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The rhodium complexes $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$, (I), and $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3^{-}}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ], (II), are built from octahedral $\mathrm{Rh} X_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ units; in (I) they are isolated units, while in (II) the units are stacked in columns with partially filled sites for the Rh atoms. The octahedra of monoclinic crystals of (I) are linked by N $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds and the $\mathrm{Rh}^{3+}$ ions are located on the mirror planes. In the trigonal crystals of (II), the discontinuous 'columns' along the threefold axis are linked by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds. The structure of (I) has been solved using laboratory powder diffraction data, the structure of (II) has been solved by single-crystal methods using data from a merohedrally twinned sample. Both compounds possess low solubility in water.
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## 1. Introduction

Since the publication of the first work on the synthesis of triamminetrichloridorhodium(III) (Lebedinskij, 1935) there have been reports of the syntheses of only three related complexes, viz. $\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (Lebedinskij \& Shenderetskaya, 1940), $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (Pannetier et al., 1969). The absence of reports on the synthesis and study of the properties of this triammine series of complexes with other acido ligands is surprising because they possess very low solubility and can potentially be used both in processes for refining platinum group metals and in the development of gravimetric methods for the determination of rhodium. The complexes that do not contain any halide ions and possess
sufficiently high solubility may prove to be good precursors for the creation of catalytic compositions containing rhodium.

The $\left[\mathrm{Rh} X_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ compounds must exist as two geometric isomers, but the overall lack of structural studies of this class of compounds, except for two $f a c-\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ modifications (Khranenko et al., 2002; Gromilov et al., 2005), does not allow us to judge the isomer composition of the complexes obtained previously. Khranenko et al. (2002) mistakenly indicated the space group $P 2_{1} / m$ for the structure of the $\alpha$ phase, while the true space group is $P 2_{1}$. But all calculations and other descriptions were made in the correct space group. In addition, the absolute structure was not determined.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Synthesis and crystallization

A light-yellow powder of fac-[ $\left.\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$, (I), was prepared by heating fac- $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right](0.103 \mathrm{~g})$, synthesized according to the procedure of Khranenko et al. (2002), in $\mathrm{HCl}(25 \mathrm{ml}, 1: 1$ aqueous solution) for 3 h followed by cooling to room temperature. The compound is poorly soluble in water and is stable when stored at ambient temperature; the yield of (I) was $91 \%$. The composition of the powder was determined by thermal decomposition of the complex in a stream of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with the capture of volatile products by an aqueous solution. The Rh content was determined gravimetrically by the solid residue and the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$content was determined using titration with a $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ solution using difenilkarbazone as an indicator; the content of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was determined by acidimetric titration using urotropin (hexamethylenetetramine).
fac- $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ and $f a c$ - $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ were used as starting materials for the synthesis of fac-[ $\left.\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$, (II). On heating fac-[ $\left.\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right](0.594 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ ( $20 \mathrm{ml}, 1: 1$ aqueous solution), the complex dissolved with separation of a colourless gas and the formation of a greenyellow solution. After a brief boiling and subsequent incubation of the solution at room temperature for 2 d , pale-yellow single crystals of (II) were extracted; the yield was $25-30 \%$. The same complex was obtained by heating fac-[ $\left.\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ to boiling point in concentrated nitric acid followed by concentration of the resulting solution at room temperature. The same composition and crystal state were confirmed by X-ray phase analysis, CHN analysis and IR spectroscopy. The crystals are poorly soluble in water and are stable when stored in air.

The compositions of the synthesized complexes were set based on the CHN analyses. The analysis data for (I) are in good agreement with the formula: $\mathrm{Rh} 39.8, \mathrm{Cl} 40.6, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ $19.7 \%$; calculated: $\mathrm{Rh} 39.5, \mathrm{Cl} 40.9, \mathrm{NH}_{3} 19.6 \%$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $491(v \mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{N}), 775,821,855\left(\rho \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), 1279,1302(\delta \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H})$, 1546, 1572, $1616(\delta \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 3181$ and $3276(\nu \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H})$.

