13, ID, P.A. Erland 87, ID, M. Errenst 171, ID, M. Escalier 66, ID, C. Escobar 163, ID, E. Etzion 151, ID, G. Evans 130a, ID, H. Evans 68, ID, L.S. Evans 95, ID, M.O. Evans 146, ID, A. Ezhilov 37, ID, S. Ezzarqtouni 35a, ID, F. Fabbri 59, ID, L. Fabbri 23b,23a, ID, G. Facini 96, ID, V. Fadeyev 136, ID, R.M. Fakhrutdinov 37, ID, D. Fakoudis 100, ID, S. Falciano 75a, ID, L.F. Falda Ulhoa Coelho 36, ID, P.J. Falke 24, ID, J. Faltova 133, ID, C. Fan 162, ID, Y. Fan 14a, ID, Y. Fang 14a,14e, ID, M. Fanti 71a,71b, ID, M. Faraj 69a,69b, ID, Z. Farazpay 97, ID, A. Farbin 8, ID, A. Farilla 77a, ID, T. Farooque 107, ID, S.M. Farrington 52, ID, F. Fassi 35e, ID, D. Fassouliotis 9, ID, M. Faucci Giannelli 76a,76b, ID, W.J. Fawcett 32, ID, L. Fayard 66, ID, P. Federic 133, ID, P. Federicova 131, ID, O.L. Fedin 37, ID, G. Fedotov 37, ID, M. Feickert 170, ID, L. Feligioni 102, ID, D.E. Fellers 123, ID, C. Feng 62b, ID, M. Feng 14b, ID, Z. Feng 114, ID, M.J. Fenton 159, ID, A.B. Fenyuk 37, L. Ferencz 48, ID, R.A.M. Ferguson 91, ID, S.I. Fernandez Luengo 137f, ID, P. Fernandez Martinez 13, ID, M.J.V. Fernoux 102, ID, J. Ferrando 91, ID, A. Ferrari 161, ID, P. Ferrari 114,113, ID, R. Ferrari 73a, ID, D. Ferrere 56, ID, C. Ferretti 106, ID, F. Fiedler 100, ID, P. Fiedler 132, ID, A. Filipčič 93, ID, E.K. Filmer 1, ID, F. Filthaut 113, ID, M.C.N. Fiolhais 130a,130c, ID, L. Fiorini 163, ID, W.C. Fisher 107, ID, T. Fitschen 101, ID, P.M. Fitzhugh 135, I. Fleck 141, ID, P. Fleischmann 106, ID, T. Flick 171, ID, M. Flores 33d, ID, L.R. Flores Castillo 64a, ID, L. Flores Sanz De Acedo 36, ID, F.M. Follega 78a,78b, ID, N. Fomin 16, ID, J.H. Foo 155, ID, A. Formica 135, ID, A.C. Forti 101, ID, E. Fortin 36, ID, A.W. Fortman 17a, ID, M.G. Foti 17a, ID, L. Fountas 9, ID, D. Fournier 66, ID, H. Fox 91, ID, P. Francavilla 74a,74b, ID, S. Francescato 61, ID, S. Franchellucci 56, ID, M. Franchini 23b,23a, ID, S. Franchino 63a, ID, D. Francis 36, L. Franco 113, ID, V. Franco Lima 36, ID, L. Franconi 48, ID, M. Franklin 61, ID, G. Frattari 26, ID, A.C. Freegard 94, ID, W.S. Freund 83b, ID, Y.Y. Frid 151, ID, J. Friend 59, ID, N. Fritzsch 50, ID, A. Froch 54, ID, D. Froidevaux 36, ID, J.A. Frost 126, ID, Y. Fu 62a, ID, S. Fuenzalida Garrido 137f, ID, M. Fujimoto 102, ID, K.Y. Fung 64a, ID, E. Furtado De Simas Filho 83b, ID, M. Furukawa 153, ID, J. Fuster 163, ID, A. Gabrielli 23b,23a, ID, A. Gabrielli 155, ID, P. Gadow 36, ID, G. Gagliardi 57b,57a, ID, L.G. Gagnon 17a, ID, E.J. Gallas 126, ID, B.J. Gallop 134, ID, K.K. Gan 119, ID, S. Ganguly 153, ID, Y. Gao 52, ID, F.M. Garay Walls 137a,137b, ID, B. Garcia 29, C. García 163, ID, A. Garcia Alonso 114, ID, A.G. Garcia Caffaro 172, ID, J.E. García Navarro 163, ID, M. Garcia-Sciveres 17a, ID, G.L. Gardner 128, ID, R.W. Gardner 39, ID, N. Garelli 158, ID, D. Garg 80, ID, R.B. Garg 143, ID, J.M. Gargan 52, C.A. Garner 155, C.M. Garvey 33a, ID, P. Gaspar 83b, ID, V.K. Gassmann 158, G. Gaudio 73a, ID, V. Gautam 13, P. Gauzzi 75a,75b, ID, I.L. Gavrilenko 37, ID, A. Gavrilyuk 37, ID, C. Gay 164, ID, G. Gaycken 48, ID, E.N. Gazis 10, ID, A.A. Geanta 27b, ID, C.M. Gee 136, ID, A. Gekow 119, C. Gemme 57b, ID, M.H. Genest 60, ID, S. Gentile 75a,75b, ID, A.D. Gentry 112, ID, S. George 95, ID, W.F. George 20, ID, T. Geralis 46, ID, P. Gessinger-Befurt 36, ID, M.E. Geyik 171, ID, M. Ghani 167, ID, M. Ghneimat 141, ID, K. Ghorbanian 94, ID, A. Ghosal 141, ID, A. Ghosh 159, ID, A. Ghosh 7, ID, B. Giacobbe 23b, ID, S. Giagu 75a,75b, ID, T. Giani 114, ID,

- P. Giannetti 74a, ^{ID}, A. Giannini 62a, ^{ID}, S.M. Gibson 95, ^{ID}, M. Gignac 136, ^{ID}, D.T. Gil 86b, ^{ID}, A.K. Gilbert 86a, ^{ID},
 B.J. Gilbert 41, ^{ID}, D. Gillberg 34, ^{ID}, G. Gilles 114, ^{ID}, L. Ginabat 127, ^{ID}, D.M. Gingrich 2, ^{ID, ag}, M.P. Giordani 69a, 69c, ^{ID},
 P.F. Giraud 135, ^{ID}, G. Giugliarelli 69a, 69c, ^{ID}, D. Giugni 71a, ^{ID}, F. Giuli 36, ^{ID}, I. Gkialas 9, ^{ID, j}, L.K. Gladilin 37, ^{ID},
 C. Glasman 99, ^{ID}, G.R. Gledhill 123, ^{ID}, G. Glemža 48, ^{ID}, M. Glisic 123, ^{ID}, I. Gnesi 43b, ^{ID, f}, Y. Go 29, ^{ID},
 M. Goblirsch-Kolb 36, ^{ID}, B. Gocke 49, ^{ID}, D. Godin 108, ^{ID}, B. Gokturk 21a, ^{ID}, S. Goldfarb 105, ^{ID}, T. Golling 56, ^{ID},
 M.G.D. Gololo 33g, ^{ID}, D. Golubkov 37, ^{ID}, J.P. Gombas 107, ^{ID}, A. Gomes 130a, 130b, ^{ID}, G. Gomes Da Silva 141, ^{ID},
 A.J. Gomez Delegido 163, ^{ID}, R. Gonçalo 130a, 130c, ^{ID}, L. Gonella 20, ^{ID}, A. Gongadze 149c, ^{ID}, F. Gonnella 20, ^{ID},
 J.L. Gonski 41, ^{ID}, R.Y. González Andana 52, ^{ID}, S. González de la Hoz 163, ^{ID}, R. Gonzalez Lopez 92, ^{ID},
 C. Gonzalez Renteria 17a, ^{ID}, M.V. Gonzalez Rodrigues 48, ^{ID}, R. Gonzalez Suarez 161, ^{ID}, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla 56, ^{ID},
 G.R. Gonzalvo Rodriguez 163, ^{ID}, L. Goossens 36, ^{ID}, B. Gorini 36, ^{ID}, E. Gorini 70a, 70b, ^{ID}, A. Gorišek 93, ^{ID},
 T.C. Gosart 128, ^{ID}, A.T. Goshaw 51, ^{ID}, M.I. Gostkin 38, ^{ID}, S. Goswami 121, ^{ID}, C.A. Gottardo 36, ^{ID}, S.A. Gotz 109, ^{ID},
 M. Gouighri 35b, ^{ID}, V. Goumarre 48, ^{ID}, A.G. Goussiou 138, ^{ID}, N. Govender 33c, ^{ID}, I. Grabowska-Bold 86a, ^{ID},
 K. Graham 34, ^{ID}, E. Gramstad 125, ^{ID}, S. Grancagnolo 70a, 70b, ^{ID}, M. Grandi 146, ^{ID}, C.M. Grant 1, 135,
 P.M. Gravila 27f, ^{ID}, F.G. Gravili 70a, 70b, ^{ID}, H.M. Gray 17a, ^{ID}, M. Greco 70a, 70b, ^{ID}, C. Grefe 24, ^{ID}, I.M. Gregor 48, ^{ID},
 P. Grenier 143, ^{ID}, S.G. Grewe 110, C. Grieco 13, ^{ID}, A.A. Grillo 136, ^{ID}, K. Grimm 31, ^{ID}, S. Grinstein 13, ^{ID, t},
 J.-F. Grivaz 66, ^{ID}, E. Gross 169, ^{ID}, J. Grosse-Knetter 55, ^{ID}, J.C. Grundy 126, ^{ID}, L. Guan 106, ^{ID}, W. Guan 29, ^{ID},
 C. Gubbels 164, ^{ID}, J.G.R. Guerrero Rojas 163, ^{ID}, G. Guerrieri 69a, 69c, ^{ID}, F. Guescini 110, ^{ID}, R. Gugel 100, ^{ID},
 J.A.M. Guhit 106, ^{ID}, A. Guida 18, ^{ID}, E. Guilloton 167, 134, ^{ID}, S. Guindon 36, ^{ID}, F. Guo 14a, 14e, ^{ID}, J. Guo 62c, ^{ID},
 L. Guo 48, ^{ID}, Y. Guo 106, ^{ID}, R. Gupta 48, ^{ID}, R. Gupta 129, ^{ID}, S. Gurbuz 24, ^{ID}, S.S. Gurdasani 54, ^{ID}, G. Gustavino 36, ^{ID},
 M. Guth 56, ^{ID}, P. Gutierrez 120, ^{ID}, L.F. Gutierrez Zagazeta 128, ^{ID}, M. Gutsche 50, ^{ID}, C. Gutschow 96, ^{ID},
 C. Gwenlan 126, ^{ID}, C.B. Gwilliam 92, ^{ID}, E.S. Haaland 125, ^{ID}, A. Haas 117, ^{ID}, M. Habedank 48, ^{ID}, C. Haber 17a, ^{ID},
 H.K. Hadavand 8, ^{ID}, A. Hadef 50, ^{ID}, S. Hadzic 110, ^{ID}, A.I. Hagan 91, ^{ID}, J.J. Hahn 141, ^{ID}, E.H. Haines 96, ^{ID},
 M. Haleem 166, ^{ID}, J. Haley 121, ^{ID}, J.J. Hall 139, ^{ID}, G.D. Hallewell 102, ^{ID}, L. Halser 19, ^{ID}, K. Hamano 165, ^{ID},
 M. Hamer 24, ^{ID}, G.N. Hamity 52, ^{ID}, E.J. Hampshire 95, ^{ID}, J. Han 62b, ^{ID}, K. Han 62a, ^{ID}, L. Han 14c, ^{ID}, L. Han 62a, ^{ID},
 S. Han 17a, ^{ID}, Y.F. Han 155, ^{ID}, K. Hanagaki 84, ^{ID}, M. Hance 136, ^{ID}, D.A. Hangal 41, ^{ID}, H. Hanif 142, ^{ID},
 M.D. Hank 128, ^{ID}, J.B. Hansen 42, ^{ID}, P.H. Hansen 42, ^{ID}, K. Hara 157, ^{ID}, D. Harada 56, ^{ID}, T. Harenberg 171, ^{ID},
 S. Harkusha 37, ^{ID}, M.L. Harris 103, ^{ID}, Y.T. Harris 126, ^{ID}, J. Harrison 13, ^{ID}, N.M. Harrison 119, ^{ID}, P.F. Harrison 167,
 N.M. Hartman 110, ^{ID}, N.M. Hartmann 109, ^{ID}, Y. Hasegawa 140, ^{ID}, R. Hauser 107, ^{ID}, C.M. Hawkes 20, ^{ID},
 R.J. Hawkings 36, ^{ID}, Y. Hayashi 153, ^{ID}, S. Hayashida 111, ^{ID}, D. Hayden 107, ^{ID}, C. Hayes 106, ^{ID}, R.L. Hayes 114, ^{ID},
 C.P. Hays 126, ^{ID}, J.M. Hays 94, ^{ID}, H.S. Hayward 92, ^{ID}, F. He 62a, ^{ID}, M. He 14a, 14e, ^{ID}, Y. He 154, ^{ID}, Y. He 48, ^{ID},
 N.B. Heatley 94, ^{ID}, V. Hedberg 98, ^{ID}, A.L. Heggelund 125, ^{ID}, N.D. Hehir 94, ^{ID, *}, C. Heidegger 54, ^{ID},
 K.K. Heidegger 54, ^{ID}, W.D. Heidorn 81, ^{ID}, J. Heilman 34, ^{ID}, S. Heim 48, ^{ID}, T. Heim 17a, ^{ID}, J.G. Heinlein 128, ^{ID},
