

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Crystal Growth

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcrysgro



Flux crystal growth of Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅

E.M. Moshkina^{a,*}, T.P. Gavrilova^b, I.F. Gilmutdinov^{c,d}, A.G. Kiiamov^{c,d}, R.M. Eremina^b

^a Kirensky Institute of Physics, Federal Research Center KSC SB RAS, 660036 Krasnoyarsk, Russia

^b Zavoisky Physical-Technical Institute, FRC Kazan Scientific Center of RAS, Sibirsky Tract, 10/7, Kazan 420029, Russia

^c Institute of Physics, Kazan Federal University, 420008 Kazan, Russia

^d Centre for Quantum Technologies, Kazan Federal University, 420008 Kazan, Russia

Communicated by Keshra Sangwal The results of growth of two oxyborate single crystals, Cu ₂ GaBO ₅ and Cu ₂ AlBO ₅ , with ludwigite structure fro	ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
	Communicated by Keshra Sangwal	The results of growth of two oxyborate single crystals, Cu ₂ GaBO ₅ and Cu ₂ AlBO ₅ , with ludwigite structure from
<i>Keywords:</i> different flux systems using the spontaneous nucleation technique are reported. We obtained large Cu ₂ GaBC	Keywords:	different flux systems using the spontaneous nucleation technique are reported. We obtained large Cu ₂ GaBO ₅
A2. Growth from high temperature solutions and Cu_2AlBO_5 crystals of dimensions up to $3 \times 4 \times 15$ mm ³ and $1 \times 1 \times 10$ mm ³ , respectively. Trimolybdat	A2. Growth from high temperature solutions	and Cu ₂ AlBO ₅ crystals of dimensions up to $3 \times 4 \times 15$ mm ³ and $1 \times 1 \times 10$ mm ³ , respectively. Trimolybdate-
A2. Single crystal growth bismuth fluxes diluted with the sodium carbonate for both Cu ₂ GaBO ₅ and Cu ₂ AlBO ₅ , as well as lithium-m	A2. Single crystal growth	bismuth fluxes diluted with the sodium carbonate for both Cu ₂ GaBO ₅ and Cu ₂ AlBO ₅ , as well as lithium-mo-
B2. Magnetic materials lybdate and lithium-borate fluxes for Cu ₂ AlBO ₅ , were used. It was observed that the growth of Cu ₂ GaBO ₅ ar	B2. Magnetic materials	lybdate and lithium-borate fluxes for Cu_2AlBO_5 , were used. It was observed that the growth of Cu_2GaBO_5 and

A1. Crystal structure

Cu₂AlBO₅ is accompanied by the growth of CuO, Cu₂Al₆B₄O₁₇, and Cu₂Al_{1-x}Ga_xBO₅ crystals as secondary phases. Structural and magnetic characterization of the crystals is also performed.

1. Introduction

Oxyborates with ludwigite structure are a large family of quasi-twodimensional materials with general formula M12M2BO5, where M1 and M2 are di- and trivalent metals, respectively. The other combinations of heterovalent cations such as di- and tetravalent [1-3] or di- and pentavalent [4] metals, accompanied by a change in the M1/M2 ratio for electroneutrality, are also possible.

The flux crystal growth technique is most often used to obtain large single crystal samples of oxyborates with ludwigite structure due to the presence of the growth anisotropy [5-9]. The undoubted merits of this method are the natural grow habit of single crystals and the possibility to use different solvent types for individual growth conditions, required for different compounds [10–12].

Currently, a few dozens of oxyborates with ludwigite structure having various combinations of M1 and M2 metals are known, synthesized, and studied [1-9,13-15]. In this work, we focus on the copper-containing ludwigites Cu_2MBO_5 (M = Ga, Al). These compounds, like other copper-containing ludwigites, have a monoclinically distorted ludwigite structure due to the Jahn-Teller effect of copper 2+ ions [5-9,13]. It is known that gallium-copper-borate is an antiferromagnet below the temperature $T_{\rm N} = 4$ K [6,7]. The magnetic properties of aluminum-copper-borate haven't been studied. There are several studies aimed at the synthesis of Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅ [5–7].