The results for (II) (N 23.51, H $2.84 \%$ ) can be interpreted in two ways. The values of $\mathrm{N} 24.71 \%$ and $\mathrm{H} 2.65 \%$ correspond to the formula for (II), but the values of $\mathrm{N} 23.47 \%$ and $\mathrm{H} 3.07 \%$ correspond to (II) $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Despite the fact that the (II) $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Table 1
Experimental details.

|  | (I) | (II) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crystal data |  |  |
| Chemical formula | $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 260.36 | 340.04 |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / m$ | Trigonal, P3 |
| Temperature (K) | 300 | 296 |
| $a, b, c(\mathrm{~A})$ | 6.7279 (3), 9.7888 (4), 5.4611 (2) | 7.496 (1), 7.496 (1), 5.2560 (8) |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 95.149 (2), 90 | 90, 90, 120 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 358.21 (2) | 255.77 (7) |
| $Z$ | 2 | 1 |
| Radiation type | $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1}, \lambda=1.5406,1.5444 \AA$ | Mo K $\alpha$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 28.8 | 1.72 |
| Specimen shape, size (mm) | Tablet, $20 \times 20 \times 0.6$ | $0.40 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$ |
| Data collection |  |  |
| Diffractometer | Bruker D8-ADVANCE diffractometer with a VANTEC linear detector | Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer |
| Specimen mounting | Packing in a silicon single-crystal sample holder | - |
| Data collection mode | Reflection | - |
| Data collection method | Step | $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans |
| Absorption correction | - | Multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2004) |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | - | 0.546, 0.782 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed reflections | - | 3780, 879, 879 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | - | 0.030 |
| $\theta$ values ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \theta_{\min }=52 \theta_{\max }=139.9872 \theta_{\text {step }}= \\ & 0.016 \end{aligned}$ | $\theta_{\text {max }}=33.0, \theta_{\text {min }}=3.1$ |
| Distance from source to specimen (mm) | 217 | 320 |
| Refinement |  |  |
| $R$ factors and goodness of fit | $\begin{aligned} & R_{\mathrm{p}}=0.0422, R_{\mathrm{wp}}=0.0456, R_{\exp }= \\ & 0.0154, R_{\text {Bragg }}=0.0179, \chi^{2}=8.768 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.019, w R\left(F^{2}\right)= \\ & \quad 0.048, S=1.12 \end{aligned}$ |
| No. of reflections/data points | 8436.68125 | 879 |
| No. of parameters | 78 | 54 |
| No. of restraints | 16 | 0 |
| H -atom treatment | Only H-atom coordinates refined | H -atom parameters not defined |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\circ}{ }^{-3}\right)$ | - | 1.11, -0.32 |
| Absolute structure | - | Flack (1983), 230 Friedel pairs |
| Absolute structure parameter | - | -0.03 (9) |

 2008), SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg \& Putz, 2005).
formula best describes the analysis results, IR spectroscopy and XRD data did not confirm the existence of a hydrate or absorbed water in the compound. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 530(v \mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{N})$, 792, 835, $1009\left(\rho \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), 1279$ and 1508 (bands cleavage $\nu \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{O}), 1356$ and $1723(\delta \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 3229$ and $3301(\nu \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H})$. We believe that the difference between the results of nitrogen analysis and the theoretical calculation for (II) is the systematic error dependent standard analysis procedure.

The assignment of the solid phases to fac-isomers of the complexes was made under the assumption that the isomerization of (I) and (II) is absent in the synthesis process.