 J.J. Heinrich 123, ^{ID}, L. Heinrich 110, ^{ID, ae}, J. Hejbal 131, ^{ID}, A. Held 170, ^{ID}, S. Hellesund 16, ^{ID}, C.M. Helling 164, ^{ID},
 S. Hellman 47a, 47b, ^{ID}, R.C.W. Henderson 91, L. Henkelmann 32, ^{ID}, A.M. Henriques Correia 36, H. Herde 98, ^{ID},
 Y. Hernández Jiménez 145, ^{ID}, L.M. Herrmann 24, ^{ID}, T. Herrmann 50, ^{ID}, G. Herten 54, ^{ID}, R. Hertenberger 109, ^{ID},
 L. Hervas 36, ^{ID}, M.E. Hespingle 100, ^{ID}, N.P. Hessey 156a, ^{ID}, E. Hill 155, ^{ID}, S.J. Hillier 20, ^{ID}, J.R. Hinds 107, ^{ID},
 F. Hinterkeuser 24, ^{ID}, M. Hirose 124, ^{ID}, S. Hirose 157, ^{ID}, D. Hirschbuehl 171, ^{ID}, T.G. Hitchings 101, ^{ID}, B. Hiti 93, ^{ID},
 J. Hobbs 145, ^{ID}, R. Hobincu 27e, ^{ID}, N. Hod 169, ^{ID}, M.C. Hodgkinson 139, ^{ID}, B.H. Hodgkinson 32, ^{ID}, A. Hoecker 36, ^{ID},
 D.D. Hofer 106, ^{ID}, J. Hofer 48, ^{ID}, T. Holm 24, ^{ID}, M. Holzbock 110, ^{ID}, L.B.A.H. Hommels 32, ^{ID}, B.P. Honan 101, ^{ID},
 J. Hong 62c, ^{ID}, T.M. Hong 129, ^{ID}, B.H. Hooberman 162, ^{ID}, W.H. Hopkins 6, ^{ID}, Y. Horii 111, ^{ID}, S. Hou 148, ^{ID},
 A.S. Howard 93, ^{ID}, J. Howarth 59, ^{ID}, J. Hoya 6, ^{ID}, M. Hrabovsky 122, ^{ID}, A. Hrynevich 48, ^{ID}, T. Hrynn'ova 4, ^{ID},
 P.J. Hsu 65, ^{ID}, S.-C. Hsu 138, ^{ID}, Q. Hu 62a, ^{ID}, Y.F. Hu 14a, 14e, ^{ID}, S. Huang 64b, ^{ID}, X. Huang 14c, ^{ID}, X. Huang 14a, 14e, ^{ID},

- Y. Huang ^{139, ID}, Y. Huang ^{14a, ID}, Z. Huang ^{101, ID}, Z. Hubacek ^{132, ID}, M. Huebner ^{24, ID}, F. Huegging ^{24, ID},
 T.B. Huffman ^{126, ID}, C.A. Hugli ^{48, ID}, M. Huhtinen ^{36, ID}, S.K. Huiberts ^{16, ID}, R. Hulskens ^{104, ID}, N. Huseynov ^{12, ID},
 J. Huston ^{107, ID}, J. Huth ^{61, ID}, R. Hyneman ^{143, ID}, G. Iacobucci ^{56, ID}, G. Iakovidis ^{29, ID}, I. Ibragimov ^{141, ID},
 L. Iconomidou-Fayard ^{66, ID}, J.P. Iddon ^{36, ID}, P. Iengo ^{72a, 72b, ID}, R. Iguchi ^{153, ID}, T. Iizawa ^{126, ID}, Y. Ikegami ^{84, ID},
 N. Ilic ^{155, ID}, H. Imam ^{35a, ID}, M. Ince Lezki ^{56, ID}, T. Ingebretsen Carlson ^{47a, 47b, ID}, G. Introzzi ^{73a, 73b, ID},
 M. Iodice ^{77a, ID}, V. Ippolito ^{75a, 75b, ID}, R.K. Irwin ^{92, ID}, M. Ishino ^{153, ID}, W. Islam ^{170, ID}, C. Issever ^{18, 48, ID},
 S. Istin ^{21a, ID, al}, H. Ito ^{168, ID}, J.M. Iturbe Ponce ^{64a, ID}, R. Iuppa ^{78a, 78b, ID}, A. Ivina ^{169, ID}, J.M. Izen ^{45, ID}, V. Izzo ^{72a, ID},
 P. Jacka ^{131, 132, ID}, P. Jackson ^{1, ID}, B.P. Jaeger ^{142, ID}, C.S. Jagfeld ^{109, ID}, G. Jain ^{156a, ID}, P. Jain ^{54, ID}, K. Jakobs ^{54, ID},
 T. Jakoubek ^{169, ID}, J. Jamieson ^{59, ID}, K.W. Janas ^{86a, ID}, M. Javurkova ^{103, ID}, L. Jeanty ^{123, ID}, J. Jejelava ^{149a, ID, aa},
 P. Jenni ^{54, ID, g}, C.E. Jessiman ^{34, ID}, C. Jia ^{62b}, J. Jia ^{145, ID}, X. Jia ^{61, ID}, X. Jia ^{14a, 14e, ID}, Z. Jia ^{14c, ID}, S. Jiggins ^{48, ID},
 J. Jimenez Pena ^{13, ID}, S. Jin ^{14c, ID}, A. Jinaru ^{27b, ID}, O. Jinnouchi ^{154, ID}, P. Johansson ^{139, ID}, K.A. Johns ^{7, ID},
 J.W. Johnson ^{136, ID}, D.M. Jones ^{32, ID}, E. Jones ^{48, ID}, P. Jones ^{32, ID}, R.W.L. Jones ^{91, ID}, T.J. Jones ^{92, ID},
 H.L. Joos ^{55, 36, ID}, R. Joshi ^{119, ID}, J. Jovicevic ^{15, ID}, X. Ju ^{17a, ID}, J.J. Junggeburth ^{103, ID}, T. Junkermann ^{63a, ID},
 A. Juste Rozas ^{13, ID, t}, M.K. Juzek ^{87, ID}, S. Kabana ^{137e, ID}, A. Kaczmarska ^{87, ID}, M. Kado ^{110, ID}, H. Kagan ^{119, ID},
 M. Kagan ^{143, ID}, A. Kahn ⁴¹, A. Kahn ^{128, ID}, C. Kahra ^{100, ID}, T. Kaji ^{153, ID}, E. Kajomovitz ^{150, ID}, N. Kakati ^{169, ID},
 I. Kalaitzidou ^{54, ID}, C.W. Kalderon ^{29, ID}, A. Kamenshchikov ^{155, ID}, N.J. Kang ^{136, ID}, D. Kar ^{33g, ID}, K. Karava ^{126, ID},
 M.J. Kareem ^{156b, ID}, E. Karentzos ^{54, ID}, I. Karkanias ^{152, ID}, O. Karkout ^{114, ID}, S.N. Karpov ^{38, ID}, Z.M. Karpova ^{38, ID},
 V. Kartvelishvili ^{91, ID}, A.N. Karyukhin ^{37, ID}, E. Kasimi ^{152, ID}, J. Katzy ^{48, ID}, S. Kaur ^{34, ID}, K. Kawade ^{140, ID},
 M.P. Kawale ^{120, ID}, C. Kawamoto ^{88, ID}, T. Kawamoto ^{62a, ID}, E.F. Kay ^{36, ID}, F.I. Kaya ^{158, ID}, S. Kazakos ^{107, ID},
 V.F. Kazanin ^{37, ID}, Y. Ke ^{145, ID}, J.M. Keaveney ^{33a, ID}, R. Keeler ^{165, ID}, G.V. Kehris ^{61, ID}, J.S. Keller ^{34, ID},
 A.S. Kelly ⁹⁶, J.J. Kempster ^{146, ID}, P.D. Kennedy ^{100, ID}, O. Kepka ^{131, ID}, B.P. Kerridge ^{167, ID}, S. Kersten ^{171, ID},
 B.P. Kerševan ^{93, ID}, S. Keshri ^{66, ID}, L. Keszeghova ^{28a, ID}, S. Ketabchi Haghighat ^{155, ID}, R.A. Khan ^{129, ID},
 A. Khanov ^{121, ID}, A.G. Kharlamov ^{37, ID}, T. Kharlamova ^{37, ID}, E.E. Khoda ^{138, ID}, M. Kholodenko ^{37, ID},
 T.J. Khoo ^{18, ID}, G. Khoriauli ^{166, ID}, J. Khubua ^{149b, ID}, Y.A.R. Khwaira ^{66, ID}, B. Kibirige ^{33g, ID}, A. Kilgallon ^{123, ID},
 D.W. Kim ^{47a, 47b, ID}, Y.K. Kim ^{39, ID}, N. Kimura ^{96, ID}, M.K. Kingston ^{55, ID}, A. Kirchhoff ^{55, ID}, C. Kirfel ^{24, ID},
 F. Kirfel ^{24, ID}, J. Kirk ^{134, ID}, A.E. Kiryunin ^{110, ID}, C. Kitsaki ^{10, ID}, O. Kivernyk ^{24, ID}, M. Klassen ^{63a, ID}, C. Klein ^{34, ID},
 L. Klein ^{166, ID}, M.H. Klein ^{44, ID}, S.B. Klein ^{56, ID}, U. Klein ^{92, ID}, P. Klimek ^{36, ID}, A. Klimentov ^{29, ID},
 T. Klioutchnikova ^{36, ID}, P. Kluit ^{114, ID}, S. Kluth ^{110, ID}, E. Knerner ^{79, ID}, T.M. Knight ^{155, ID}, A. Knue ^{49, ID},
 R. Kobayashi ^{88, ID}, D. Kobylianskii ^{169, ID}, S.F. Koch ^{126, ID}, M. Kocian ^{143, ID}, P. Kodyš ^{133, ID}, D.M. Koeck ^{123, ID},
 P.T. Koenig ^{24, ID}, T. Koffas ^{34, ID}, O. Kolay ^{50, ID}, I. Koletsou ^{4, ID}, T. Komarek ^{122, ID}, K. Köneke ^{54, ID},
 A.X.Y. Kong ^{1, ID}, T. Kono ^{118, ID}, N. Konstantinidis ^{96, ID}, P. Kontaxakis ^{56, ID}, B. Konya ^{98, ID}, R. Kopeliansky ^{68, ID},
 S. Koperny ^{86a, ID}, K. Korcyl ^{87, ID}, K. Kordas ^{152, ID, e}, A. Korn ^{96, ID}, S. Korn ^{55, ID}, I. Korolkov ^{13, ID},
 N. Korotkova ^{37, ID}, B. Kortman ^{114, ID}, O. Kortner ^{110, ID}, S. Kortner ^{110, ID}, W.H. Kostecka ^{115, ID},
 V.V. Kostyukhin ^{141, ID}, A. Kotsokechagia ^{135, ID}, A. Kotwal ^{51, ID}, A. Koulouris ^{36, ID},
 A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi ^{73a, 73b, ID}, C. Kourkoumelis ^{9, ID}, E. Kourlitis ^{110, ID, ae}, O. Kovanda ^{146, ID},
 R. Kowalewski ^{165, ID}, W. Kozanecki ^{135, ID}, A.S. Kozhin ^{37, ID}, V.A. Kramarenko ^{37, ID}, G. Kramberger ^{93, ID},
 P. Kramer ^{100, ID}, M.W. Krasny ^{127, ID}, A. Krasznahorkay ^{36, ID}, J.W. Kraus ^{171, ID}, J.A. Kremer ^{48, ID}, T. Kresse ^{50, ID},
 J. Kretzschmar ^{92, ID}, K. Kreul ^{18, ID}, P. Krieger ^{155, ID}, S. Krishnamurthy ^{103, ID}, M. Krivos ^{133, ID}, K. Krizka ^{20, ID},
 K. Kroeninger ^{49, ID}, H. Kroha ^{110, ID}, J. Kroll ^{131, ID}, J. Kroll ^{128, ID}, K.S. Krowpman ^{107, ID}, U. Kruchonak ^{38, ID},
 H. Krüger ^{24, ID}, N. Krumnack ⁸¹, M.C. Kruse ^{51, ID}, O. Kuchinskaia ^{37, ID}, S. Kuday ^{3a, ID}, S. Kuehn ^{36, ID},
 R. Kuesters ^{54, ID}, T. Kuhl ^{48, ID}, V. Kukhtin ^{38, ID}, Y. Kulchitsky ^{37, ID, a}, S. Kuleshov ^{137d, 137b, ID}, M. Kumar ^{33g, ID},
 N. Kumari ^{48, ID}, P. Kumari ^{156b, ID}, A. Kupco ^{131, ID}, T. Kupfer ⁴⁹, A. Kupich ^{37, ID}, O. Kuprash ^{54, ID},

- H. Kurashige 85,^{ID}, L.L. Kurchaninov 156a,^{ID}, O. Kurdysh 66,^{ID}, Y.A. Kurochkin 37,^{ID}, A. Kurova 37,^{ID}, M. Kuze 154,^{ID},
 A.K. Kvam 103,^{ID}, J. Kvita 122,^{ID}, T. Kwan 104,^{ID}, N.G. Kyriacou 106,^{ID}, L.A.O. Laatu 102,^{ID}, C. Lacasta 163,^{ID},
 F. Lacava 75a,75b,^{ID}, H. Lacker 18,^{ID}, D. Lacour 127,^{ID}, N.N. Lad 96,^{ID}, E. Ladygin 38,^{ID}, B. Laforge 127,^{ID},
 T. Lagouri 27b,^{ID}, F.Z. Lahbabí 35a,^{ID}, S. Lai 55,^{ID}, I.K. Lakomiec 86a,^{ID}, N. Lalloue 60,^{ID}, J.E. Lambert 165,^{ID},
 S. Lammers 68,^{ID}, W. Lampl 7,^{ID}, C. Lampoudis 152,^{ID},^e, A.N. Lancaster 115,^{ID}, E. Lançon 29,^{ID}, U. Landgraf 54,^{ID},