The growth of single crystals in these works was performed by the flux technique using fluxes, based on the borax Na₂B₄O₇ [5] system for

Cu₂AlBO₅ and B₂O₃ [6] or B₂O₃-Li₂O [7] for Cu₂GaBO₅. However, due to the high viscosity of most boron-based flux systems (in the cases of [5,6]), the sizes of single crystals were limited by 0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.04 mm³ [5]. In this work, we describe in detail our results of growth of Cu2GaBO5 and Cu2AlBO5 single crystals from the trimolybdate-bismuth fluxes diluted by the sodium carbonate (Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅), lithium-molybdate fluxes (Cu₂AlBO₅), and lithium-borate fluxes (Cu₂AlBO₅).

2. Crystal growth

In this section, the growth of oxyborate single crystals, Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅, is described.

2.1. Growth of Cu_2GaBO_5 single crystals

To grow Cu₂GaBO₅ single crystals, the process of crystallization was studied for the following flux system:

Previously, bismuth-trimolybdate-based fluxes have been used for the synthesis of $Cu_{3-x}Mn_xBO_5$ single crystals [1,8], which belong to the family of Cu-containing oxyborates with ludwigite structure, just like Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅. The specified in Eq. (1) solvent allows to: (i) decrease the viscosity of the flux, (ii) increase the concentration of the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ekoles@iph.krasn.ru (E.M. Moshkina).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrysgro.2020.125723

Received 21 April 2020; Received in revised form 13 May 2020; Accepted 15 May 2020 Available online 20 May 2020

0022-0248/ © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



Fig. 1. Optical photographs of (a) Cu₂GaBO₅, (b) Cu₂Al₆B₄O₁₇, (c) CuO, and (d) Cu₂AlBO₅ crystals.

crystal-forming oxides, (iii) use suitable operating temperatures (T < 900 °C), that results in small losses of the components due to evaporation. As a result of varying flux parameters *n* and *p* in Eq. (1), we obtained the following optimal growth conditions: n = 36%, p = 1. The corresponding flux saturation temperature and the cooling rate are $T_{\text{sat}} = 875$ °C and dT/dt = 2 °C/day.

The above flux system (1) was prepared at temperature T = 1100 °C in a 100 cm³ platinum crucible by sequential melting of the system components in the following order: (i) borax Na₂B₄O₇ was prepared from the powder of Na₂B₄O₇·10H₂O at T = 1100 °C; (ii) powder mixture of B₂O₃, Bi₂O₃, MoO₃ oxides was added; (iii) Ga₂O₃ powder was added; (iv) CuO powder was added.

The prepared flux was homogenized at $T_{\rm hom} = 1100$ °C for 4 h. The platinum rod-like crystal holder was inserted into the crucible at the stage of homogenization. The cooling of the sample was carried out in 2 stages. Firstly, the temperature was rapidly reduced to $(T_{\rm sat} - 10)$ °C = 865 °C with the cooling rate of 100 °C/hour. Secondly, the temperature was slowly reduced with the cooling rate of 2 °C/day. The grown single crystals in the form of dark-green prisms up to 3 × 4 × 15 mm³ in size (Fig. 1a) were separated from the crystal holder. The remaining flux was removed by etching in a 20% aqueous solution of nitric acid HNO₃.

