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#### Abstract

Cyan-emitting $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ phosphor was synthesized by solid-state reaction at $800{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Structure refinement by Rietveld method reveals that $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ crystallizes in a monoclinic cell, space groups $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ or $P 2_{1} / n$. Upon 365 nm excitation, $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ shows a symmetric emission band peaking at 496 nm with full-width at half-maximum of 80 nm ; when monitoring at 496 nm , a broad excitation band in the UV region ( $250-420 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) is observed. The luminescence property of $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ is considerably different from $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ which holds the same space group. The local structures of the two hosts are compared to explain the different behaviors of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$.


© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Phosphor materials play fundamental role in applications such as white light emitting diodes and field emitting displays [1]. Rare earth and transition metal ions generally act as activators doped in phosphors and the fluorescence characteristics of phosphors strongly depend on the activator as well as the host crystal. The divalent europium $\left(\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}\right)$ is the most commonly used dopant owning to the abundant efficient visible color emissions based on $4 \mathrm{f}-5 \mathrm{~d}$ transition [2]. The sensitivity of this energy transition in $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ to the crystallographic site environment opens possibility to tune the luminescence parameters [3], which falls in the critical industry needs such as tunable correlated color temperature, chromaticity coordinates, and color rendering index (CRI) [4]. Furthermore, to understand the relationship between the luminescence property of the phosphor and the structural property of the host crystal is important in solid state chemistry and solid state lighting [4,5], which can assist the optimization of spectroscopic feature via crystal structure engineering [6].

In this study, the attention is paid to two borate phosphors activated by $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$, the hosts of which have very similar chemical formula, $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$, but the corresponding phosphors

[^0]exhibit different emission features. Earlier, $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ has been reported as a blue-light excited yellow-emitting phosphor peaking at 565 nm [7], or red-emitting phosphor peaking at 618 nm [8]. Whereas, $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$, prepared in our experiment, emits bluishgreen light under ultraviolent (UV) irradiation. Although $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ belong to the same crystal system (monoclinic cell) and space group $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1} / n\right.$ for $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ [9] and $P 2_{1} / n$ for $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ [9] (or $P 2_{1} / c$ for $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ [10] in the standard setting)), considerably different luminescence of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ are observed in these crystal lattices. This phosphor family is thus a perfect example for the structure comparison and exploration of the intrinsic structural difference as a source of different luminescence behaviors of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$. In this study, firstly, we report the synthesis, crystal structure and photoluminescence property of the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ phosphor, and, then, intend to relate the luminescence difference of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ lattices with their specific structural properties.

## 2. Experimental

The $\mathrm{LiBa}_{(1-x)} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: x \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}(x=0,0.005,0.01,0.03)$ powder samples were prepared by sintering stoichiometric mixtures of $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (A.R.), $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$ (A.R.), $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ (A.R.), and $\mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (99.99\%) for 10 h at $T=1023 \mathrm{~K}$ in a tube furnace under flowing $5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2}-95 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ reducing gas. Therein, the samples were furnace-cooled before regrinding into fine powders for characterization. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on an X-ray powder diffractometer
(D/max-IIIA, Rigaku, Japan) by continuous scanning in the $2 \theta$ range $10-90^{\circ}$, using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $1.5406 \AA$ ) under operating electric voltage and current of 40 kV and 100 mA , respectively. The acquisition of the XRD patterns which were submitted to profile fitting and Rietveld refinement by TOPAS [11], was in stepwise scanning mode with step size of $0.02^{\circ}$ and counting time of 1 s per step. Diffuse reflection spectra of the undoped and $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$-doped $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ were measured on a UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer (UV-3600, Shimadzu, Japan) attached to an integral sphere and $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ was used as a reference for $100 \%$ reflectance. The room temperature photoluminescence spectra were recorded using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-4600, Hitachi, Japan) with a photomultiplier tube operating at 350 V using a 150 W Xe lamp as the excitation source.

## 3. Results and discussion

Figure $1(\mathrm{~A})$ shows the XRD patterns of the $\mathrm{LiBa}_{(1-x)} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: x \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ ( $x=0,0.01,0.03$ ) samples as well as the standard pattern of $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ (JCPDS file no. 81-1808). All the diffraction peaks of the as-prepared phosphors can be well matched with the standard


Figure 1. (A) XRD patterns of the $\operatorname{LiBa}_{(1-x)} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: x \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}(x=0,0.01,0.03)$ samples. The standard pattern of $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ (JCPDS no. 81-1808) is provided as reference. (B) The observed (red), calculated (black), and difference (gray) XRD profiles of undoped $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ sample with fitting performed by TOPAS. Bragg reflections are indicated with tick marks (For interpretation of the references to color in figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).