 M.P.J. Landon 94,^{ID}, V.S. Lang 54,^{ID}, R.J. Langenberg 103,^{ID}, O.K.B. Langrekken 125,^{ID}, A.J. Lankford 159,^{ID},
 F. Lanni 36,^{ID}, K. Lantzsch 24,^{ID}, A. Lanza 73a,^{ID}, A. Lapertosa 57b,57a,^{ID}, J.F. Laporte 135,^{ID}, T. Lari 71a,^{ID},
 F. Lasagni Manghi 23b,^{ID}, M. Lassnig 36,^{ID}, V. Latonova 131,^{ID}, A. Laudrain 100,^{ID}, A. Laurier 150,^{ID},
 S.D. Lawlor 139,^{ID}, Z. Lawrence 101,^{ID}, R. Lazaridou 167, M. Lazzaroni 71a,71b,^{ID}, B. Le 101, E.M. Le Boulicaut 51,^{ID},
 B. Leban 93,^{ID}, A. Lebedev 81,^{ID}, M. LeBlanc 101,^{ID}, F. Ledroit-Guillon 60,^{ID}, A.C.A. Lee 96, S.C. Lee 148,^{ID},
 S. Lee 47a,47b,^{ID}, T.F. Lee 92,^{ID}, L.L. Leeuw 33c,^{ID}, H.P. Lefebvre 95,^{ID}, M. Lefebvre 165,^{ID}, C. Leggett 17a,^{ID},
 G. Lehmann Miotto 36,^{ID}, M. Leigh 56,^{ID}, W.A. Leight 103,^{ID}, W. Leinonen 113,^{ID}, A. Leisos 152,^{ID},^s,
 M.A.L. Leite 83c,^{ID}, C.E. Leitgeb 18,^{ID}, R. Leitner 133,^{ID}, K.J.C. Leney 44,^{ID}, T. Lenz 24,^{ID}, S. Leone 74a,^{ID},
 C. Leonidopoulos 52,^{ID}, A. Leopold 144,^{ID}, C. Leroy 108,^{ID}, R. Les 107,^{ID}, C.G. Lester 32,^{ID}, M. Levchenko 37,^{ID},
 J. Levêque 4,^{ID}, L.J. Levinson 169,^{ID}, G. Levrini 23b,23a,^{ID}, M.P. Lewicki 87,^{ID}, D.J. Lewis 4,^{ID}, A. Li 5,^{ID}, B. Li 62b,^{ID},
 C. Li 62a,^{ID}, C-Q. Li 110,^{ID}, H. Li 62a,^{ID}, H. Li 62b,^{ID}, H. Li 14c,^{ID}, H. Li 14b,^{ID}, H. Li 62b,^{ID}, J. Li 62c,^{ID}, K. Li 138,^{ID},
 L. Li 62c,^{ID}, M. Li 14a,14e,^{ID}, Q.Y. Li 62a,^{ID}, S. Li 14a,14e,^{ID}, S. Li 62d,62c,^{ID}, T. Li 5,^{ID}, X. Li 104,^{ID}, Z. Li 126,^{ID}, Z. Li 104,^{ID},
 Z. Li 14a,14e,^{ID}, S. Liang 14a,14e,^{ID}, Z. Liang 14a,^{ID}, M. Liberatore 135,^{ID}, B. Liberti 76a,^{ID}, K. Lie 64c,^{ID},
 J. Lieber Marin 83b,^{ID}, H. Lien 68,^{ID}, K. Lin 107,^{ID}, R.E. Lindley 7,^{ID}, J.H. Lindon 2,^{ID}, E. Lipeles 128,^{ID},
 A. Lipniacka 16,^{ID}, A. Lister 164,^{ID}, J.D. Little 4,^{ID}, B. Liu 14a,^{ID}, B.X. Liu 142,^{ID}, D. Liu 62d,62c,^{ID}, J.B. Liu 62a,^{ID},
 J.K.K. Liu 32,^{ID}, K. Liu 62d,62c,^{ID}, M. Liu 62a,^{ID}, M.Y. Liu 62a,^{ID}, P. Liu 14a,^{ID}, Q. Liu 62d,138,62c,^{ID}, X. Liu 62a,^{ID},
 X. Liu 62b,^{ID}, Y. Liu 14d,14e,^{ID}, Y.L. Liu 62b,^{ID}, Y.W. Liu 62a,^{ID}, J. Llorente Merino 142,^{ID}, S.L. Lloyd 94,^{ID},
 E.M. Lobodzinska 48,^{ID}, P. Loch 7,^{ID}, T. Lohse 18,^{ID}, K. Lohwasser 139,^{ID}, E. Loiacono 48,^{ID}, M. Lokajicek 131,^{ID},*,
 J.D. Lomas 20,^{ID}, J.D. Long 162,^{ID}, I. Longarini 159,^{ID}, L. Longo 70a,70b,^{ID}, R. Longo 162,^{ID}, I. Lopez Paz 67,^{ID},
 A. Lopez Solis 48,^{ID}, N. Lorenzo Martinez 4,^{ID}, A.M. Lory 109,^{ID}, G. Löschcke Centeno 146,^{ID}, O. Loseva 37,^{ID},
 X. Lou 47a,47b,^{ID}, X. Lou 14a,14e,^{ID}, A. Lounis 66,^{ID}, J. Love 6,^{ID}, P.A. Love 91,^{ID}, G. Lu 14a,14e,^{ID}, M. Lu 80,^{ID}, S. Lu 128,^{ID},
 Y.J. Lu 65,^{ID}, H.J. Lubatti 138,^{ID}, C. Luci 75a,75b,^{ID}, F.L. Lucio Alves 14c,^{ID}, F. Luehring 68,^{ID}, I. Luise 145,^{ID},
 O. Lukianchuk 66,^{ID}, O. Lundberg 144,^{ID}, B. Lund-Jensen 144,^{ID}, N.A. Luongo 6,^{ID}, M.S. Lutz 36,^{ID}, A.B. Lux 25,^{ID},
 D. Lynn 29,^{ID}, R. Lysak 131,^{ID}, E. Lytken 98,^{ID}, V. Lyubushkin 38,^{ID}, T. Lyubushkina 38,^{ID}, M.M. Lyukova 145,^{ID},
 H. Ma 29,^{ID}, K. Ma 62a,^{ID}, L.L. Ma 62b,^{ID}, W. Ma 62a,^{ID}, Y. Ma 121,^{ID}, D.M. Mac Donell 165,^{ID}, G. Maccarrone 53,^{ID},
 J.C. MacDonald 100,^{ID}, P.C. Machado De Abreu Farias 83b,^{ID}, R. Madar 40,^{ID}, W.F. Mader 50,^{ID}, T. Madula 96,^{ID},
 J. Maeda 85,^{ID}, T. Maeno 29,^{ID}, H. Maguire 139,^{ID}, V. Maiboroda 135,^{ID}, A. Maio 130a,130b,130d,^{ID}, K. Maj 86a,^{ID},
 O. Majersky 48,^{ID}, S. Majewski 123,^{ID}, N. Makovec 66,^{ID}, V. Maksimovic 15,^{ID}, B. Malaescu 127,^{ID}, Pa. Malecki 87,^{ID},
 V.P. Maleev 37,^{ID}, F. Malek 60,^{ID}, M. Mali 93,^{ID}, D. Malito 95,^{ID}, U. Mallik 80,^{ID}, S. Maltezos 10, S. Malyukov 38,
 J. Mamuzic 13,^{ID}, G. Mancini 53,^{ID}, M.N. Mancini 26,^{ID}, G. Manco 73a,73b,^{ID}, J.P. Mandalia 94,^{ID}, I. Mandić 93,^{ID},
 L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho 83a,^{ID}, I.M. Maniatis 169,^{ID}, J. Manjarres Ramos 102,^{ID},^{ab}, D.C. Mankad 169,^{ID},
 A. Mann 109,^{ID}, S. Manzoni 36,^{ID}, L. Mao 62c,^{ID}, X. Mapekula 33c,^{ID}, A. Marantis 152,^{ID},^s, G. Marchiori 5,^{ID},
 M. Marcisovsky 131,^{ID}, C. Marcon 71a,^{ID}, M. Marinescu 20,^{ID}, S. Marium 48,^{ID}, M. Marjanovic 120,^{ID},
 E.J. Marshall 91,^{ID}, Z. Marshall 17a,^{ID}, S. Marti-Garcia 163,^{ID}, T.A. Martin 167,^{ID}, V.J. Martin 52,^{ID},
 B. Martin dit Latour 16,^{ID}, L. Martinelli 75a,75b,^{ID}, M. Martinez 13,^{ID},^t, P. Martinez Agullo 163,^{ID},
 V.I. Martinez Outschoorn 103,^{ID}, P. Martinez Suarez 13,^{ID}, S. Martin-Haugh 134,^{ID}, V.S. Martoiu 27b,^{ID},
 A.C. Martyniuk 96,^{ID}, A. Marzin 36,^{ID}, D. Mascione 78a,78b,^{ID}, L. Masetti 100,^{ID}, T. Mashimo 153,^{ID}, J. Masik 101,^{ID},

- A.L. Maslennikov ^{37, ID}, P. Massarotti ^{72a,72b, ID}, P. Mastrandrea ^{74a,74b, ID}, A. Mastroberardino ^{43b,43a, ID},
 T. Masubuchi ^{153, ID}, T. Mathisen ^{161, ID}, J. Matousek ^{133, ID}, N. Matsuzawa ¹⁵³, J. Maurer ^{27b, ID}, B. Maček ^{93, ID},
 D.A. Maximov ^{37, ID}, R. Mazini ^{148, ID}, I. Maznas ^{152, ID}, M. Mazza ^{107, ID}, S.M. Mazza ^{136, ID}, E. Mazzeo ^{71a,71b, ID},
 C. Mc Ginn ^{29, ID}, J.P. Mc Gowan ^{104, ID}, S.P. Mc Kee ^{106, ID}, C.C. McCracken ^{164, ID}, E.F. McDonald ^{105, ID},
 A.E. McDougall ^{114, ID}, J.A. Mcfayden ^{146, ID}, R.P. McGovern ^{128, ID}, G. Mchedlidze ^{149b, ID}, R.P. Mckenzie ^{33g, ID},
 T.C. Mclachlan ^{48, ID}, D.J. McLaughlin ^{96, ID}, S.J. McMahon ^{134, ID}, C.M. Mcpartland ^{92, ID}, R.A. McPherson ^{165, ID},
 S. Mehlhase ^{109, ID}, A. Mehta ^{92, ID}, D. Melini ^{163, ID}, B.R. Mellado Garcia ^{33g, ID}, A.H. Melo ^{55, ID}, F. Meloni ^{48, ID},
 A.M. Mendes Jacques Da Costa ^{101, ID}, H.Y. Meng ^{155, ID}, L. Meng ^{91, ID}, S. Menke ^{110, ID}, M. Mentink ^{36, ID},
 E. Meoni ^{43b,43a, ID}, G. Mercado ^{115, ID}, C. Merlassino ^{69a,69c, ID}, L. Merola ^{72a,72b, ID}, C. Meroni ^{71a,71b, ID},
 J. Metcalfe ^{6, ID}, A.S. Mete ^{6, ID}, C. Meyer ^{68, ID}, J-P. Meyer ^{135, ID}, R.P. Middleton ^{134, ID}, L. Mijović ^{52, ID},
 G. Mikenberg ^{169, ID}, M. Mikestikova ^{131, ID}, M. Mikuž ^{93, ID}, H. Mildner ^{100, ID}, A. Milic ^{36, ID}, D.W. Miller ^{39, ID},
 E.H. Miller ^{143, ID}, L.S. Miller ^{34, ID}, A. Milov ^{169, ID}, D.A. Milstead ^{47a,47b}, T. Min ^{14c}, A.A. Minaenko ^{37, ID},
 I.A. Minashvili ^{149b, ID}, L. Mince ^{59, ID}, A.I. Mincer ^{117, ID}, B. Mindur ^{86a, ID}, M. Mineev ^{38, ID}, Y. Mino ^{88, ID},
 L.M. Mir ^{13, ID}, M. Miralles Lopez ^{59, ID}, M. Mironova ^{17a, ID}, A. Mishima ¹⁵³, M.C. Missio ^{113, ID}, A. Mitra ^{167, ID},
 V.A. Mitsou ^{163, ID}, Y. Mitsumori ^{111, ID}, O. Miu ^{155, ID}, P.S. Miyagawa ^{94, ID}, T. Mkrtchyan ^{63a, ID}, M. Mlinarevic ^{96, ID},
 T. Mlinarevic ^{96, ID}, M. Mlynarikova ^{36, ID}, S. Mobius ^{19, ID}, P. Mogg ^{109, ID}, M.H. Mohamed Farook ^{112, ID},
 A.F. Mohammed ^{14a,14e, ID}, S. Mohapatra ^{41, ID}, G. Mokgatitswane ^{33g, ID}, L. Moleri ^{169, ID}, B. Mondal ^{141, ID},
 S. Mondal ^{132, ID}, K. Mönig ^{48, ID}, E. Monnier ^{102, ID}, L. Monsonis Romero ¹⁶³, J. Montejoe Berlingen ^{13, ID},
 M. Montella ^{119, ID}, F. Montereali ^{77a,77b, ID}, F. Monticelli ^{90, ID}, S. Monzani ^{69a,69c, ID}, N. Morange ^{66, ID},
 A.L. Moreira De Carvalho ^{130a, ID}, M. Moreno Llácer ^{163, ID}, C. Moreno Martinez ^{56, ID}, P. Morettini ^{57b, ID},
 S. Morgenstern ^{36, ID}, M. Morii ^{61, ID}, M. Morinaga ^{153, ID}, F. Morodei ^{75a,75b, ID}, L. Morvaj ^{36, ID},
 P. Moschovakos ^{36, ID}, B. Moser ^{36, ID}, M. Mosidze ^{149b, ID}, T. Moskalets ^{54, ID}, P. Moskvitina ^{113, ID}, J. Moss ^{31, ID},
 E.J.W. Moyse ^{103, ID}, O. Mtintsilana ^{33g, ID}, S. Muanza ^{102, ID}, J. Mueller ^{129, ID}, D. Muenstermann ^{91, ID},