2.2. Growth of Cu₂AlBO₅ single crystals

To grow Cu₂AlBO₅, several flux systems were studied. At the first stage, we chose the flux system, similar to as in the case of Cu₂GaBO₅ and based on the bismuth-trimolibdate $Bi_2Mo_3O_{12}$ and borax $Na_2B_4O_7$:

(100-n)% mass. $(Bi_2Mo_3O_{12} + Na_2B_4O_7 + qB_2O_3 + sCuO) + n\%$ mass. $(2CuO + 0.5Al_2O_3 + 0.5B_2O_3)$ (2)

However, the high-temperature crystallizing phase of system (2) was $Cu_2Al_6B_4O_{17}$ (Fig. 1b) in a wide range of temperatures and for q = s = 0, $n = 10 \div 20\%$. Some difficulties of the flux (2) preparation process were related to the low solubility of Al_2O_3 due to the large difference between $Bi_2Mo_3O_{12}$ and Al_2O_3 solvent densities ($\rho = 6.07$ and 3.99 g/cm³, respectively). At the same time, the copper oxide CuO has a quite high density ($\rho = 6.31$ g/cm³).

To decrease the density of the flux, B_2O_3 (q = 0.5) and CuO (s = 1.13) were added simultaneously into the flux system (2). Despite the change of flux density and the addition of CuO (the amount of CuO was 2.5 times higher than it is necessary for Cu₂AlBO₅ stoichiometry), the high-temperature crystallizing phase was Cu₂Al₆B₄O₁₇.

At the second stage, we studied the system, based on the lithium-molybdate Li_2MoO₄ ($\rho = 3.027$ g/cm³):

 $(100-n)\% \text{ mass.} (\text{Li}_2\text{MoO}_4 + q\text{B}_2\text{O}_3 + s\text{CuO}) + n\% \text{ mass.} \\ (2\text{CuO} + 0.5\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 0.5\text{B}_2\text{O}_3) (3)$

Compared with flux (2), this one has a lower density and the same viscosity.

Flux (3) was prepared at T = 1100 °C in a 100 cm³ platinum crucible by sequential melting of the components as follows: (i) the mixture of MoO₃ and B₂O₃ powders was melted; (ii) Li₂CO₃ powder was added in portions; (iii) Al₂O₃ and CuO powders were sequentially added. However, as a result of the crystallization process in a wide temperature range for q = 0.6, s = 0, and n = 20%, the high-temperature crystallizing phase was the aluminum-enriched Cu₂Al₆B₄O₁₇ phase.

Sequential increase of coefficients q and s in Eq. (3) up to q = 1.5and s = 0.35 (the amount of CuO was 2 times higher than needed for Cu₂AlBO₅ stoichiometry) led to the simultaneous crystallization of Cu₂Al₆B₄O₁₇ phase and the black crystals of copper oxide CuO in the form of defective flat prisms (Fig. 1c).

Simultaneous stabilization of the ludwigite structure and the crystallization of the Cu₂Al₆B₄O₁₇ and Cu₂Al_{1-x}Ga_xBO₅ phases was obtained by adding a small amount of the gallium oxide Ga₂O₃ to the system (3) so that the ratio Al:Ga was 6:1. With the ratio Al:Ga = 3:1, Cu₂Al_{1-x}Ga_xBO₅ single phase with ludwigite structure was crystallized in a wide temperature range.

Next, we used the lithium-boron-based flux system:

Probed with n = 48.3%, q = 1.72, and t = 0.44, system (4) allowed to obtain simultaneous crystallization of Cu₂Al₆B₄O₁₇ and CuO phases, as in the case of system (3) without the gallium oxide Ga₂O₃.

An increase in B_2O_3 weight content (q = 1.9), a decrease in Al_2O_3 weight content (t = 0.3), and an increase in the concentration n up to n = 49.3%, led to the formation of the high-temperature crystallizing phase of Cu₂AlBO₅ in a form of long dark-green prisms (Fig. 1d). The flux was prepared at T = 1100 °C in a 100 cm³ platinum crucible by sequential melting of the components: (i) B_2O_3 oxide, (ii) Li_2CO_3 carbonate, (iii) Al_2O_3 oxide, and (iv) CuO oxide were sequentially added. 1 h after the flux preparation, we inserted the rotated platinum rod-like crystal holder into the crucible. The velocity of rotation was $\omega = 20$ rpm (rotations per minute). To complete the homogenization