The nitrogen and hydrogen content in the crystal phase was determined by elemental CHN analysis with Euro EA 3000 equipment. The IR spectra were recorded with an IR SCIMITAR FTS 2000 spectrometer using tablets of KBr in the wavenumber range $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in increments of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.2. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. To determine the structure
of (I), powder X-ray data were collected with an X-ray D8ADVANCE (Bruker) diffractometer at 300 K . The experi-


Figure 1
The results of Rietveld refinement of the $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ structure, showing experimental (red points), calculated (line) and difference (line below) powder patterns.
ment used the variable scan speed technique (VCT) and variable step size (VSS) (Madsen \& Hill, 1992; Madsen \& Hill, 1994; David, 1992). The exposure time increased with increasing $2 \theta$ angle, resulting in a significant improvement in the quality of the collected X-ray data. Typically, the peak half-width (FWHM) must contain 5-8 experimental points, but increasing the $2 \theta$ angle significantly broadens the peaks, so the step can be increased in areas with high angles to reduce the duration of the experiment.

The experimental diffractogram was divided into four parts: (i) $5 \leq 2 \theta \leq 39.9^{\circ}$, with a step size of $0.016^{\circ}$ and an exposure time of 3 s ; (ii) $39.9 \leq 2 \theta \leq 61.5^{\circ}$, with a step size of $0.024^{\circ}$ and an exposure time of 9 s ; (iii) $61.5 \leq 2 \theta \leq 97.3^{\circ}$, with a step size of $0.032^{\circ}$ and an exposure time of 15 s ; (iv) $97.3 \leq 2 \theta \leq 140^{\circ}$, with a step size of $0.040^{\circ}$ and an exposure time of 30 s . The total exposure time for the experiment was approximately 19 h . Due to the detector (VANTEC), the total exposure time of any one point in the powder pattern was $\sim 700 \mathrm{~s}$. The partition of the experiment for VCT/VSS was executed with the $X R D$ Wizard program (Bruker, 2007). Then the experimental data were converted to a conventional XYE-file containing the $2 \theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ coordinate, the intensity $I_{\mathrm{i}}$ and the standard deviation $\sigma\left(I_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for each experimental point. Refinement with


Figure 2
The building blocks of (I) and (II), showing the atom-numbering schemes ( $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids). (a) The octahedral $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ complex and (b) the paired $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ octahedra.

Table 2
Selected geometric parameters $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (I).

| Rh-N1 | $2.113(5)$ | Rh1-Cl1 | $2.382(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.039(4)$ | $\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | $2.366(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{N} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $96.81(15)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | $87.21(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $92.22(15)$ | $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | $90.28(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $90.15(12)$ | $\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $90.96(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | $86.11(11)$ |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $x,-y+\frac{1}{2}, z$.
the Rietveld method, implemented in the program TOPAS4.2 (Bruker, 2008b), takes into account the standard deviation of intensity at each point by the introduction into the leastsquares treatment of the weight $w_{\mathrm{i}}=\sigma\left(I_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{-2}$ for each point.

The powder diffraction pattern of $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ indicated monoclinic symmetry, with the space group $P 2_{1} / m$ or $P 2_{1}$. The unit-cell volume corresponds to 5-6 non-H atoms in the asymmetric part of the centrosymmetric space group $P 2_{1} / m$ and hence it was selected. The structure was solved by modelling in direct space followed by phase annealing using TOPAS4.2 (Bruker, 2008b). One $\mathrm{Rh}^{3+}$ ion, two $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions and two N atoms were generated and a dynamic population of positions was used for all atoms (Favre-Nicolin \& Černý, 2002, 2004). The phase annealing gave a model of the structure which was refined using Rietveld refinement (TOPAS4.2). The VCT technique allowed the refinement of anisotropic atomic displacement parameters for rhodium. The isotropic displacement parameters of the remaining non-H atoms had reasonable values. At this stage, the difference synthesis showed several maxima corresponding to the H atoms of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups. This information allowed the unambiguous assignment of all H atoms and the refinement of their positions with a soft restraint on the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths of 0.90 (5) $\AA$, as well as on the tetrahedral angles $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ [109.4 (10) ${ }^{\circ}$ ]. The isotropic displacement parameters of the H


Figure 3
The packing of building blocks in the $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ crystal, (I).