 R. Müller ^{19, ID}, G.A. Mullier ^{161, ID}, A.J. Mullin ³², J.J. Mullin ¹²⁸, D.P. Mungo ^{155, ID}, D. Munoz Perez ^{163, ID},
 F.J. Munoz Sanchez ^{101, ID}, M. Murin ^{101, ID}, W.J. Murray ^{167,134, ID}, M. Muškinja ^{17a, ID}, C. Mwewa ^{29, ID},
 A.G. Myagkov ^{37, ID}, A.J. Myers ^{8, ID}, G. Myers ^{68, ID}, M. Myska ^{132, ID}, B.P. Nachman ^{17a, ID}, O. Nackenhorst ^{49, ID},
 K. Nagai ^{126, ID}, K. Nagano ^{84, ID}, J.L. Nagle ^{29, ID}, E. Nagy ^{102, ID}, A.M. Nairz ^{36, ID}, Y. Nakahama ^{84, ID},
 K. Nakamura ^{84, ID}, K. Nakkalil ^{5, ID}, H. Nanjo ^{124, ID}, R. Narayan ^{44, ID}, E.A. Narayanan ^{112, ID}, I. Naryshkin ^{37, ID},
 M. Naseri ^{34, ID}, S. Nasri ^{116b, ID}, C. Nass ^{24, ID}, G. Navarro ^{22a, ID}, J. Navarro-Gonzalez ^{163, ID}, R. Nayak ^{151, ID},
 A. Nayaz ^{18, ID}, P.Y. Nechaeva ^{37, ID}, F. Nechansky ^{48, ID}, L. Nedic ^{126, ID}, T.J. Neep ^{20, ID}, A. Negri ^{73a,73b, ID},
 M. Negrini ^{23b, ID}, C. Nellist ^{114, ID}, C. Nelson ^{104, ID}, K. Nelson ^{106, ID}, S. Nemecek ^{131, ID}, M. Nessi ^{36, ID},
 M.S. Neubauer ^{162, ID}, F. Neuhaus ^{100, ID}, J. Neundorf ^{48, ID}, R. Newhouse ^{164, ID}, P.R. Newman ^{20, ID}, C.W. Ng ^{129, ID},
 Y.W.Y. Ng ^{48, ID}, B. Ngair ^{116a, ID}, H.D.N. Nguyen ^{108, ID}, R.B. Nickerson ^{126, ID}, R. Nicolaïdou ^{135, ID}, J. Nielsen ^{136, ID},
 M. Niemeyer ^{55, ID}, J. Niermann ^{55,36, ID}, N. Nikiforou ^{36, ID}, V. Nikolaenko ^{37, ID}, I. Nikolic-Audit ^{127, ID},
 K. Nikolopoulos ^{20, ID}, P. Nilsson ^{29, ID}, I. Ninca ^{48, ID}, H.R. Nindhito ^{56, ID}, G. Ninio ^{151, ID}, A. Nisati ^{75a, ID},
 N. Nishu ^{2, ID}, R. Nisius ^{110, ID}, J-E. Nitschke ^{50, ID}, E.K. Nkadimeng ^{33g, ID}, T. Nobe ^{153, ID}, D.L. Noel ^{32, ID},
 T. Nommensen ^{147, ID}, M.B. Norfolk ^{139, ID}, R.R.B. Norisam ^{96, ID}, B.J. Norman ^{34, ID}, M. Noury ^{35a, ID}, J. Novak ^{93, ID},
 T. Novak ^{48, ID}, L. Novotny ^{132, ID}, R. Novotny ^{112, ID}, L. Nozka ^{122, ID}, K. Ntekas ^{159, ID},
 N.M.J. Nunes De Moura Junior ^{83b, ID}, E. Nurse ⁹⁶, J. Ocariz ^{127, ID}, A. Ochi ^{85, ID}, I. Ochoa ^{130a, ID}, S. Oerdekk ^{48, ID},
 J.T. Offermann ^{39, ID}, A. Ogrodnik ^{133, ID}, A. Oh ^{101, ID}, C.C. Ohm ^{144, ID}, H. Oide ^{84, ID}, R. Oishi ^{153, ID},
 M.L. Ojeda ^{48, ID}, Y. Okumura ^{153, ID}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra ^{130a, ID}, S.A. Olivares Pino ^{137d, ID},
 D. Oliveira Damazio ^{29, ID}, D. Oliveira Goncalves ^{83a, ID}, J.L. Oliver ^{159, ID}, Ö.O. Öncel ^{54, ID}, A.P. O'Neill ^{19, ID},

- A. Onofre ^{130a,130e, ID}, P.U.E. Onyisi ^{11, ID}, M.J. Oreglia ^{39, ID}, G.E. Orellana ^{90, ID}, D. Orestano ^{77a,77b, ID},
 N. Orlando ^{13, ID}, R.S. Orr ^{155, ID}, V. O'Shea ^{59, ID}, L.M. Osojnak ^{128, ID}, R. Ospanov ^{62a, ID}, G. Otero y Garzon ^{30, ID},
 H. Otono ^{89, ID}, P.S. Ott ^{63a, ID}, G.J. Ottino ^{17a, ID}, M. Ouchrif ^{35d, ID}, F. Ould-Saada ^{125, ID}, M. Owen ^{59, ID},
 R.E. Owen ^{134, ID}, K.Y. Oyulmaz ^{21a, ID}, V.E. Ozcan ^{21a, ID}, F. Ozturk ^{87, ID}, N. Ozturk ^{8, ID}, S. Ozturk ^{82, ID},
 H.A. Pacey ^{126, ID}, A. Pacheco Pages ^{13, ID}, C. Padilla Aranda ^{13, ID}, G. Padovano ^{75a,75b, ID}, S. Pagan Griso ^{17a, ID},
 G. Palacino ^{68, ID}, A. Palazzo ^{70a,70b, ID}, J. Pan ^{172, ID}, T. Pan ^{64a, ID}, D.K. Panchal ^{11, ID}, C.E. Pandini ^{114, ID},
 J.G. Panduro Vazquez ^{95, ID}, H.D. Pandya ^{1, ID}, H. Pang ^{14b, ID}, P. Pani ^{48, ID}, G. Panizzo ^{69a,69c, ID}, L. Paolozzi ^{56, ID},
 S. Parajuli ^{162, ID}, A. Paramonov ^{6, ID}, C. Paraskevopoulos ^{53, ID}, D. Paredes Hernandez ^{64b, ID}, K.R. Park ^{41, ID},
 T.H. Park ^{155, ID}, M.A. Parker ^{32, ID}, F. Parodi ^{57b,57a, ID}, E.W. Parrish ^{115, ID}, V.A. Parrish ^{52, ID}, J.A. Parsons ^{41, ID},
 U. Parzefall ^{54, ID}, B. Pascual Dias ^{108, ID}, L. Pascual Dominguez ^{151, ID}, E. Pasqualucci ^{75a, ID}, S. Passaggio ^{57b, ID},
 F. Pastore ^{95, ID}, P. Patel ^{87, ID}, U.M. Patel ^{51, ID}, J.R. Pater ^{101, ID}, T. Pauly ^{36, ID}, J. Pearkes ^{143, ID}, M. Pedersen ^{125, ID},
 R. Pedro ^{130a, ID}, S.V. Peleganchuk ^{37, ID}, O. Penc ^{36, ID}, E.A. Pender ^{52, ID}, G.D. Penn ^{172, ID}, K.E. Penski ^{109, ID},
 M. Penzin ^{37, ID}, B.S. Peralva ^{83d, ID}, A.P. Pereira Peixoto ^{60, ID}, L. Pereira Sanchez ^{47a,47b, ID}, D.V. Perepelitsa ^{29, ID, aj},
 E. Perez Codina ^{156a, ID}, M. Perganti ^{10, ID}, H. Pernegger ^{36, ID}, O. Perrin ^{40, ID}, K. Peters ^{48, ID}, R.F.Y. Peters ^{101, ID},
 B.A. Petersen ^{36, ID}, T.C. Petersen ^{42, ID}, E. Petit ^{102, ID}, V. Petousis ^{132, ID}, C. Petridou ^{152, ID, e}, A. Petrukhin ^{141, ID},
 M. Pettee ^{17a, ID}, N.E. Pettersson ^{36, ID}, A. Petukhov ^{37, ID}, K. Petukhova ^{133, ID}, R. Pezoa ^{137f, ID}, L. Pezzotti ^{36, ID},
 G. Pezzullo ^{172, ID}, T.M. Pham ^{170, ID}, T. Pham ^{105, ID}, P.W. Phillips ^{134, ID}, G. Piacquadio ^{145, ID}, E. Pianori ^{17a, ID},
 F. Piazza ^{123, ID}, R. Piegaia ^{30, ID}, D. Pietreanu ^{27b, ID}, A.D. Pilkington ^{101, ID}, M. Pinamonti ^{69a,69c, ID}, J.L. Pinfold ^{2, ID},
 B.C. Pinheiro Pereira ^{130a, ID}, A.E. Pinto Pinoargote ^{100,135, ID}, L. Pintucci ^{69a,69c, ID}, K.M. Piper ^{146, ID},
 A. Pirttikoski ^{56, ID}, D.A. Pizzi ^{34, ID}, L. Pizzimento ^{64b, ID}, A. Pizzini ^{114, ID}, M.-A. Pleier ^{29, ID}, V. Plesanovs ⁵⁴,
 V. Pleskot ^{133, ID}, E. Plotnikova ³⁸, G. Poddar ^{4, ID}, R. Poettgen ^{98, ID}, L. Poggioli ^{127, ID}, I. Pokharel ^{55, ID},
 S. Polacek ^{133, ID}, G. Polesello ^{73a, ID}, A. Poley ^{142,156a, ID}, A. Polini ^{23b, ID}, C.S. Pollard ^{167, ID}, Z.B. Pollock ^{119, ID},
 E. Pompa Pacchi ^{75a,75b, ID}, D. Ponomarenko ^{113, ID}, L. Pontecorvo ^{36, ID}, S. Popa ^{27a, ID}, G.A. Popeneciu ^{27d, ID},
 A. Poreba ^{36, ID}, D.M. Portillo Quintero ^{156a, ID}, S. Pospisil ^{132, ID}, M.A. Postill ^{139, ID}, P. Postolache ^{27c, ID},
 K. Potamianos ^{167, ID}, P.A. Potepa ^{86a, ID}, I.N. Potrap ^{38, ID}, C.J. Potter ^{32, ID}, H. Potti ^{1, ID}, T. Poulsen ^{48, ID},
 J. Poveda ^{163, ID}, M.E. Pozo Astigarraga ^{36, ID}, A. Prades Ibanez ^{163, ID}, J. Pretel ^{54, ID}, D. Price ^{101, ID},
 M. Primavera ^{70a, ID}, M.A. Principe Martin ^{99, ID}, R. Privara ^{122, ID}, T. Procter ^{59, ID}, M.L. Proffitt ^{138, ID},
 N. Proklova ^{128, ID}, K. Prokofiev ^{64c, ID}, G. Proto ^{110, ID}, J. Proudfoot ^{6, ID}, M. Przybycien ^{86a, ID},
 W.W. Przygoda ^{86b, ID}, A. Psallidas ^{46, ID}, J.E. Puddefoot ^{139, ID}, D. Pudzha ^{37, ID}, D. Pyatiizbyantseva ^{37, ID},
 J. Qian ^{106, ID}, D. Qichen ^{101, ID}, Y. Qin ^{101, ID}, T. Qiu ^{52, ID}, A. Quadt ^{55, ID}, M. Queitsch-Maitland ^{101, ID},
 G. Quetant ^{56, ID}, R.P. Quinn ^{164, ID}, G. Rabanal Bolanos ^{61, ID}, D. Rafanoharana ^{54, ID}, F. Ragusa ^{71a,71b, ID},
 J.L. Rainbolt ^{39, ID}, J.A. Raine ^{56, ID}, S. Rajagopalan ^{29, ID}, E. Ramakoti ^{37, ID}, I.A. Ramirez-Berend ^{34, ID},
 K. Ran ^{48,14e, ID}, N.P. Rapheeha ^{33g, ID}, H. Rasheed ^{27b, ID}, V. Raskina ^{127, ID}, D.F. Rassloff ^{63a, ID}, A. Rastogi ^{17a, ID},
 S. Rave ^{100, ID}, B. Ravina ^{55, ID}, I. Ravinovich ^{169, ID}, M. Raymond ^{36, ID}, A.L. Read ^{125, ID}, N.P. Readioff ^{139, ID},
 D.M. Rebuzzi ^{73a,73b, ID}, G. Redlinger ^{29, ID}, A.S. Reed ^{110, ID}, K. Reeves ^{26, ID}, J.A. Reidelsturz ^{171, ID},
 D. Reikher ^{151, ID}, A. Rej ^{49, ID}, C. Rembser ^{36, ID}, A. Renardi ^{48, ID}, M. Renda ^{27b, ID}, M.B. Rendel ¹¹⁰, F. Renner ^{48, ID},
 A.G. Rennie ^{159, ID}, A.L. Rescia ^{48, ID}, S. Resconi ^{71a, ID}, M. Ressegotti ^{57b,57a, ID}, S. Rettie ^{36, ID},
 J.G. Reyes Rivera ^{107, ID}, E. Reynolds ^{17a, ID}, O.L. Rezanova ^{37, ID}, P. Reznicek ^{133, ID}, N. Ribaric ^{91, ID},
 E. Ricci ^{78a,78b, ID}, R. Richter ^{110, ID}, S. Richter ^{47a,47b, ID}, E. Richter-Was ^{86b, ID}, M. Ridel ^{127, ID}, S. Ridouani ^{35d, ID},