Fig. 2. Powder diffraction pattern of Cu₂AlBO₅: measured intensities (circles), refined pattern (black solid line), and difference pattern I_{obs} - I_{cal} (solid blue line). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

process, the flux temperature has been kept fixed at $T_{\rm hom} = 1050$ °C for 3 h. The temperature was reduced firstly rapidly to $(T_{\rm sat} - 10)$ °C = 882 °C and then slowly with a cooling rate of 2 °C/day (without rotation of the crystal holder). In 6 days, the crystal holder with the grown crystals in the form of dark-green prisms of a size up to $1 \times 1 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3$ (Fig. 1d) was extracted from the flux. The remaining flux was removed by etching in a 20% aqueous solution of nitric acid HNO₃.

3. Crystal structure and growth habit

The structural properties of the crystals were studied by the conventional X-ray diffraction on powdered single crystals at room temperature using a Bruker D8 ADVANCE diffractometer with CuK α radiation. The diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 for Cu₂AlBO₅ and Cu₂GaBO₅, respectively. The data were analyzed by the standard Rietveld refinement using the FULLPROF software [16]. No impurity phases were detected above the background. We notice that the refinement cannot completely describe the observed peak intensities. This may be due to the joint effect of texture, stacking faults, and strain of the sample when crushing into the powder. The structural analysis confirmed the *P*2₁/c monoclinic structure of Cu₂AlBO₅ and



Fig. 3. Powder diffraction pattern of Cu₂GaBO₅: measured intensities (circles), refined pattern (black solid line), and difference pattern I_{obs} - I_{cal} (solid blue line). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 4. Crystal structure of Cu_2MBO_5 (M = Ga, Al) ludwigites (Cu-O and M-O octahedra are blue-colored; B and O are shown in dark-red and red colors; axis *a* is directed towards the reader). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Cu₂GaBO₅. The lattice parameters are a = 3.066 Å, b = 11.767 Å, c = 9.366 Å, $\beta = 97.734^{\circ}$ for Cu₂AlBO₅ and a = 3.114 Å, b = 11.927 Å, c = 9.477 Å, $\beta = 97.865^{\circ}$ for Cu₂GaBO₅. These parameters are well consistent with the values reported in [6,7].

The crystal structure of Cu₂AlBO₅ and Cu₂GaBO₅ is shown in Fig. 4. The oxygen octahedra with di- or trivalent cation in the center are the main structure-forming elements of ludwigites. The octahedra form zigzag chains connected to each other by the triangles of $[BO_3]^{3-}$ groups. It is clearly seen from Fig. 1 that the growth habit of the ludwigite single crystal is formed by the elongated prisms whose longitudinal size is at least an order of magnitude greater than the transverse one. The crystallographic indices were determined for all the transverse planes of each single crystal by means of X-ray diffraction. All the indices belong to the [0 K L] array. Therefore, the single crystal is grown along the crystallographic axis *a*. From the point of view of the single crystal growing, this direction is the easy-growth direction, and the perpendicular plane is not.

4. Magnetic susceptibility

The magnetization *M* of single-crystal samples was measured by the commercial Physical Properties Measurements System (PPMS-9 device) within a temperature range of 2 K \leq T \leq 400 K in field-cooled (FC) regime in a magnetic field of 1 kOe. Fig. 5 shows the FC magnetic susceptibility $\chi = M/H$ of Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅ ludwigites as a function of the temperature with the magnetic field being parallel and perpendicular to the crystallographic axis a. The temperature dependencies of the derivative of the magnetic susceptibility $\partial \chi / \partial T$ measured in the FC regime at low temperatures are shown in the insets of Fig. 5. The magnetic phase transition temperature was obtained as the susceptibility anomaly which corresponds to the maximum of the derivative of the magnetic susceptibility: $T = 4.1 \div 5.5$ K for Cu_2GaBO_5 and T = 2.1 K for Cu_2AlBO_5 , respectively (Fig. 5). These values are in agreement with our published results [17]. The obtained temperature for Cu2GaBO5 is different from the previously observed Néel temperature $T_N = 3.4$ K [7]. The difference in Néel temperatures can result from the quality of the sample or from the destruction of the antiferromagnetic order by a sufficiently weak magnetic field.