Table 3
Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (I).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.97 (5) | 2.35 (5) | 3.297 (7) | 166 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{C} 22^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.89 (3) | 2.68 (3) | 3.405 (4) | 140 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 2^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.91 (3) | 2.51 (3) | 3.340 (5) | 152 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1^{v}$ | 0.98 (4) | 2.56 (4) | 3.392 (3) | 143 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 5 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 2^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.99 (4) | 2.79 (4) | 3.550 (5) | 134 (3) |

Symmetry codes: (ii) $x+1, y, z$; (iii) $-x+1,-y,-z+1$; (iv) $x, y, z-1$; (v) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2},-z+1$; (vi) $-x,-y,-z+1$.
atoms were fixed at $0.038 \AA^{2}$. The agreement between the observed and calculated patterns is shown in Fig. 1. A check of the structure using PLATON (Spek, 2009) did not reveal any unreported features..

Crystals of (II) possess a perfect cleavage and, as a result, the powder samples showed strong texturing, making the structural study difficult. Therefore, due to the presence of single crystals, the single-crystal diffraction technique was used at 296 K by employing a Bruker SMART APEXII diffractometer. Initial characteristics of the experiment and the results of automatic detection of symmetry indicated possible merohedral twinning of the crystal. Thus, the $\langle | E^{2}-1| \rangle$ value was extremely low (0.316), $R_{\text {sym }}$ for 15 space groups had values of $0.016-0.019$, while the best value of the combined quality criteria (CFOM) was very high (32.5). The simplest possible space group, $P 3$, was chosen and was confirmed by a refinement. Direct methods allowed the determination of the rhodium coordinates, but the difference electron-density maps did not help to solve the structure completely, although the octahedral environment of the Rh atom was recognizable. At this stage, the twin law $(010,100,00 \overline{1})$ was introduced and the absolute structure was determined. All non-H atoms were clearly located at the same time and refined in the anisotropic approximation. The Rh atom is disordered over two unequally occupied positions [Rh1 is the major occupied position with an occupation factor of 0.8039 (18)] on the threefold axis and due to this fact the H atoms were not located.


Figure 4
The columnar packing in the $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ structure, (II), with darker octahedra shown around atom Rh1.

Table 4
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},^{\circ}\right.$ ) for (II).

| Rh1-N1 | 2.106 (9) | N2-O3 | 1.242 (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rh1-O1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.030 (8) | N2-O2 | 1.220 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 1.292 (8) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 87.5 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 77.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 175.3 (5) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 95.8 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {ii }}-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 96.0 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} \mathrm{N}^{\text {iii }}$ | 171.0 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 95.8 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 96.0 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$-Rh1-O1 | 80.6 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iii }}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iv }}$ | 90.4 (4) |
| Symmetry codes: $-x+y,-x, z-1 .$ | $y,-x$ | $x-y, z ; \text { (iii) }$ | -1; (iv) |

Further details of the crystal structure investigations may be obtained from the Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, FRG, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen. (Fax: +49-7247-808-666; e-mail: crysdata@fiz-karlsruhe.de), on quoting the deposition number CSD-426435 for (I) and CSD-426436 for (II).

## 3. Results and discussion

Both crystal structures are constructed from the neutral complexes $\left[\mathrm{Rh} X_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]\left(X=\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right.$ and Cl$)$, which are facisomers. The structures of the complexes and the atomnumbering schemes are shown in Fig. 2.