Figure 2. Rietveld refinement of $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ in the space group $P 2_{1} / n$. The observed, calculated, and difference XRD profiles are shown in red, black, and gray, respectively (For interpretation of the references to color in figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).
pattern, indicating that they are phase pure. To further verify the phase purity and determine the precise cell parameters, the XRD pattern of undoped $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ was subjected to profile fitting by TOPAS. The measured, fitted and difference data are shown in Figure 1(B). All peaks were successfully indexed by a monoclinic cell $\left(P 2_{1} / c\right)$ with parameters close to the values reported for $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ [10]. The obtained cell parameters are $a=6.48555(11) \AA, b=7.12765(13) \AA, c=7.42604(14) \AA$, $\beta=117.9316(11)^{\circ}$, and $Z=4$. Profile fitting of the $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$-doped samples reveals that the cell volume $\left(V_{x=0.005}=303.320(19) \AA^{3}\right.$, $\left.V_{x=0.01}=303.232(17) \AA^{3}, V_{x=0.03}=303.155(15) \AA^{3}\right)$ decreases on the $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ content increase. This trend suggests the effective incorporation of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ into the host lattice. The $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ ions are expected to occupy the Ba site in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ since the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$effective ion radius ( $r_{i}=0.59 \AA, \mathrm{CN}=4$ ) [12] (coordination number, CN ) is too small for $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}\left(r_{i}=1.17 \AA, \mathrm{CN}=6 ; r_{i}=1.25 \AA, \mathrm{CN}=8\right)[12]$ while the $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ site ( $r_{i}=1.42 \AA, \mathrm{CN}=8$ ) [12] is suitable for $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ both in size and valence.

The chemical formula $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ is analogous to that of $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$, and its crystal structure has been reported in space group $P 2_{1} / n$ [9] (a non-standard setting of $P 2_{1} / c$ ); thus, the two compounds form a crystal family and can be of the same space group. To assist the structural comparison between $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$, we preform Rietveld refinement of the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ structure in $P 2_{1} / n$ space group. Figure 2 presented the observed (red), calculated (black), and difference (gray) XRD profiles for this refinement. All peaks were indexed by a monoclinic cell ( $P 2_{1} / n$ ). Crystal structure of pure $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ was taken as starting model for the refinement [10]. Thermal parameters of all ions were refined isotropically and thermal parameters of all O ions have been set to be the same. The main parameters of processing and refinement of the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ sample are provided in Table 1. In the following, the refined structural data are used to see the local coordination environment of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ sample.

The photoluminescence excitation (PLE) and emission (PL) spectra of the $\mathrm{LiBa}_{(1-x)} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: x \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}(x=0.005,0.01,0.03)$ phosphors are shown in Figure 3. Upon 365 nm UV light excitation, the PL spectrum exhibits an intense band emission peaking at 496 nm which is attributed to the $5 d-4 f$ allowed transition of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ [13]. When emission is monitored at 496 nm , the excitation band is seen to extend from 250 to 420 nm with two local maximums at 300 nm and 353 nm . The emission band is symmetric and relatively broad with as high full-width at half-maximum (fwhm) as $\sim 80 \mathrm{~nm}$. In Figure 3(b), the phosphor is seen to emit bright cyan color under the 365 nm UV lamp. The color chromaticity coordinate of the phosphor is calculated from the emission spectrum measured upon 365 nm

Table 1
Main parameters of processing and refinement of the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ sample.

|  | $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Space Group | $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| $a(\AA \AA)$ | $6.48591(13)$ |
| $b(\AA \AA)$ | $7.12791(14)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $7.21767(15)$ |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $114.6251(11)$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $303.333(11)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| $2 \theta$-interval $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $10-110$ |
| No. reflns | 382 |
| No. params of refinement | 78 |
| $R_{w p}(\%)$ | 14.71 |
| $R_{p}(\%)$ | 10.55 |
| $R_{\text {exp }}(\%)$ | 9.29 |
| $\chi^{2}$ | 1.58 |
| $R_{B}(\%)$ | 5.74 |