Fig. 5. Temperature dependencies of the magnetic susceptibility in (a) Cu_2GaBO_5 and (b) Cu_2AlBO_5 ludwigites measured in FC regime with the magnetic field (H = 1 kOe) being parallel and perpendicular to the crystallographic axis *a*. Insets show the temperature dependencies of the derivative of the magnetic susceptibility at low temperatures.

5. Summary

The keygoals of this work were to grow large single crystals of Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅ ludwigites and to study their physical properties. We described in detail the research of flux systems used for growing these compounds. In the case of Cu₂GaBO₅, the optimal growing system is based on bismuth trimolybdate. It has low viscosity and is characterized by high solubility of crystal-forming oxides. Flux crystal growth of Cu₂AlBO₅ was more complex due to the significant difference in densities of crystal-forming oxides. Three flux systems were studied to obtain the desired Cu₂AlBO₅ phase, and the optimal one is based on lithium-boron. As secondary phases, we have obtained single crystals of CuO, Cu₂Al₆B₄O₁₇, and Cu₂Al_{1-x}Ga_xBO₅. Thus, we have studied several areas of the phase stability diagram.

We obtained Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅ crystals of dimensions up to $3 \times 4 \times 15 \text{ mm}^3$ and $1 \times 1 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3$, respectively. The monoclinically distorted ludwigite structure and the phase purity of Cu₂GaBO₅ and Cu₂AlBO₅ are confirmed by means of X-ray powder diffraction. The magnetic characterization revealed antiferromagnetic ordering in both compounds. The temperature of the antiferromagnetic phase transition is found to be $T_{\rm N} = 4.1 \div 5.5$ K for Cu₂GaBO₅ and $T_{\rm N} = 2.1$ K for Cu₂AlBO₅.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

E.M. Moshkina: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - original draft. T.P. Gavrilova: Investigation, Data curation, Writing - review & editing. I.F. Gilmutdinov: Investigation, Resources. A.G. Kiiamov: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. R.M. Eremina: Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR), grant No 17-02-00953. T.P.G. and R.M.E. acknowledge the financial support from the government assignment for FRC Kazan Scientific Center of RAS.