In (I), the $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ complex has an octahedral structure and the $\mathrm{Rh} 1, \mathrm{Cl} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1$ and H 1 atoms are arranged on a mirror plane. $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths (Table 2), lying on the mirror plane, are 0.016 (4) and 0.074 (6) A longer than the others. Deviations of valence cis angles on the Rh ${ }^{\text {III }}$ atom from the ideal value of $90^{\circ}$ do not exceed $6.8^{\circ}$. The octahedral complexes are connencted by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds in the crystal (Table 3); the packing of the octahedra is shown in Fig. 3. It should be noted that, as in the crystals of the $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-phase of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]$ (Khranenko et al., 2002; Gromilov et al., 2005), in this case the $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{III}}$ atoms (and with them the octahedra) are arranged in essentially flat hexagonal grids; the $a$ and $c$ axes have been swapped in the present case to allow easy comparison with the $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-phases. The grids are oriented perpendicular to the $c$ axis and with a repeat distance equal to $c$ (Fig. 3). The deviations of the $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{III}}$ atoms


Figure 5
A cavity between $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ columns in (II), with the water O atom shown as a hatched circle. The atomic radii correspond to van der Waals radii.
out of the grid do not exceed $0.114 \AA$ and the distances between atoms in a grid are in the range 5.7284 (12)6.7279 (13) $\AA$ (the latter value is the length of the $a$ axis).

The main and unique feature of crystalline complex (II) is its columnar structure. The columns are constructed from the distorted $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ octahedra lying on a threefold axis (Fig. 4). The Rh atom occupies two positions on the axis with different degrees of occupation: the position with coordinates ( $0, \frac{1}{2}, 0$ ), Rh1, has a site-occupation factor (sof) of 0.8039 (18), while for the second, Rh 2 , the sof is 0.1961 (18). So, these 'columns' are not continuous but consist of the isolated Rh1 and Rh2 octahedra and of the Rh1 +Rh 2 octahedral pairs connected via a common face of the O-type (Fig. 2b); the Rh1‥Rh2 distance is 2.8129 (18) Å. The Rh2 atom is not in the top of the cell, and although the Rh1 atom has $z=0.5$ it is not in the middle between the nearest Rh 2 atoms, and the second distance is between the positions is 2.4431 (18) $\AA$; hereupon the octahedral parameters for Rh1 and Rh2 are different (Table 4). If the Rh1 atom is closer to the octahedral O-face, the Rh2 is closer to the N -face. The maximal deviation of the bond angles from $90^{\circ}$ in the octahedra is $12.9^{\circ}$ (Table 4). The anisotropic atomic dispacement parameters show librational vibrations of the octahedra around the threefold axis parallel with unit-cell axis $c$. The columns form channels along the threefold axes which could accomodate water molecules in the cavities (Fig. 5); the distances between the water molecule and the nearest atoms would be $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=2.83 \AA$ and $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}=2.99 \AA$. If we take into account the gaps between the octahedra in the columns, then crystallization under different conditions might allow water molecules to occupy the denoted positions. In (II), the $\mathrm{Rh}^{\text {III }}$ atoms are arranged strictly in hexagonal grids. The grids lie parallel to the $a b$ unit-cell plane with the $\mathrm{Rh} \cdots \mathrm{Rh}$ distances equal to the $a$ cell parameter.

An important feature of the structure is that octahedra with empty metal-atom positions cannot be located side-by-side; the monoatomic face cannot be placed separately. But if the metal atom is present in one polyhedron, say Rh1, and not in
the other with a common N -face, Rh 2 , then the H atoms of the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups create $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds between the columns. The arrangement of H atoms in the presence of Rh 2 and the absence of Rh1 is different, as is the arrangement of hydrogen bonds. In connection with the mentioned disorder of H atoms, they were not located, but $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances (2.92$3.09 \AA$ ) between columns indicate the presence of hydrogen bonds.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LG3133). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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## Arrangement of $\mathbf{R h}^{3+}$ ions in fac-triamminetrichloridorhodium from powder data and in fac-triamminetrinitratorhodium crystals twinned by merohedry

Alexander D. Vasiliev, Maxim S. Molokeev, Iraida A. Baidina, Anatoly V. Belyaev and Sofiya N. Vorob'eva