Figure 3. (A) Photoluminescence excitation (PLE) and emission (PL) spectra of the cyan-emitting $\operatorname{LiBa}_{(1-x)} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: x \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ phosphors ( $x=0.005,0.01,0.03$ ). (B) Digital image of $\mathrm{LiBa}_{0.97} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: 0.03 \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ under 365 nm UV lamp.
excitation, and it is ( $0.221,0.693$ ), suggesting that $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ can be potentially used as cyan-emitting component for near UVpumped $w$-LEDs. Within the investigated doping concentrations, the emission intensity of $\mathrm{LiBa}_{(1-x)} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: x \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ increases at higher $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ content, reaching the highest level at $x=0.03$. In the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ lattice, only one barium site with $4 e$ symmetry exists, where Ba atom is coordinated with eight O atoms. As expected, just this position is partly occupied by $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$, forming one coordination environment type for the luminescent center in the host. This is consistent with the symmetric emission band shape.

Figure 4 illustrates the diffuse reflection spectra of pure $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$-doped $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ in the range $200-750 \mathrm{~nm}$. The reflection intensity of undoped $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ is about $96 \%$ in the range $400-700 \mathrm{~nm}$, and then, it shows a drop to a plateau of $\sim 90 \%$. A remarkable drop starts around 290 nm which corresponds to the band transition in the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ host lattice. With the introduction of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ ions, the broad absorption in the range of $200-430 \mathrm{~nm}$ with two noticeable reflection drops assigned to the $4 f^{7} \rightarrow 4 f^{6} 5 d^{1}$ absorption of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ is observed, and this is consistent with the PLE spectra in the same region. In the UV region, $\mathrm{LiBa}_{0.99} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: 0.01 \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ exhibits bigger absorption in comparison with the undoped sample.

To understand the origin of the observed emission center at 496 nm , the equation proposed by Van Uitert [14] is used to qualitatively analyze the present result. According to his model, the following equation Eq. (1) provides a good fit to the emission peak


Figure 4. Diffuse reflection spectra of pure and $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$-doped $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$.
and/or excitation edge data of most divalent and some trivalent rare earth elements including $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Ce}^{3+}$ :
$E=Q\left[1-\left(\frac{V}{4}\right)^{1 / V} \cdot 10^{-n \cdot e a \cdot r / 80}\right]$
here $E$ is the position of the $d$-band edge in energy for a rare-earth ion (for example, $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ ) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right), Q$ is the position in energy for the lower $d$-band energy for free ions (obtained from spark data; and $34000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ ), $V$ is the valence of the cation activator (for $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}, V=2$ ), $n$ is the number of anions in the immediate shell about this cation, $r$ is the radius of the host cation replaced by the activator ion (in $\AA$ ), and ea is the electron affinity of the atoms that form anions (in eV ). The value of ea changes when $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ is introduced into different anion complexes; here, ea is determined as 1.60 for an oxide host from statistical analysis [14]. The calculated energy position of the $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+} d$-band edge in the eight-coordinated $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ site $\left(r_{i}=1.42 \AA, \mathrm{CN}=8\right)$ of $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ is $19752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(506 \mathrm{~nm})$, which almost perfectly corresponds to the measured emission peaking wavelength of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}(496 \mathrm{~nm})$.

If Ba in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ is completely substituted by Sr , the obtained $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ crystallize in the same space group $P 2_{1} / n$. Interestingly, the photoluminescence property of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in these two monoclinic hosts is considerably different. In 2010, Wang et al. [7] has reported $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ as a yellow-emitting phosphor which can be effectively excited by ultraviolet ( 360 and 400 nm ) and blue ( 425 and 460 nm ) light, and exhibits a yellow color emission centered at 565 nm . Afterwards, in 2012, Zhang et al. [8] also reported $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ but as a red-emitting phosphor which can be excited by the 460 nm blue light and exhibits a broad-band emission peaking at 618 nm . Thus, the emission of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ does exhibit a big red-shift effect in comparison with that in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$. To evaluate the contradictory results in earlier studies, Eq. (1) is used to calculate the $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ emission wavelength in $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$. There is only one $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ site in the lattice which is coordinated with seven oxygen atoms, and the calculated energy position of the $d$ band of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in the seven-coordinated $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ site ( $r_{i}=1.21 \AA, \mathrm{CN}=7$ ) is $17723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(564 \mathrm{~nm})$. The calculated red shift value is similar with the reported value ( 565 nm ) in Ref. [7] and much smaller than that ( 618 nm ) in Ref. [8].