 P. Rieck ^{117, ID}, P. Riedler ^{36, ID}, E.M. Riefel ^{47a,47b, ID}, J.O. Rieger ^{114, ID}, M. Rijssenbeek ^{145, ID}, A. Rimoldi ^{73a,73b, ID},
 M. Rimoldi ^{36, ID}, L. Rinaldi ^{23b,23a, ID}, T.T. Rinn ^{29, ID}, M.P. Rinnagel ^{109, ID}, G. Ripellino ^{161, ID}, I. Riu ^{13, ID},
 P. Rivadeneira ^{48, ID}, J.C. Rivera Vergara ^{165, ID}, F. Rizatdinova ^{121, ID}, E. Rizvi ^{94, ID}, B.A. Roberts ^{167, ID},

- B.R. Roberts ^{17a, ID}, S.H. Robertson ^{104, ID, x}, D. Robinson ^{32, ID}, C.M. Robles Gajardo ^{137f}, M. Robles Manzano ^{100, ID},
 A. Robson ^{59, ID}, A. Rocchi ^{76a,76b, ID}, C. Roda ^{74a,74b, ID}, S. Rodriguez Bosca ^{63a, ID}, Y. Rodriguez Garcia ^{22a, ID},
 A. Rodriguez Rodriguez ^{54, ID}, A.M. Rodríguez Vera ^{156b, ID}, S. Roe ³⁶, J.T. Roemer ^{159, ID}, A.R. Roepe-Gier ^{136, ID},
 J. Roggel ^{171, ID}, O. Røhne ^{125, ID}, R.A. Rojas ^{103, ID}, C.P.A. Roland ^{127, ID}, J. Roloff ^{29, ID}, A. Romaniouk ^{37, ID},
 E. Romano ^{73a,73b, ID}, M. Romano ^{23b, ID}, A.C. Romero Hernandez ^{162, ID}, N. Rompotis ^{92, ID}, L. Roos ^{127, ID},
 S. Rosati ^{75a, ID}, B.J. Rosser ^{39, ID}, E. Rossi ^{126, ID}, E. Rossi ^{72a,72b, ID}, L.P. Rossi ^{57b, ID}, L. Rossini ^{54, ID}, R. Rosten ^{119, ID},
 M. Rotaru ^{27b, ID}, B. Rottler ^{54, ID}, C. Rougier ^{102, ID, ab}, D. Rousseau ^{66, ID}, D. Rousseau ^{32, ID}, A. Roy ^{162, ID},
 S. Roy-Garand ^{155, ID}, A. Rozanov ^{102, ID}, Z.M.A. Rozario ^{59, ID}, Y. Rozen ^{150, ID}, A. Rubio Jimenez ^{163, ID},
 A.J. Ruby ^{92, ID}, V.H. Ruelas Rivera ^{18, ID}, T.A. Ruggeri ^{1, ID}, A. Ruggiero ^{126, ID}, A. Ruiz-Martinez ^{163, ID},
 A. Rummler ^{36, ID}, Z. Rurikova ^{54, ID}, N.A. Rusakovich ^{38, ID}, H.L. Russell ^{165, ID}, G. Russo ^{75a,75b, ID},
 J.P. Rutherford ^{7, ID}, S. Rutherford Colmenares ^{32, ID}, K. Rybacki ⁹¹, M. Rybar ^{133, ID}, E.B. Rye ^{125, ID},
 A. Ryzhov ^{44, ID}, J.A. Sabater Iglesias ^{56, ID}, P. Sabatini ^{163, ID}, H.F-W. Sadrozinski ^{136, ID}, F. Safai Tehrani ^{75a, ID},
 B. Safarzadeh Samani ^{134, ID}, M. Safdari ^{143, ID}, S. Saha ^{165, ID}, M. Sahinsoy ^{110, ID}, A. Saibel ^{163, ID},
 M. Saimpert ^{135, ID}, M. Saito ^{153, ID}, T. Saito ^{153, ID}, D. Salamani ^{36, ID}, A. Salnikov ^{143, ID}, J. Salt ^{163, ID},
 A. Salvador Salas ^{151, ID}, D. Salvatore ^{43b,43a, ID}, F. Salvatore ^{146, ID}, A. Salzburger ^{36, ID}, D. Sammel ^{54, ID},
 D. Sampsonidis ^{152, ID, e}, D. Sampsonidou ^{123, ID}, J. Sánchez ^{163, ID}, V. Sanchez Sebastian ^{163, ID}, H. Sandaker ^{125, ID},
 C.O. Sander ^{48, ID}, J.A. Sandesara ^{103, ID}, M. Sandhoff ^{171, ID}, C. Sandoval ^{22b, ID}, D.P.C. Sankey ^{134, ID}, T. Sano ^{88, ID},
 A. Sansoni ^{53, ID}, L. Santi ^{75a,75b, ID}, C. Santoni ^{40, ID}, H. Santos ^{130a,130b, ID}, A. Santra ^{169, ID}, K.A. Saoucha ^{160, ID},
 J.G. Saraiva ^{130a,130d, ID}, J. Sardain ^{7, ID}, O. Sasaki ^{84, ID}, K. Sato ^{157, ID}, C. Sauer ^{63b}, F. Sauerburger ^{54, ID},
 E. Sauvan ^{4, ID}, P. Savard ^{155, ID, ag}, R. Sawada ^{153, ID}, C. Sawyer ^{134, ID}, L. Sawyer ^{97, ID}, I. Sayago Galvan ¹⁶³,
 C. Sbarra ^{23b, ID}, A. Sbrizzi ^{23b,23a, ID}, T. Scanlon ^{96, ID}, J. Schaarschmidt ^{138, ID}, U. Schäfer ^{100, ID},
 A.C. Schaffer ^{66,44, ID}, D. Schaile ^{109, ID}, R.D. Schamberger ^{145, ID}, C. Scharf ^{18, ID}, M.M. Schefer ^{19, ID},
 V.A. Schegelsky ^{37, ID}, D. Scheirich ^{133, ID}, F. Schenck ^{18, ID}, M. Schernau ^{159, ID}, C. Scheulen ^{55, ID},
 C. Schiavi ^{57b,57a, ID}, E.J. Schioppa ^{70a,70b, ID}, M. Schioppa ^{43b,43a, ID}, B. Schlag ^{143, ID, n}, K.E. Schleicher ^{54, ID},
 S. Schlenker ^{36, ID}, J. Schmeing ^{171, ID}, M.A. Schmidt ^{171, ID}, K. Schmieden ^{100, ID}, C. Schmitt ^{100, ID}, N. Schmitt ^{100, ID},
 S. Schmitt ^{48, ID}, L. Schoeffel ^{135, ID}, A. Schoening ^{63b, ID}, P.G. Scholer ^{54, ID}, E. Schopf ^{126, ID}, M. Schott ^{100, ID},
 J. Schovancova ^{36, ID}, S. Schramm ^{56, ID}, T. Schroer ^{56, ID}, H-C. Schultz-Coulon ^{63a, ID}, M. Schumacher ^{54, ID},
 B.A. Schumm ^{136, ID}, Ph. Schune ^{135, ID}, A.J. Schuy ^{138, ID}, H.R. Schwartz ^{136, ID}, A. Schwartzman ^{143, ID},
 T.A. Schwarz ^{106, ID}, Ph. Schwemling ^{135, ID}, R. Schwienhorst ^{107, ID}, A. Sciandra ^{136, ID}, G. Sciolla ^{26, ID},
 F. Scuri ^{74a, ID}, C.D. Sebastiani ^{92, ID}, K. Sedlaczek ^{115, ID}, P. Seema ^{18, ID}, S.C. Seidel ^{112, ID}, A. Seiden ^{136, ID},
 B.D. Seidlitz ^{41, ID}, C. Seitz ^{48, ID}, J.M. Seixas ^{83b, ID}, G. Sekhniaidze ^{72a, ID}, L. Selem ^{60, ID},
 N. Semprini-Cesari ^{23b,23a, ID}, D. Sengupta ^{56, ID}, V. Senthilkumar ^{163, ID}, L. Serin ^{66, ID}, L. Serkin ^{69a,69b, ID},
 M. Sessa ^{76a,76b, ID}, H. Severini ^{120, ID}, F. Sforza ^{57b,57a, ID}, A. Sfyrla ^{56, ID}, E. Shabalina ^{55, ID}, R. Shaheen ^{144, ID},
 J.D. Shahinian ^{128, ID}, D. Shaked Renous ^{169, ID}, L.Y. Shan ^{14a, ID}, M. Shapiro ^{17a, ID}, A. Sharma ^{36, ID},
 A.S. Sharma ^{164, ID}, P. Sharma ^{80, ID}, P.B. Shatalov ^{37, ID}, K. Shaw ^{146, ID}, S.M. Shaw ^{101, ID}, A. Shcherbakova ^{37, ID},
 Q. Shen ^{62c,5, ID}, D.J. Sheppard ^{142, ID}, P. Sherwood ^{96, ID}, L. Shi ^{96, ID}, X. Shi ^{14a, ID}, C.O. Shimmin ^{172, ID},
 J.D. Shinner ^{95, ID}, I.P.J. Shipsey ^{126, ID}, S. Shirabe ^{89, ID}, M. Shiyakova ^{38, ID, v}, J. Shlomi ^{169, ID}, M.J. Shochet ^{39, ID},
 J. Shojaii ^{105, ID}, D.R. Shope ^{125, ID}, B. Shrestha ^{120, ID}, S. Shrestha ^{119, ID, ak}, E.M. Shrif ^{33g, ID}, M.J. Shroff ^{165, ID},
 P. Sicho ^{131, ID}, A.M. Sickles ^{162, ID}, E. Sideras Haddad ^{33g, ID}, A. Sidoti ^{23b, ID}, F. Siegert ^{50, ID}, Dj. Sijacki ^{15, ID},
 F. Sili ^{90, ID}, J.M. Silva ^{20, ID}, M.V. Silva Oliveira ^{29, ID}, S.B. Silverstein ^{47a, ID}, S. Simion ⁶⁶, R. Simonello ^{36, ID},
 E.L. Simpson ^{59, ID}, H. Simpson ^{146, ID}, L.R. Simpson ^{106, ID}, N.D. Simpson ⁹⁸, S. Simsek ^{82, ID}, S. Sindhu ^{55, ID},
 P. Sinervo ^{155, ID}, S. Singh ^{155, ID}, S. Sinha ^{48, ID}, S. Sinha ^{101, ID}, M. Sioli ^{23b,23a, ID}, I. Siral ^{36, ID}, E. Sitnikova ^{48, ID},

- S.Yu. Sivoklokov ^{37, ID},*, J. Sjölin ^{47a,47b, ID}, A. Skaf ^{55, ID}, E. Skorda ^{20, ID}, P. Skubic ^{120, ID}, M. Slawinska ^{87, ID}, V. Smakhtin ¹⁶⁹, B.H. Smart ^{134, ID}, S.Yu. Smirnov ^{37, ID}, Y. Smirnov ^{37, ID}, L.N. Smirnova ^{37, ID, a}, O. Smirnova ^{98, ID}, A.C. Smith ^{41, ID}, E.A. Smith ^{39, ID}, H.A. Smith ^{126, ID}, J.L. Smith ^{92, ID}, R. Smith ¹⁴³, M. Smizanska ^{91, ID}, K. Smolek ^{132, ID}, A.A. Snesarev ^{37, ID}, S.R. Snider ^{155, ID}, H.L. Snoek ^{114, ID}, S. Snyder ^{29, ID}, R. Sobie ^{165, ID, x}, A. Soffer ^{151, ID}, C.A. Solans Sanchez ^{36, ID}, E.Yu. Soldatov ^{37, ID}, U. Soldevila ^{163, ID}, A.A. Solodkov ^{37, ID}, S. Solomon ^{26, ID}, A. Soloshenko ^{38, ID}, K. Solovieva ^{54, ID}, O.V. Solovyev ^{40, ID}, V. Solovyev ^{37, ID}, P. Sommer ^{36, ID}, A. Sonay ^{13, ID}, W.Y. Song ^{156b, ID}, A. Sopczak ^{132, ID}, A.L. Sopio ^{96, ID}, F. Sopkova ^{28b, ID}, J.D. Sorenson ^{112, ID}, I.R. Sotarriva Alvarez ^{154, ID}, V. Sothilingam ^{63a}, O.J. Soto Sandoval ^{137c,137b, ID}, S. Sottocornola ^{68, ID}, R. Soualah ^{160, ID}, Z. Soumaimi ^{35e, ID}, D. South ^{48, ID}, N. Soybelman ^{169, ID}, S. Spagnolo ^{70a,70b, ID}, M. Spalla ^{110, ID}, D. Sperlich ^{54, ID}, G. Spigo ^{36, ID}, S. Spinali ^{91, ID}, D.P. Spiteri ^{59, ID}, M. Spousta ^{133, ID}, E.J. Staats ^{34, ID}, R. Stamen ^{63a, ID}, A. Stampeksis ^{20, ID}, M. Standke ^{24, ID}, E. Stanecka ^{87, ID}, M.V. Stange ^{50, ID}, B. Stanislaus ^{17a, ID}, M.M. Stanitzki ^{48, ID}, B. Stapf ^{48, ID}, E.A. Starchenko ^{37, ID}, G.H. Stark ^{136, ID}, J. Stark ^{102, ID, ab}, P. Staroba ^{131, ID}, P. Starovoitov ^{63a, ID}, S. Stärz ^{104, ID}, R. Staszewski ^{87, ID}, G. Stavropoulos ^{46, ID}, J. Steentoft ^{161, ID}, P. Steinberg ^{29, ID}, B. Stelzer ^{142,156a, ID}, H.J. Stelzer ^{129, ID}, O. Stelzer-Chilton ^{156a, ID}, H. Stenzel ^{58, ID}, T.J. Stevenson ^{146, ID}, G.A. Stewart ^{36, ID}, J.R. Stewart ^{121, ID}, M.C. Stockton ^{36, ID}, G. Stoica ^{27b, ID}, M. Stolarski ^{130a, ID}, S. Stonjek ^{110, ID}, A. Straessner ^{50, ID}, J. Strandberg ^{144, ID}, S. Strandberg ^{47a,47b, ID}, M. Stratmann ^{171, ID}, M. Strauss ^{120, ID}, T. Strebler ^{102, ID}, P. Strizenec ^{28b, ID}, R. Ströhmer ^{166, ID}, D.M. Strom ^{123, ID}, R. Stroynowski ^{44, ID}, A. Strubig ^{47a,47b, ID}, S.A. Stucci ^{29, ID}, B. Stugu ^{16, ID}, J. Stupak ^{120, ID}, N.A. Styles ^{48, ID}, D. Su ^{143, ID}, S. Su ^{62a, ID}, W. Su ^{62d, ID}, X. Su ^{62a,66, ID}, K. Sugizaki ^{153, ID}, V.V. Sulin ^{37, ID}, M.J. Sullivan ^{92, ID}, D.M.S. Sultan ^{78a,78b, ID}, L. Sultanaliyeva ^{37, ID}, S. Sultansoy ^{3b, ID}, T. Sumida ^{88, ID}, S. Sun ^{106, ID}, S. Sun ^{170, ID}, O. Sunneborn Gudnadottir ^{161, ID}, N. Sur ^{102, ID}, M.R. Sutton ^{146, ID}, H. Suzuki ^{157, ID}, M. Svatos ^{131, ID}, M. Swiatlowski ^{156a, ID}, T. Swirski ^{166, ID}, I. Sykora ^{28a, ID}, M. Sykora ^{133, ID}, T. Sykora ^{133, ID}, D. Ta ^{100, ID}, K. Tackmann ^{48, ID, u}, A. Taffard ^{159, ID}, R. Tafirout ^{156a, ID}, J.S. Tafoya Vargas ^{66, ID}, Y. Takubo ^{84, ID}, M. Talby ^{102, ID}, A.A. Talyshев ^{37, ID}, K.C. Tam ^{64b, ID}, N.M. Tamir ¹⁵¹, A. Tanaka ^{153, ID}, J. Tanaka ^{153, ID}, R. Tanaka ^{66, ID}, M. Tanasini ^{57b,57a, ID}, Z. Tao ^{164, ID}, S. Tapia Araya ^{137f, ID}, S. Tapprogge ^{100, ID}, A. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed ^{107, ID}, S. Tarem ^{150, ID}, K. Tariq ^{14a, ID}, G. Tarna ^{102,27b, ID}, G.F. Tartarelli ^{71a, ID}, P. Tas ^{133, ID}, M. Tasevsky ^{131, ID}, E. Tassi ^{43b,43a, ID}, A.C. Tate ^{162, ID}, G. Tateno ^{153, ID}, Y. Tayalati ^{35e, ID, w}, G.N. Taylor ^{105, ID}, W. Taylor ^{156b, ID}, A.S. Tee ^{170, ID}, R. Teixeira De Lima ^{143, ID}, P. Teixeira-Dias ^{95, ID}, J.J. Teoh ^{155, ID}, K. Terashi ^{153, ID}, J. Terron ^{99, ID}, S. Terzo ^{13, ID}, M. Testa ^{53, ID}, R.J. Teuscher ^{155, ID, x}, A. Thaler ^{79, ID}, O. Theiner ^{56, ID}, N. Themistokleous ^{52, ID}, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer ^{102, ID}, O. Thielmann ^{171, ID}, D.W. Thomas ⁹⁵, J.P. Thomas ^{20, ID}, E.A. Thompson ^{17a, ID}, P.D. Thompson ^{20, ID}, E. Thomson ^{128, ID}, Y. Tian ^{55, ID}, V. Tikhomirov ^{37, ID, a}, Yu.A. Tikhonov ^{37, ID}, S. Timoshenko ³⁷, D. Timoshyn ^{133, ID}, E.X.L. Ting ^{1, ID}, P. Tipton ^{172, ID}, S.H. Tlou ^{33g, ID}, A. Tnourji ^{40, ID}, K. Todome ^{154, ID}, S. Todorova-Nova ^{133, ID}, S. Todt ⁵⁰, M. Togawa ^{84, ID}, J. Tojo ^{89, ID}, S. Tokár ^{28a, ID}, K. Tokushuku ^{84, ID}, O. Toldaiev ^{68, ID}, R. Tombs ^{32, ID}, M. Tomoto ^{84,111, ID}, L. Tompkins ^{143, ID, n}, K.W. Topolnicki ^{86b, ID}, E. Torrence ^{123, ID}, H. Torres ^{102, ID, ab}, E. Torró Pastor ^{163, ID}, M. Toscani ^{30, ID}, C. Toscirci ^{39, ID}, M. Tost ^{11, ID}, D.R. Tovey ^{139, ID}, A. Traeet ¹⁶, I.S. Trandafir ^{27b, ID}, T. Trefzger ^{166, ID}, A. Tricoli ^{29, ID}, I.M. Trigger ^{156a, ID}, S. Trincaz-Duvold ^{127, ID}, D.A. Trischuk ^{26, ID}, B. Trocmé ^{60, ID}, C. Troncon ^{71a, ID}, L. Truong ^{33c, ID}, M. Trzebinski ^{87, ID}, A. Trzupek ^{87, ID}, F. Tsai ^{145, ID}, M. Tsai ^{106, ID}, A. Tsiamis ^{152, ID, e}, P.V. Tsiareashka ³⁷, S. Tsigaridas ^{156a, ID}, A. Tsirigotis ^{152, ID, s}, V. Tsiskaridze ^{155, ID}, E.G. Tskhadadze ^{149a, ID}, M. Tsopoulou ^{152, ID, e}, Y. Tsujikawa ^{88, ID}, I.I. Tsukerman ^{37, ID}, V. Tsulaia ^{17a, ID}, S. Tsuno ^{84, ID}, K. Tsuri ^{118, ID}, D. Tsybychev ^{145, ID}, Y. Tu ^{64b, ID}, A. Tudorache ^{27b, ID}, V. Tudorache ^{27b, ID}, A.N. Tuna ^{61, ID}, S. Turchikhin ^{57b,57a, ID}, I. Turk Cakir ^{3a, ID}, R. Turra ^{71a, ID},

- T. Turtuvshin ^{38, ID, y}, P.M. Tuts ^{41, ID}, S. Tzamarias ^{152, ID, e}, P. Tzanis ^{10, ID}, E. Tzovara ^{100, ID}, F. Ukegawa ^{157, ID},
 P.A. Ulloa Poblete ^{137c,137b, ID}, E.N. Umaka ^{29, ID}, G. Unal ^{36, ID}, M. Unal ^{11, ID}, A. Undrus ^{29, ID}, G. Unel ^{159, ID},
 J. Urban ^{28b, ID}, P. Urquijo ^{105, ID}, P. Urrejola ^{137a, ID}, G. Usai ^{8, ID}, R. Ushioda ^{154, ID}, M. Usman ^{108, ID}, Z. Uysal ^{82, ID},
 V. Vacek ^{132, ID}, B. Vachon ^{104, ID}, K.O.H. Vadla ^{125, ID}, T. Vafeiadis ^{36, ID}, A. Vaitkus ^{96, ID}, C. Valderanis ^{109, ID},
 E. Valdes Santurio ^{47a,47b, ID}, M. Valente ^{156a, ID}, S. Valentineti ^{23b,23a, ID}, A. Valero ^{163, ID}, E. Valiente Moreno ^{163, ID},
 A. Vallier ^{102, ID, ab}, J.A. Valls Ferrer ^{163, ID}, D.R. Van Arneman ^{114, ID}, T.R. Van Daalen ^{138, ID}, A. Van Der Graaf ^{49, ID},
 P. Van Gemmeren ^{6, ID}, M. Van Rijnbach ^{125,36, ID}, S. Van Stroud ^{96, ID}, I. Van Vulpen ^{114, ID}, M. Vanadia ^{76a,76b, ID},
 W. Vandelli ^{36, ID}, E.R. Vandewall ^{121, ID}, D. Vannicola ^{151, ID}, L. Vannoli ^{57b,57a, ID}, R. Vari ^{75a, ID}, E.W. Varnes ^{7, ID},
 C. Varni ^{17b, ID}, T. Varol ^{148, ID}, D. Varouchas ^{66, ID}, L. Varriale ^{163, ID}, K.E. Varvell ^{147, ID}, M.E. Vasile ^{27b, ID},
 L. Vaslin ⁸⁴, G.A. Vasquez ^{165, ID}, A. Vasyukov ^{38, ID}, F. Vazeille ^{40, ID}, T. Vazquez Schroeder ^{36, ID}, J. Veatch ^{31, ID},
 V. Vecchio ^{101, ID}, M.J. Veen ^{103, ID}, I. Velisek ^{126, ID}, L.M. Veloce ^{155, ID}, F. Veloso ^{130a,130c, ID}, S. Veneziano ^{75a, ID},
 A. Ventura ^{70a,70b, ID}, S. Ventura Gonzalez ^{135, ID}, A. Verbytskyi ^{110, ID}, M. Verducci ^{74a,74b, ID}, C. Vergis ^{24, ID},
 M. Verissimo De Araujo ^{83b, ID}, W. Verkerke ^{114, ID}, J.C. Vermeulen ^{114, ID}, C. Vernieri ^{143, ID}, M. Vessella ^{103, ID},
 M.C. Vetterli ^{142, ID, ag}, A. Vgenopoulos ^{152, ID, e}, N. Viaux Maira ^{137f, ID}, T. Vickey ^{139, ID}, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ^{139, ID},
 G.H.A. Viehhauser ^{126, ID}, L. Vigani ^{63b, ID}, M. Villa ^{23b,23a, ID}, M. Villaplana Perez ^{163, ID}, E.M. Villhauer ⁵²,
 E. Vilucchi ^{53, ID}, M.G. Vincter ^{34, ID}, G.S. Virdee ^{20, ID}, A. Vishwakarma ^{52, ID}, A. Visibile ¹¹⁴, C. Vittori ^{36, ID},
 I. Vivarelli ^{146, ID}, E. Voevodina ^{110, ID}, F. Vogel ^{109, ID}, J.C. Voigt ^{50, ID}, P. Vokac ^{132, ID}, Yu. Volkotrub ^{86a, ID},
 J. Von Ahnen ^{48, ID}, E. Von Toerne ^{24, ID}, B. Vormwald ^{36, ID}, V. Vorobel ^{133, ID}, K. Vorobev ^{37, ID}, M. Vos ^{163, ID},
 K. Voss ^{141, ID}, M. Vozak ^{114, ID}, L. Vozdecky ^{94, ID}, N. Vranjes ^{15, ID}, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ^{15, ID},
 M. Vreeswijk ^{114, ID}, N.K. Vu ^{62d,62c, ID}, R. Vuillermet ^{36, ID}, O. Vujinovic ^{100, ID}, I. Vukotic ^{39, ID}, S. Wada ^{157, ID},
 C. Wagner ¹⁰³, J.M. Wagner ^{17a, ID}, W. Wagner ^{171, ID}, S. Wahdan ^{171, ID}, H. Wahlberg ^{90, ID}, M. Wakida ^{111, ID},
 J. Walder ^{134, ID}, R. Walker ^{109, ID}, W. Walkowiak ^{141, ID}, A. Wall ^{128, ID}, T. Wamorkar ^{6, ID}, A.Z. Wang ^{136, ID},
 C. Wang ^{100, ID}, C. Wang ^{11, ID}, H. Wang ^{17a, ID}, J. Wang ^{64c, ID}, R.-J. Wang ^{100, ID}, R. Wang ^{61, ID}, R. Wang ^{6, ID},
 S.M. Wang ^{148, ID}, S. Wang ^{62b, ID}, T. Wang ^{62a, ID}, W.T. Wang ^{80, ID}, W. Wang ^{14a, ID}, X. Wang ^{14c, ID}, X. Wang ^{162, ID},
 X. Wang ^{62c, ID}, Y. Wang ^{62d, ID}, Y. Wang ^{14c, ID}, Z. Wang ^{106, ID}, Z. Wang ^{62d,51,62c, ID}, Z. Wang ^{106, ID},
 A. Warburton ^{104, ID}, R.J. Ward ^{20, ID}, N. Warrack ^{59, ID}, S. Waterhouse ^{95, ID}, A.T. Watson ^{20, ID}, H. Watson ^{59, ID},
 M.F. Watson ^{20, ID}, E. Watton ^{59,134, ID}, G. Watts ^{138, ID}, B.M. Waugh ^{96, ID}, C. Weber ^{29, ID}, H.A. Weber ^{18, ID},
 M.S. Weber ^{19, ID}, S.M. Weber ^{63a, ID}, C. Wei ^{62a, ID}, Y. Wei ^{126, ID}, A.R. Weidberg ^{126, ID}, E.J. Weik ^{117, ID},
 J. Weingarten ^{49, ID}, M. Weirich ^{100, ID}, C. Weiser ^{54, ID}, C.J. Wells ^{48, ID}, T. Wenaus ^{29, ID}, B. Wendland ^{49, ID},