## Computing details

Data collection: XRD Wizard (Bruker, 2007) for (I); APEX2 (Bruker, 2008a) for (II). Cell refinement: TOPAS Rietveld (Bruker, 2008b) for (I); APEX2 (Bruker, 2008a) for (II). Data reduction: XRD Wizard (Bruker, 2007) for (I); SAINT (Bruker, 2008a) for (II). Program(s) used to solve structure: TOPAS Phase annealing (Bruker, 2008b) for (I); SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008) for (II). Program(s) used to refine structure: TOPAS Rietveld (Bruker, 2008b) for (I); SHELXL97
(Sheldrick, 2008) for (II). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008) for (II). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008) for (II).
(I) fac-Triamminetrichloridorhodium(III)

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$
$M_{r}=260.36$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / m$
Hall symbol: - P 2 yb
$a=6.7279$ (3) A
$b=9.7888$ (4) $\AA$
$c=5.4611(2) \AA$
$\beta=95.149(2)^{\circ}$

## Data collection

VANTEC linear detector diffractometer
None monochromator

## Refinement

$R_{\mathrm{p}}=4.215$
$R_{\text {wp }}=4.555$
$R_{\text {exp }}=1.538$
$R_{\text {Bragg }}=1.790$
$\chi^{2}=8.768$
8436.68125 data points

Profile function: PearsonVII

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=358.21(2) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=2 \\
& D_{\mathrm{x}}=2.414 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1} \text { radiation, } \lambda=1.5406,1.5444 \AA \\
& T=300 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { yellow } \\
& ?, ? \times ? \times ? \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection mode: reflection

Scan method: step
$2 \theta_{\text {min }}=5^{\circ}, 2 \theta_{\text {max }}=139.987^{\circ}, 2 \theta_{\text {step }}=0.016^{\circ}$

## 78 parameters

16 restraints
Only H-atom coordinates refined
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.010$
Preferred orientation correction: PO-March Dollase-1 Dir (0 0 1)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rh | $0.2195(2)$ | 0.25 | $0.4826(2)$ | $0.0318(7)$ |
| N1 | $0.4960(9)$ | 0.25 | $0.327(1)$ | $0.040(4)^{*}$ |
| N2 | $0.1122(6)$ | $0.0942(3)$ | $0.2608(7)$ | $0.033(3)^{*}$ |
| C11 | $-0.0810(5)$ | 0.25 | $0.6825(6)$ | $0.038(2)^{*}$ |
| C12 | $0.3532(4)$ | $0.0777(2)$ | $0.7530(4)$ | $0.035(1)^{*}$ |
| H1 | $0.606(8)$ | 0.25 | $0.454(9)$ | $0.06^{*}$ |
| H2 | $0.498(5)$ | $0.176(3)$ | $0.232(6)$ | $0.06^{*}$ |
| H3 | $0.141(5)$ | $0.110(3)$ | $0.104(6)$ | $0.05^{*}$ |
| H4 | $0.161(6)$ | $0.006(4)$ | $0.323(5)$ | $0.05^{*}$ |
| H5 | $-0.035(6)$ | $0.106(3)$ | $0.253(6)$ | $0.05^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rh | $0.0490(15)$ | $0.0229(12)$ | $0.0229(11)$ | 0 | $0.0003(6)$ | 0 |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 2.113 (5) | N1-H2 | 0.89 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rh1-N2 | 2.039 (4) | N2-H3 | 0.91 (3) |
| Rh1-Cl1 | 2.382 (4) | N2-H4 | 0.98 (4) |
| Rh1-Cl2 | 2.366 (2) | N2—H5 | 0.99 (4) |
| N1-H1 | 0.96 (5) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{N} 2^{\text {i }}$ | 96.81 (15) | N1—Rh1-Cl2 | 87.21 (12) |
| N2-Rh1-N1 | 92.22 (15) | $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | 90.28 (8) |
| N2-Rh1-Cl1 | 90.15 (12) | $\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Cl2}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 90.96 (8) |
| N2-Rh1-Cl2 | 86.11 (11) |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $x,-y+1 / 2, z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Cl1}^{\text {ii }}$ | $0.97(5)$ | $2.35(5)$ | $3.297(7)$ | $166(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.89(3)$ | $2.68(3)$ | $3.405(4)$ | $140(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{Cl2}^{\text {iv }}$ | $0.91(3)$ | $2.51(3)$ | $3.340(5)$ | $152(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {v }}$ | $0.98(4)$ | $2.56(4)$ | $3.392(3)$ | $143(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 5 \cdots \mathrm{Cl2}^{\text {vi }}$ | $0.99(4)$ | $2.79(4)$ | $3.550(5)$ | $134(3)$ |