As is known, the absorption and emission spectra of free rare earth ions are different from those appear when they are doped in the crystal structure where the influence of crystal field and lattice vibration is felt. Thus, the crystal structure of the host plays a crucial role in determining the luminescence spectra of the optically active impurity ions such as $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$. This is recognized as ligand field theory (in general) and crystal field theory (in the case of an ordered


Figure 5. Crystal structures of (A) $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ (in $\mathrm{P}_{2} / n$ space group) and (B) $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ (in $P 2_{1} / n$ space group), and coordination of (C) $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ and (D) $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$.
periodic lattice) [15,16]. As assumed from the literature [17], the coordination number, polyhedron size and crystal field spitting are the main intrinsic factors governing specific emission spectra of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in local structure. Thus, the structures of $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ are compared regarding with the local environment of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in them. Figure 5 presents the unit cells of the two borate compounds (both in $P 2_{1} / n$ space group) and the coordination environment of Ba and Sr atoms. The independent part of the $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ unit cell consists of one $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$, one $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, one $\mathrm{B}^{5+}$ and three $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions. $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ relate to the same space group (with cell parameters of $a=6.480 \AA$, $b=6.480 \AA$, $c=6.840 \AA, \beta=109.41^{\circ}$ and $Z=4$ ) [9] and has the same number of ions in the independent part. In both compounds, the $\mathrm{BO}_{3}$ joins alternately to the distorted square pyramids $\mathrm{LiO}_{5}$ by edges forming a ring [18], but orientations of the polyhedra are different, which leads to different coordination of $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Sr}$ ions (Figure 5C and D). The Sr atoms are seven-coordinated by O atoms with average bond length ( $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{O}$ ) of $2.569(3) \AA$, forming mono-capped distorted trigonal prisms of $\mathrm{SrO}_{7}$ while the Ba is eight-coordinated by O atoms with average bond length (Ba-O) of $2.764(6) \AA$, and the $\mathrm{BaO}_{8}$ polyhedron can be described as a distorted square anti-prism. One can see that the main difference between Ba site in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ and Sr site in $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ is the number of coordinating O atoms and the size of the formed polyhedron, which induces the large difference between the Stokes shifts of the observed $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ emission.

The influence of coordination and size of polyhedron on the luminescence of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ can be also expressed as different crystal field splitting effects. According to the statistical results from Dorenbos [19], $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in a site with fewer coordinated anions relates to a longer emission wavelength. The crystal field around $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ has been suggested to obey the relation [20]:
$D_{q}=\frac{z e^{2} r^{4}}{6 R^{5}}$
where $D_{q}$ is the measurement of the energy-level splitting, $R$ is the bond length, $z$ is the charge or valence of the anion $\left(\mathrm{O}_{z}=2\right)$, $e$ is the charge of an electron, and $r$ is the radius of the $d$ wave function ( $r=1.00 \AA$ ). When $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ substitutes the $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$, the distance between $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ is longer than that in $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ where $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ is substituted, and the magnitude of the crystal field increase, resulting in the decreasing $5 d$ band of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$. Finally, the emission wavelength of $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ should be red-shifted in reference to that in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$.

## 4. Conclusions

The cyan-emitting phosphor $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ has been successfully synthesized at $T=1023 \mathrm{~K}$ by the high-temperature solid state reaction method. It shows broad absorption band in the UV light range ( $250-420 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) which well matches with the characteristic emission of a near UV-light emitting diode chip. An intense cyan visible emission with a symmetric band curve peaking at 496 nm is observed when the phosphor is excited by 365 nm UV light. Analysis of the ion radius and valence suggests $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ occupies the Ba site in $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ which forms distorted square anti-prism ( $\mathrm{BaO}_{8}$ ) together with eight coordinating O atoms. The $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiSrBO}_{3}$ hold the same space group but they are not isostructural. The considerably different photoluminescence emission of $\mathrm{LiBaBO}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{LiSrBO} 3: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ originates from the specific structural properties regarding the number of coordinating oxygen atoms and the polyhedron size.
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