 T. Wengler ^{36, ID}, N.S. Wenke ¹¹⁰, N. Wermes ^{24, ID}, M. Wessels ^{63a, ID}, A.M. Wharton ^{91, ID}, A.S. White ^{61, ID},
 A. White ^{8, ID}, M.J. White ^{1, ID}, D. Whiteson ^{159, ID}, L. Wickremasinghe ^{124, ID}, W. Wiedenmann ^{170, ID},
 M. Wielers ^{134, ID}, C. Wiglesworth ^{42, ID}, D.J. Wilbern ¹²⁰, H.G. Wilkens ^{36, ID}, D.M. Williams ^{41, ID},
 H.H. Williams ¹²⁸, S. Williams ^{32, ID}, S. Willocq ^{103, ID}, B.J. Wilson ^{101, ID}, P.J. Windischhofer ^{39, ID}, F.I. Winkel ^{30, ID},
 F. Winklmeier ^{123, ID}, B.T. Winter ^{54, ID}, J.K. Winter ^{101, ID}, M. Wittgen ¹⁴³, M. Wobisch ^{97, ID}, Z. Wolffs ^{114, ID},
 J. Wollrath ¹⁵⁹, M.W. Wolter ^{87, ID}, H. Wolters ^{130a,130c, ID}, E.L. Woodward ^{41, ID}, S.D. Worm ^{48, ID}, B.K. Wosiek ^{87, ID},
 K.W. Woźniak ^{87, ID}, S. Wozniowski ^{55, ID}, K. Wraight ^{59, ID}, C. Wu ^{20, ID}, J. Wu ^{14a,14e, ID}, M. Wu ^{64a, ID}, M. Wu ^{113, ID},
 S.L. Wu ^{170, ID}, X. Wu ^{56, ID}, Y. Wu ^{62a, ID}, Z. Wu ^{135, ID}, J. Wuerzinger ^{110, ID, ae}, T.R. Wyatt ^{101, ID}, B.M. Wynne ^{52, ID},
 S. Xella ^{42, ID}, L. Xia ^{14c, ID}, M. Xia ^{14b, ID}, J. Xiang ^{64c, ID}, M. Xie ^{62a, ID}, X. Xie ^{62a, ID}, S. Xin ^{14a,14e, ID}, A. Xiong ^{123, ID},
 J. Xiong ^{17a, ID}, D. Xu ^{14a, ID}, H. Xu ^{62a, ID}, L. Xu ^{62a, ID}, R. Xu ^{128, ID}, T. Xu ^{106, ID}, Y. Xu ^{14b, ID}, Z. Xu ^{52, ID}, Z. Xu ^{14c, ID},
 B. Yabsley ^{147, ID}, S. Yacoob ^{33a, ID}, Y. Yamaguchi ^{154, ID}, E. Yamashita ^{153, ID}, H. Yamauchi ^{157, ID}, T. Yamazaki ^{17a, ID},
 Y. Yamazaki ^{85, ID}, J. Yan ^{62c, ID}, S. Yan ^{126, ID}, Z. Yan ^{25, ID}, H.J. Yang ^{62c,62d, ID}, H.T. Yang ^{62a, ID}, S. Yang ^{62a, ID},
 T. Yang ^{64c, ID}, X. Yang ^{36, ID}, X. Yang ^{14a, ID}, Y. Yang ^{44, ID}, Y. Yang ^{62a, ID}, Z. Yang ^{62a, ID}, W-M. Yao ^{17a, ID}, H. Ye ^{14c, ID},

- ⁴⁸ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen; Germany
⁴⁹ Fakultät Physik , Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund; Germany
⁵⁰ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden; Germany
⁵¹ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC; United States of America
⁵² SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh; United Kingdom
⁵³ INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati; Italy
⁵⁴ Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg; Germany
⁵⁵ II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen; Germany
⁵⁶ Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland
⁵⁷ ^(a) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova; ^(b) INFN Sezione di Genova; Italy
⁵⁸ II. Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen; Germany
⁵⁹ SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow; United Kingdom
⁶⁰ LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP, Grenoble; France
⁶¹ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA; United States of America
⁶² ^(a) Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei; ^(b) Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao; ^(c) School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE), SKLPPC, Shanghai; ^(d) Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai; ^(e) School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University; China
⁶³ ^(a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; ^(b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; Germany
⁶⁴ ^(a) Department of Physics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; ^(b) Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; ^(c) Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong; China
⁶⁵ Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu; Taiwan
⁶⁶ IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, 91405, Orsay; France
⁶⁷ Centro Nacional de Microelectrónica (IMB-CNM-CSIC), Barcelona; Spain
⁶⁸ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN; United States of America
⁶⁹ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; ^(b) ICTP, Trieste; ^(c) Dipartimento Politecnico di Ingegneria e Architettura, Università di Udine, Udine; Italy
⁷⁰ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce; Italy
⁷¹ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano; Italy
⁷² ^(a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli; Italy
⁷³ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia; Italy
⁷⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa; Italy
⁷⁵ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma; Italy
⁷⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma; Italy
⁷⁷ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma; Italy
⁷⁸ ^(a) INFN-TIFPA; ^(b) Università degli Studi di Trento, Trento; Italy
⁷⁹ Universität Innsbruck, Department of Astro and Particle Physics, Innsbruck; Austria
⁸⁰ University of Iowa, Iowa City IA; United States of America
⁸¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA; United States of America
⁸² İstinye University, Sarıyer, İstanbul; Türkiye
⁸³ ^(a) Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; ^(b) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; ^(c) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo; ^(d) Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro; Brazil
⁸⁴ KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba; Japan
⁸⁵ Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe; Japan
⁸⁶ ^(a) AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; ^(b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow; Poland
⁸⁷ Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow; Poland
⁸⁸ Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto; Japan
⁸⁹ Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka; Japan
⁹⁰ Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata; Argentina
⁹¹ Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster; United Kingdom
⁹² Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool; United Kingdom
⁹³ Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana; Slovenia
⁹⁴ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London; United Kingdom
⁹⁵ Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham; United Kingdom
⁹⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London; United Kingdom
⁹⁷ Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA; United States of America
⁹⁸ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund; Sweden
⁹⁹ Departamento de Física Teórica C-15 and CIAFF, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid; Spain
¹⁰⁰ Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz; Germany
¹⁰¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester; United Kingdom
¹⁰² CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille; France
¹⁰³ Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA; United States of America
¹⁰⁴ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC; Canada
¹⁰⁵ School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria; Australia
¹⁰⁶ Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI; United States of America
¹⁰⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI; United States of America
¹⁰⁸ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC; Canada
¹⁰⁹ Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München; Germany
¹¹⁰ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München; Germany
¹¹¹ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya; Japan
¹¹² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM; United States of America
¹¹³ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University/Nikhef, Nijmegen; Netherlands
¹¹⁴ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam; Netherlands
¹¹⁵ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL; United States of America
¹¹⁶ ^(a) New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi; ^(b) United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain; United Arab Emirates
¹¹⁷ Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY; United States of America
¹¹⁸ Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo; Japan