References

- [1] S.N. Sofronova, L.N. Bezmaternykh, E.M. Eremin, I.I. Nazarenko, N.V. Volkov, A.V. Kartashev, E.M. Moshkina, The superexchange interactions and magnetic ordering in low-dimentional ludwigite Ni₅GeB₂O₁₀, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 401 (2016) 217–222.
- [2] M.A.V. Heringer, D.C. Freitas, D.L. Mariano, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, M.A. Continentino, D.R. Sanchez, Structural and magnetic properties of the Ni₅Ti (O₂BO₃)₂ ludwigite, Phys. Rev. Mater. 3 (9) (2019) 094402.
- [3] S. Sofronova, L. Bezmaternykh, E. Eremin, A. Chernyshov, A. Bovina, Crystal growth, magnetic properties and analysis of possible magnetic ordering of Ni₅Sn (BO₅)₂ with ludwigite structure, Phys. Status Solidi B 255 (12) (2018) 1800281.
- [4] D.C. Freitas, R.B. Guimarães, J.C. Fernandes, M.A. Continentino, C.B. Pinheiro, J.A.L.C. Resende, G.G. Eslava, L. Ghivelder, Planar magnetic interactions in the hulsite-type oxyborate Co_{5.52}Sb_{0.48}(O₂BO₃)₂, Phys. Rev. B 81 (2010) 174403.
- [5] J.A. Hriljac, R.D. Brown, A.K. Cheetham, The synthesis of the related series of aluminoborates: Co_{2.1}Al_{0.9}BO₅, Ni₂AlBO₅, and Cu₂AlBO₅, J. Solid State Chem. 84 (1990) 289–298.
- [6] J. Schaefer, K. Bluhm, Zur Kristallstruktur von Cu₂M(BO₃)O₂ (M = Fe³⁺, Ga³⁺), Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 621 (1995) 571–575.
- [7] G.A. Petrakovskiĭ, L.N. Bezmaternykh, D.A. Velikanov, A.M. Vorotynov, O.A. Bayukov, M. Schneider, Magnetic properties of single crystals of ludwigites Cu₂MBO₅ (M = Fe³⁺, Ga³⁺), Phys. Solid State 51 (10) (2009) 2077–2083.
- [8] L. Bezmaternykh, E. Moshkina, E. Eremin, M. Molokeev, N. Volkov, Yu. Seryotkin, Spin-lattice coupling and peculiarities of magnetic behavior of ferrimagnetic ludwigites Mn²_{2.5}M²_{1.5}Mn³⁺BO₅ (M = Cu, Ni), Solid State Phenom. 233–234 (2015) 133–136.
- [9] S. Sofronova, E. Moshkina, I. Nazarenko, Yu. Seryotkin, S.A. Nepijko, V. Ksenofontov, K. Medjanik, A. Veligzhanin, L. Bezmaternykh, Crystal growth, structure, magnetic properties and theoretical exchange interaction calculations of Cu₂MnBO₅, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 420 (2016) 309–316.
- [10] E. Moshkina, A. Krylov, S. Sofronova, I. Gudim, V. Temerov, Crystal growth and Raman spectroscopy study of Sm_{1-x}La_xFe₃(BO₃)₄ ferroborates, Cryst. Growth Des. 16 (2016) 6915–6921.
- [11] E. Moshkina, Yu. Seryotkin, A. Bovina, M. Molokeev, E. Eremin, N. Belskaya, L. Bezmaternykh, Crystal formation of Cu-Mn-containing oxides and oxyborates in bismuth-boron fluxes diluted by MoO₃ and Na₂CO₃, J. Cryst. Growth 503 (2018) 1–8.
- [12] E. Moshkina, S. Krylova, I. Gudim, M. Molokeev, V. Temerov, M.S. Pavlovskiy, A. Vtyurin, A. Krylov, Gallium composition-dependent structural phase transitions in HoFe_{3-x}Ga_x(BO₃)₄ solid solutions: crystal growth, structure, and Raman spectroscopy study, Cryst. Growth Des. 20 (2) (2020) 1058–1069.
- [13] J. Sottmann, L. Nataf, L. Chaix, V. Pralong, C. Martin, Playing with the redox potentials in ludwigite oxyborates: Fe₃BO₅ and Cu₂MBO₅ (M = Fe, Mn, and Cr), J. Phys. Chem. C 122 (30) (2018) 17042–17048.
- [14] J. Kumar, D.J. Mukkattukavil, A. Bhattacharyya, S. Nair, Investigations of the
- heterometallic Ludwigite Ni₂AlBO₅, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 32 (6) (2020) 65601. [15] S. Sofronova, I. Nazarenko, Ludwigites: from natural mineral to modern solid sobar and the destruction of the d
- lutions, Cryst. Res. Technol. 52 (1-2) (2017) 1600338.[16] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by
- neutron powder diffraction, Phys. B: Condens. Matter 192 (1-2) (1993) 55–69.
 [17] R.M. Eremina, E.M. Moshkina, T.P. Gavrilova, I.F. Gilmutdinov, S.N. Sofronova, A.G. Kiiamov, Investigation of the magnetic properties of ludwigites, Bull. Russian Acad. Sci.: Phys. (Russia) 83 (7) (2019) 912–914.