Symmetry codes: (ii) $x+1, y, z$; (iii) $-x+1,-y,-z+1$; (iv) $x, y, z-1$; (v) $-x, y-1 / 2,-z+1$; (vi) $-x,-y,-z+1$.

## (II) fac-Triamminetrinitratorhodium(III)

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$

$$
M_{r}=340.04
$$

$$
\text { Trigonal, } P 3
$$

$$
\text { Hall symbol: P } 3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=7.496(1) \AA \\
& c=5.2560(8) \AA \\
& V=255.77(7) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$F(000)=168$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=2.208 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 3627 reflections
$\theta=3.1-32.9^{\circ}$

## Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
phi and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2004)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.546, T_{\text {max }}=0.782$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.019$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.048$
$S=1.12$
879 reflections
54 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$\mu=1.72 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=296 \mathrm{~K}$
Needle, yellow
$0.40 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$

3780 measured reflections
879 independent reflections
879 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.030$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=33.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=3.1^{\circ}$
$h=-11 \rightarrow 11$
$k=-11 \rightarrow 11$
$l=-8 \rightarrow 3$

H -atom parameters not defined
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0355 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=1.11 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.32$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
2008), $\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.035 (8)
Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 230 Friedel pairs
Absolute structure parameter: - 0.03 (9)

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors (gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ | Occ. $(<1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rh1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.5000 | $0.01434(9)$ | $0.8039(18)$ |
| Rh2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | $-0.0352(3)$ | $0.0161(7)$ | $0.1961(18)$ |
| O1 | $0.2025(13)$ | $0.001(2)$ | $0.2430(16)$ | $0.0300(10)$ |  |
| N1 | $0.2245(15)$ | $0.2239(16)$ | $0.741(2)$ | $0.0311(12)$ |  |
| N2 | $0.3732(7)$ | $0.0006(9)$ | $0.2773(9)$ | $0.0574(15)$ |  |
| O2 | $0.4248(16)$ | $-0.001(3)$ | $0.4969(19)$ | $0.101(2)$ |  |
| O3 | $0.4708(14)$ | $0.0001(19)$ | $0.0867(13)$ | $0.125(3)$ |  |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rh1 | $0.01485(9)$ | $0.01485(9)$ | $0.01332(17)$ | $0.00742(5)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Rh2 | $0.0208(5)$ | $0.0208(5)$ | $0.0068(16)$ | $0.0104(2)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| O1 | $0.0222(19)$ | $0.050(2)$ | $0.0254(15)$ | $0.0237(18)$ | $0.0049(17)$ | $0.0002(14)$ |
| N1 | $0.021(2)$ | $0.027(3)$ | $0.0293(18)$ | $0.0006(17)$ | $0.0005(18)$ | $0.001(2)$ |
| N2 | $0.041(2)$ | $0.101(4)$ | $0.050(3)$ | $0.050(3)$ | $0.0028(19)$ | $0.000(3)$ |
| O2 | $0.081(6)$ | $0.212(7)$ | $0.055(3)$ | $0.106(6)$ | $-0.023(3)$ | $0.000(3)$ |
| O3 | $0.110(7)$ | $0.215(9)$ | $0.082(5)$ | $0.105(7)$ | $0.048(5)$ | $0.000(6)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA$, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Rh1-N1 | 2.106 (9) | $\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.106 (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rh1- $\mathrm{N}^{1}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.106 (9) | $\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.051 (9) |
| Rh1-N1 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.106 (9) | Rh2-N1 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | 2.051 (9) |
| Rh1-O1 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.030 (8) | $\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 2.051 (9) |
| Rh1-O1 | 2.030 (7) | Rh2-Rh1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 2.4431 (18) |
| Rh1-O1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.030 (7) | O1-N2 | 1.292 (8) |
| Rh1—Rh2 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 2.4431 (18) | N 1 -Rh2 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 2.051 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2$ | 2.8129 (18) | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 1.242 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{Ol}^{\text {i }}$ | 2.106 (7) | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 1.220 (10) |
| Rh2-O1 | 2.106 (7) |  |  |
| N1-Rh1-N1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 87.5 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iv }}$ | 171.0 (5) |