¹¹⁹ Ohio State University, Columbus OH; United States of America
¹²⁰ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK; United States of America
¹²¹ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK; United States of America
¹²² Palacký University, Joint Laboratory of Optics, Olomouc; Czech Republic

- 123 Institute for Fundamental Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR; United States of America
 124 Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka; Japan
 125 Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo; Norway
 126 Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford; United Kingdom
 127 LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France
 128 Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA; United States of America
 129 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA; United States of America
 130 ^(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa; ^(b) Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(c) Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra; ^(d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; ^(f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); ^(g) Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; Portugal
 131 Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague; Czech Republic
 132 Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague; Czech Republic
 133 Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague; Czech Republic
 134 Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot; United Kingdom
 135 IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette; France
 136 Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA; United States of America
 137 ^(a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; ^(b) Millennium Institute for Subatomic physics at high energy frontier (SAPHIR), Santiago; ^(c) Instituto de Investigación Multidisciplinario en Ciencia y Tecnología, y Departamento de Física, Universidad de La Serena; ^(d) Universidad Andres Bello, Department of Physics, Santiago; ^(e) Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Arica; ^(f) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso; Chile
 138 Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA; United States of America
 139 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield; United Kingdom
 140 Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano; Japan
 141 Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen; Germany
 142 Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC; Canada
 143 SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA; United States of America
 144 Department of Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm; Sweden
 145 Departments of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY; United States of America
 146 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton; United Kingdom
 147 School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney; Australia
 148 Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei; Taiwan
 149 ^(a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; ^(b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; ^(c) University of Georgia, Tbilisi; Georgia
 150 Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa; Israel
 151 Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv; Israel
 152 Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki; Greece
 153 International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo; Japan
 154 Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo; Japan
 155 Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON; Canada
 156 ^(a) TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; ^(b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON; Canada
 157 Division of Physics and Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba; Japan
 158 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA; United States of America
 159 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA; United States of America
 160 University of Sharjah, Sharjah; United Arab Emirates
 161 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala; Sweden
 162 Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL; United States of America
 163 Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia; Spain
 164 Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC; Canada
 165 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC; Canada
 166 Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg; Germany
 167 Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry; United Kingdom
 168 Waseda University, Tokyo; Japan
 169 Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot; Israel
 170 Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI; United States of America
 171 Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal; Germany
 172 Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT; United States of America

^a Also Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.^b Also at An-Najah National University, Nablus; Palestine.^c Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York NY; United States of America.^d Also at Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University; China.^e Also at Center for Interdisciplinary Research and Innovation (CIRI-AUTH), Thessaloniki; Greece.^f Also at Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi; Italy.^g Also at CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.^h Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.ⁱ Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona; Spain.^j Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios; Greece.^k Also at Department of Physics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva; Israel.^l Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento; United States of America.^m Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London; United Kingdom.ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA; United States of America.^o Also at Department of Physics, Stellenbosch University; South Africa.^p Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg; Switzerland.^q Also at Department of Physics, University of Thessaly; Greece.^r Also at Department of Physics, Westmont College, Santa Barbara; United States of America.^s Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras; Greece.^t Also at Institut Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona; Spain.^u Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg; Germany.^v Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia; Bulgaria.^w Also at Institute of Applied Physics, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Ben Guerir; Morocco.^x Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP); Canada.

- ^y Also at Institute of Physics and Technology, Mongolian Academy of Sciences, Ulaanbaatar; Mongolia.
^z Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.
^{aa} Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi; Georgia.
^{ab} Also at L2IT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS/IN2P3, UPS, Toulouse; France.
^{ac} Also at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore; United States of America.
^{ad} Also at National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines Diliman (Philippines); Philippines.
^{ae} Also at Technical University of Munich, Munich; Germany.
^{af} Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing; China.
^{ag} Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; Canada.
^{ah} Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli; Italy.
^{ai} Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing; China.
^{aj} Also at University of Colorado Boulder, Department of Physics, Colorado; United States of America.
^{ak} Also at Washington College, Chestertown, MD; United States of America.
^{al} Also at Yeditepe University, Physics Department, Istanbul; Türkiye.
* Deceased.