| N1-Rh1-N1 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 87.5 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iv }}$ | 96.0 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 87.5 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {v }}$ | 171.0 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O}^{1 i}$ | 175.3 (5) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{v}$ | 96.0 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 96.0 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{1 i}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{v}$ | 95.8 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {ii- }}$-Rh1-O1 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 95.8 (6) | $\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iv }}$ - $\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {v }}$ | 90.4 (4) |
| N1-Rh1-O1 | 95.8 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 96.0 (6) |
| N1--Rh1-O1 | 175.3 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 95.8 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {ii }}$-Rh1-O1 | 96.0 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 1{ }^{\text {iii }}$-Rh2- $\mathrm{N}^{\text {vi }}$ | 171.0 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1{ }^{\text {iii }}$-Rh1-O1 | 80.6 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 90.4 (4) |
| N1-Rh1-O1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 96.0 (6) | $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\mathrm{v}}$ - $\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 90.4 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 95.8 (6) | O1-Rh2-Rh1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 134.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {ii }}-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 175.3 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1{ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 134.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 80.6 (3) | $\mathrm{O} 1{ }^{\text {ii- }} \mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1{ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 134.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 80.6 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 55.0 (3) |
| N1—Rh1—Rh2 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 53.0 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {v }}$-Rh2-Rh1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 55.0 (3) |
| N1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$-Rh1—Rh2 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 53.0 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {vi }}$ - Rh2-Rh1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 55.0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$-Rh1-Rh2 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 53.0 (3) | O1-Rh2-Rh1 | 46.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}$-Rh1—Rh2 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 131.7 (2) | O1-Rh2—Rh1 | 46.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 131.7 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 1{ }^{\text {iii-}} \mathrm{Rh} 2 — \mathrm{Rh} 1$ | 46.0 (2) |
| O1-Rh1—Rh2 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 131.7 (2) | $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {iv }}$-Rh2-Rh1 | 125.0 (3) |
| N1—Rh1-Rh2 | 127.0 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {v }}$-Rh2-Rh1 | 125.0 (3) |
| N1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$-Rh1—Rh2 | 127.0 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {vi}}$-Rh2—Rh1 | 125.0 (3) |
| N1 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$-Rh1-Rh2 | 127.0 (3) | Rh1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$-Rh2-Rh1 | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1{ }^{\text {iii-Rh1-Rh2 }}$ | 48.3 (2) | N2-O1-Rh1 | 130.3 (6) |
| O1—Rh1—Rh2 | 48.3 (2) | N2-O1-Rh2 | 144.0 (6) |

## supplementary materials

| O1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$-Rh1—Rh2 | 48.3 (2) | Rh1-O1—Rh2 | 85.7 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Rh} 2 \mathrm{iii}-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2$ | 180.000 (1) | Rh1-N1—Rh2 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 72.0 (2) |
| O1--Rh2-O1 | 77.1 (3) | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 124.9 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1{ }^{\text {i }}$-Rh2- $\mathrm{Ol}^{\text {ii }}$ | 77.1 (3) | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 118.2 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 77.1 (3) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 116.9 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1{ }^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {iv }}$ | 95.8 (5) |  |  |

